arXiv:1510.07255v1 [math.RT] 25 Oct 2015

SIMPLE VECTORIAL LIE ALGEBRAS IN CHARACTERISTIC 2 AND THEIR SUPERIZATIONS

SOFIANE BOUARROUDJ[1], PAVEL GROZMAN[2], ALEXEI LEBEDEV[2],
DIMITRY LEITES[3], IRINA SHCHEPOCHKINA[4]

Abstract. The list of simple finite dimensional Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 is wider than that in other characteristics. In particular, it contains desuperizations of modular analogs (whose description is often far from being obvious) of complex simple vectorial Lie superalgebras both exceptional and serial. We describe 15 Weisfeiler gradings of the 5 exceptional families and one W-grading for each of 2 series (with 2 exceptional subseries) of analogs in characteristic 2 of simple complex Lie algebras. For each of these Lie algebras obtained as desuperization of a Lie superalgebra, and for the Shen Lie algebra (see arXiv:1307.1551), we establish the number of parameters the unconstrained shearing vector depends on. Two of the 15 exceptions are completely new.

One of the exceptional Lie algebras is a deform of the Lie algebra of divergence-free vector fields; the deformed algebra is not isomorphic to the initial one as might happen in positive characteristic even for deforms given by cocycle of nontrivial cohomology class.

In characteristic 2, every simple Lie superalgebra can be obtained from a simple Lie algebra by one of the two methods described in arXiv:1407.1695. The simple Lie superalgebras, that can be obtained by these two methods from simple Lie algebras we describe here, are new.

1. Introduction: overview of the scenery

Hereafter $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$; in the main text, $p = 2$ and all Lie algebras are finite-dimensional unless otherwise stated. In Introductions we give a brief sketch of the construction and main ideas; for background, see §3. For further details, see [LS1, Leb]. All voluminous computations are performed with the help of *SuperLie* package.

The letter p is used to designate the parity function and the "momenta" indeterminates; in this text it is impossible to confuse them; on one occasion we denoted parity function by Π.

Recall that a given Lie algebra or superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ without proper ideals and of dimension > 1 is said to be *simple*; $\mathfrak{g}$ is *semisimple* if its radical is zero. We say that $\mathfrak{h}$ is *almost simple* if it can be sandwiched (nonstrictly) between a simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ and the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{s})$ of derivations of $\mathfrak{s}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{s})$. For the functorial approach, see [BGL4].

1.1. **What is a reasonable classification problem?** On several occasions P. Deligne told us (in our own words), see [LL]:

> "Over $\mathbb{K}$, to classify ALL simple Lie (super)algebras and their representations are, perhaps, not very reasonable problems, and definitely very tough; investigate first the **restricted** case: it is related to geometry and hence meaningful."

Be as it may, to describe restricted Lie (super)algebras one often needs nonrestricted ones...

In this paper we concentrate on simple Lie (super)algebras, the ones easier to begin with, keeping in mind that algebras of the following types of are no less important than simple ones:

- the ones of the the form $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, where A is a Cartan matrix (see [BGL1, BGLLS]);

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B50, 17B20; Secondary 70F25.

Key words and phrases. modular vectorial Lie algebra, modular vectorial Lie superalgebra.

S.B. was partly supported by the grant AD 065 NYUAD.

• the result of the complete or partial generalized Cartan prolongation, see Subsec. 3.4, 3.4.1;

• central extensions and algebras of derivations of the simple Lie(super)algebras (see Subsec. 14.1.1, [BGLL1]);

• deforms of the simple Lie(super)algebras, see [BGL4].

The algebras of the above two types will be called *relatives* of the corresponding simple Lie (super)algebra and each other.

1.2. **Goal: classification of simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras over $\mathbb{K}$.** In 1960s, Kostrikin and Shafarevich suggested a method for producing simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras over $\mathbb{K}$, together with the final list, for $p > 7$. In the KSh-method, the initial ingredients for constructing the simple modular Lie algebras are simple Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ of two types: finite-dimensional, and infinite-dimensional vectorial ones, the elements of the latter ones being vector fields with polynomial coefficients. From each of these ingredients one obtains a finite-dimensional modular Lie algebra, which can sometimes be deformed. Among nontrivial **deforms** (the results of deformations) and the other simple Lie algebras one selects nonisomorphic algebras.

If $p > 3$, the goal is reached, see [S, BGP].

Dzhumadildaev and Kostrikin suggested to simplify the KSh-procedure by considering certain "standard" modular Lie algebras from the very beginning, and deforming them; on the other hand, the stock of "standard" examples should include, if $p < 7$, certain nonsimple Lie algebras, see [KD, SkT1]. Until year 2000 or so it was believed that the initial KSh-method produces all simple Lie algebras only if $p > 5$, whereas the Melikyan algebras should be added to the list if $p = 5$. This belief was based on insufficient study of deformations and too narrow choice of "standard" examples: Melikyan algebras are deforms of Poisson Lie algebras, see [KD].

1.2.1. **Deforms.** The abundance of deforms of simple Lie (super)algebras for $p > 0$, especially overwhelming for $p = 2$, is, however, misleading due to the presence of **semitrivial deforms** each of which is given by a cocycle representing a nontrivial cohomology class but, though integrable, yielding a deform isomorphic to the initial algebra. For a description of many semitrivial deforms, see [BLLS1]. We say that a nontrivial deform which is not semitrivial is a **true deform**.

1.2.2. **How to select "standard" examples?.** A sharpening of the KSh-method suggested in [KD] was further simplified in [Lei], where the stock of "standard" simple Lie algebras is diminished by eliminating the vectorial simple Lie algebras from the input of the KSh-method but adding one more procedure, previously used anyway, namely *generalized Cartan prolongation* (complete or partial), see Subsec. 3.4, 3.4.1.

On the other hand, the stock of "standard" simple Lie algebras must be enlarged with the examples found after [KD] was published: [GL4] for $p = 3$, and [SkT1, Leb, Ei, BGLLS], and this paper for $p = 2$.

If $p = 3$, the classification is conjectured. The examples given in [GL4], and the ones obtained by the KSh-method, together with their deforms, conjecturally exhaust the stock of "standard" examples; see also [BLW] where an earlier claim concerning deforms is corrected.

If $p = 2$, we are still completing the stock of "standard" examples.

1.2.3. **Splitting the problem into smaller chunks.** All simple Lie algebras are of the two types: the root system of a "*symmetric*" algebra contains the root $-\alpha$ of the same multiplicity as that of α for any root α; the algebras with root systems without this property are said to be "*lopsided*".

Symmetric algebras. A big quantity of "symmetric" simple Lie algebras consists of algebras $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ **with indecomposable Cartan matrix** A **or a "relative" of such an algebra** of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}(A)/\mathfrak{c}$, where[1] $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}(A)$ is the ith derived of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ is the center.

For any p, finite-dimensional Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ with indecomposable Cartan matrix A, and their simple relatives, were classified in [WK] with an omission; for corrections, see [Sk1, KWK], where no claim was made that these were the only corrections needed; for the proof of this, a classification of Lie superalgebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ with indecomposable Cartan matrix A, and their simple relatives, and precise definitions of related notions, see [BGL1].

Lopsided algebras is a wild terrain a part of which we study here.

1.3. **Super goal.** Although Lie **super**algebras appeared in topology in 1930s (over finite fields, often over $\mathbb{Z}/2$), the understanding of their importance dawned only in 1970s, thanks to their applications in physics. The problem "classify simple Lie superalgebras" appeared on the agenda of researchers. Over $\mathbb{C}$, the finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras were classified by several teams of researchers, see reviews [K2, Kapp]. The classification of certain types of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras was explicitly announced in [K2], together with a conjecture listing all *primitive* vectorial Lie superalgebras; for the first counterexamples, see [Le2, ALSh].

A classification of the simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ was implicitly announced when the first **exceptional** examples were given [Sh3, Sh5, Sh14] and explicitly at a conference [LS0]. The claim of [K2] was being corrected in [LS] (the correction contained the complete list of simple vectorial algebras, bar one exception later described in [Sh5], and the method of classification of simple $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebras of depth 1) and [K, CK1, CK1a, CK2, K10, CCK], where the proof in the case of $\mathbb{Z}$-grading compatible with parity was given; for further corrections and proofs, see §13 and, ultimately, [LS1].

Although to **prove** classification of simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{K}$ for p "sufficiently big" (say, > 7) will be a more cumbersome and excruciating task than that for Lie algebras, the answer (conjectural but doubtless) is obvious: to get **restricted** superalgebras, take the obvious modular analogs of the complex simple Lie superalgebras (both finite-dimensional and infinite-dimensional vectorial for the shearing vector $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_s$, see (10)) passing to the derived and quotients modulo center if needed; to get **nonrestricted** superalgebras, consider *true deforms*, see Subsec. 1.2.1, of above-mentioned analogs (for $\underline{N}$ unconstrained speaking about vectorial algebras). For p "small" the classification problem becomes more and more involved. Nevertheless, in the 2 cases the classification is obtained:

• **For any** p**, the super goal is reached for Lie superalgebras of the form** $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ **with indecomposable Cartan matrices** A, see [BGL1]. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is not simple, its "relative" of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}/\mathfrak{c}$, where $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ is the ith derived of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ its center, is simple.

• **Amazingly, the super goal is reached if** $p = 2$, see [BLLS2], with a catch: **modulo** the classification of simple Lie algebras, i.e., without explicit list of all examples. Here, we contribute new examples to a conjectural list of "standard" simple Lie algebras, but our main result is an explicit description of simple vectorial Lie algebras analogous to those over $\mathbb{C}$.

1.4. **Getting Lie algebras from Lie superalgebras if** $p = 2$**.** Observe that since for any odd element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ in any Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over any field $\mathbb{K}$, we have $[x, x] := 2x^2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. If $p = 2$, then it is squaring $x \longmapsto x^2$ for any odd $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ which, together with the brackets of even elements with other elements, defines the multiplication in any Lie superalgebra (for details, see Subsec. 3.1), while the bracket of odd elements is the polarization of the squaring. Hence,

For $p = 2$**, every Lie superalgebra with the bracket as multiplication is a Lie algebra**.

[1]This is much shorter and more graphic than the correct notation $(\mathfrak{g}(A))^{(i)}$; usually, we will similarly place subscripts designating the degree, etc.

Let **F** be the functor forgetting the superstructure: we only use bracket and never squaring.

To classify simple Lie superalgebras is a much more difficult task than to classify simple Lie algebras of the same type: the former is based on the latter and careful study of the representation theory of Lie algebras. In [KL], however, it was suggested to reverse the process:

(1) *To obtain simple Lie algebras for $p = 2$, apply the functor **F** to every simple Lie superalgebra.*

There are two reasons to consider Lie **super**algebras to obtain Lie algebras:

(a) Although at the time [KL] was written the classification of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ was only conjectural, the list of examples was wider than that of simple vectorial Lie algebras for $p = 2$, and was (and still is, as this paper shows) able to bring about new simple examples. In [KL], the modular analog of one of the 15 exceptional simple complex vectorial Lie superalgebras was desuperized yielding a new simple Lie algebra.

(b) The methodical "frontal attack" on the classification for $p = 2$ should be much more excruciating, as is clear from results in [SkT1, GZ], than that used for $p > 3$ by Premet and Strade, or even than that due to Block and Wilson for a simpler problem of classification of restricted simple Lie algebras for $p > 7$. So, a plausible stock of simple examples will be helpful. Besides, we give interpretations of the algebras we consider; this is of independent interest.

Here, after a long break, we continue exploring method 1) of (1). It provides us with new examples of simple vectorial Lie algebras of the form $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a modular, indigenous for $p = 2$, version of a simple vectorial Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{C}$.

1.4.1. **Parameters of the Lie superalgebra that change under desuperization.** The unconstrained shearing vector, see Subsec. 3.3.1, of the vectorial Lie algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ may depend on more parameters than the shearing vector of $\mathfrak{g}$. For example, for $\mathfrak{vect}(a; \underline{N}|b)$, the vector $\underline{N}$ depends on a parameters, whereas for $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{vect}(a; \underline{N}|b)) = \mathfrak{vect}(a + b; \widetilde{\underline{N}})$ the shearing vector $\widetilde{\underline{N}} = (\underline{N}, 1 \dots, 1)$ has $a + b$ coordinates while the unconstrained shearing vector depends on $a + b$ parameters. The same applies to the desuperizations of Lie superalgebras of series $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{le}$.

Observe that divergence-free subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{le}$ are prolongs of traceless subalgebras of their 0th components. Therefore,

> **constraints imposed on the shearing vector $\underline{N}$ corresponding to the space of generating functions determine various divergence-free subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}(n; \underline{N})$ and $\mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{N})$.**

The same abstract Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be realized in several ways as vectorial $\mathfrak{g}(a; \underline{N}|b; r)$, by means of Weisfeiler filtrations or associated regradings r, see subsection 3.6. This $\mathfrak{g}(a; \underline{N}|b; r)$ can be interpreted as the (generalized) Cartan prolong of the nonpositive[2] part of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the corresponding grading.

The Lie algebra obtained by desuperization might acquire new properties which its namesakes for $p \neq 2$ do not have: for example, the Poisson Lie algebra $\mathfrak{po}(2n; \underline{N})$ has deforms $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; n; \underline{N}))$ depending on a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}P^1$.

1.4.1a. **Desuperization might turn distinct (types of) Lie superalgebras into one (type of) Lie algebra.** Examples: under **F**

the Lie superalgebras of types $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ in the standard grading (18) turn into $\mathfrak{k}$;

the Lie superalgebras of types $\mathfrak{h}_\Pi$ and $\mathfrak{le}$ in the standard grading (18) turn into $\mathfrak{h}_\Pi$.

[2]In the cases of **partial** prolong, the elements of degree 1 are involved.

1.5. **A step aside: Volichenko algebras.** The notion of *Volichenko algebra*[3], which is an inhomogeneous relative parity subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ closed with respect to the superbracket in $\mathfrak{g}$, was introduced in [KL]. For a classification of simple (without any nontrivial ideals, both one-sided and two-sided) finite-dimensional Volichenko algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ under a certain (hopefully, inessential) technical assumption, and examples of certain infinite-dimensional algebras, see [LSerg].

The results of [ILMS] suggested to look at the definition of the Lie superalgebra for $p = 2$, see sec. 3.1, more carefully. If one does this, it is not difficult to deduce that

(2) **if $p = 2$, the Volichenko algebras are, actually, Lie algebras**.

In [KL] and [ILMS] the fact (2) had not been understood.

2. Introduction, cont. Our strategy, main results and open problems

2.1. **Generalized Cartan prolongation as a main procedure for getting vectorial Lie (super)algebras.** In [Lei], it is shown how to obtain simple Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ of the two types of prime importance for the classification procedure over $\mathbb{K}$: finite-dimensional and vectorial by induction and using (generalized, in particular, partial) Cartan prolongation, see Subsec. 3.4. One thus first obtains all finite-dimensional Lie algebras (each of them has a Cartan matrix); during the next step one obtains vectorial Lie algebras.

The same method works for p sufficiently big, and, with new standard examples added, for $p > 2$. After that, there remain considerable technical problems: describe deforms and classify nonisomorphic deforms.

Super versions of the classification for any characteristic is much more complicated than its nonsuper counterpart, we have to supply the input with several more types of algebras, but the main procedures are still the same: generalized, especially partial, prolongations and deformations.

The super classification shares its features with the classification of simple Lie algebras for $p = 2$; more exactly, we have in mind the methods for producing the list of simple examples, not the proof of its completeness.

Over $\mathbb{C}$, the following fact is well-known:

(3) *given a simple Lie algebra of the form $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, and its $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, the generalized prolong of the nonpositive (with respect to that grading) part of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, bar two series of exceptions corresponding to certain simplest gradings of the embedded algebras — $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(2n+2) \subset \mathfrak{k}(2n+1)$ — and the ambients are the exceptional prolongs.*

In several papers we considered simple Lie (super)algebras, their $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings either simplest, or, additionally, almost simplest if $p = 2$, and investigated the prolongs of the nonpositive parts.

Here we consider desuperizations of the analogs for $p = 2$ of complex serial and exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras. Realization of a Lie (super)algebra in terms of vector fields implies that $\mathfrak{g}$ is endowed with a filtration, one of them, called *Weisfeiler filtration*, is most important, see eq. (17). Associated with the filtration is the grading; the *Weisfeiler* ones are briefly called the *W-filtration* and *W-grading*. We investigate if it suffices to consider these algebras as abstract ones, not as W-filtered or W-graded. In other words, for several W-gradings of vectorial Lie algebras, we describe an analog of the fact (3): when the prolongs of the nonpositive parts corresponding to nonisomorphic regradings produce regradings of the same prolong, and when the prolongs of the nonpositive parts of different regradings are nonisomorphic.

[3]In memory of I. Volichenko who was the first to study inhomogeneous subalgebras in Lie superalgebras.

2.2. **"Hidden supersymmetries" of Lie algebras.** It is sometimes possible to endow the space of a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with (several) Lie superalgebra structures. For example, for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ over any ground field, consider any distribution of parities (of the pairs corresponding to positive and negative simple roots) of the Chevalley generators; we thus get Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(a|b)$ for $a+b=n$ in various supermatrix formats. The sets of defining relations between the Chevalley generators corresponding to different formats are different.

It is, clearly, possible to perform such changes of parities of (pairs of) Chevalley generators for any simple Lie algebra but, except for $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, the simple Lie algebras obtained by factorization modulo the ideal of relations, see [BGL1], are infinite-dimensional unless $p=2$.

If $p=2$, the following fact is obvious (here $x \longmapsto x^{[2]}$ is the restriction map and $x \longmapsto x^2$ is the squaring, cf. (7)):

(4) *any classically*[a] *restricted and $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ can be turned into a Lie superalgebra by setting $x^2 := x^{[2]}$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_-$.*

[a] In [BLLS2], in addition to the classical restrictedness, we introduced another, indigenous to $p=2$, versions of restrictedness, whose meaning is yet unclear but since they pertain to classical and often used algebras, e.g., $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ and $\mathfrak{h}(2n+1;\underline{N}_s)$, we believe that these new "restrictednesses" are important.

In [BLLS2], a (rather unexpected) generalization of the possibility (4) is described: **every** simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be turned into a simple Lie superalgebra by slightly enlarging its space if $\mathfrak{g}$ is not restricted. This generalization, and a "queerification", are the two methods which from every simple Lie algebra produce simple Lie superalgebras, and every simple Lie superalgebra can be obtained in one of these two ways as proved in [BLLS2]. These two methods applied to the simple Lie algebras we describe in this paper yield a huge quantity of new simple Lie superalgebras, both serial and exceptional. We do not list them, this is a routine work modulo a far from routine, see [KLL], job of describing all $\mathbb{Z}/2$-gradings of our newly found simple Lie algebras.

2.3. **Our strategy.** We consider the W-grading (of the desuperized $p=2$ version of the simple vectorial Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{C}$) for which the nonpositive part, especially the 0th component, is most clear. Then we consider the regradings described in Table (181), and perform generalized Cartan prolongation of the nonpositive (or negative) part of the regraded algebra in the hope to get a new simple Lie (super)algebra, as in (3).

When this approach is inapplicable since there is no visible analog, suitable for $p=2$, of the Lie (super)algebras we worked with over $\mathbb{C}$, we consider the description of the Lie superalgebras as the sum of its even and odd parts, desuperize this description and only after this consider the W-grading of the desuperization.

We begin with serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ in their standard W-grading.

Next, for each of the five exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$, we consider desuperizations of their 15 W-gradings.

2.4. **Main results.** 1) We describe, as complete or partial Cartan prolongs, the modular $p=2$ analogs of

(a) The serial simple (for generic $\frac{a}{b}$) Lie algebras $\mathfrak{po}_{a,b}(2n;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$, their simple relatives for $\frac{a}{b}=0$ and ∞, see (55), and also $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\nu(2^n-1;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$. These simple Lie algebras are new.

The divergence-free subalgebras $\mathfrak{sh}(2n;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}(2n;\underline{M}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{le}(n;\underline{N}|n))$ of Hamiltonian vector fields, discovered in [Leb], are singled out here by imposing constraints on $\underline{M}$.

(b) The generalized Cartan prolongs of nonpositive (or negative) parts of all 15 W-gradings, see Table 23.2, of the 5 exceptional simple vectorial Lie algebras — desuperizations of $p=2$

analogs of the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$, see Subsec. 3.16. The simple Lie algebras thus obtained are new, see Table 23.4, two of them, $\mathfrak{vle}(9;\underline{N})$ and $\mathfrak{mb}_3(11;\underline{N})$, are described from another point of view in [BGLLS].

For $p \neq 2$, the 15 W-graded analogs of the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ constitute, as abstract ones, 5 Lie superalgebras; all of their finite-dimensional analogs for $p = 2$ remain isomorphic in the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{vle}(7;\widetilde{\underline{L}}) \simeq \mathfrak{vle}(9;\widetilde{\underline{M}}) \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{vle}}(9;\widetilde{\underline{N}});\\ &\mathfrak{mb}_3(9;\widetilde{\underline{L}}) \simeq \mathfrak{mb}_3(11;\widetilde{\underline{M}}) \simeq \mathfrak{mb}_2(11;\widetilde{\underline{N}});\\ &\widetilde{\mathfrak{kle}}(15;\widetilde{\underline{L}}) \simeq \mathfrak{kle}_3(20;\widetilde{\underline{M}}) \simeq \mathfrak{kle}_2(20;\widetilde{\underline{N}}). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

For $p = 2$, the analogs of the W-graded simple vectorial Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{kas}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ are not simple; they contain a simple ideal of codimension 1, the derived algebra. Moreover, instead of 4 W-regradings of one abstract Lie superalgebra there appear 3 new simple Lie algebras, one of which has 2 W-regradings. Namely, let $\dim \widetilde{\underline{N}}$ denote the dimension of the parameter space the shearing vector depends on[4]; then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{kas}(7;\widetilde{\underline{L}}) \simeq \mathfrak{kas}(8;\widetilde{\underline{M}}), && \dim \widetilde{\underline{L}} = \dim \widetilde{\underline{M}} = 1;\\ &\mathfrak{kas}(10;\widetilde{\underline{N}}), && \dim \widetilde{\underline{N}} = 2;\\ &\widetilde{\mathfrak{kas}}(7;\widetilde{\underline{N}}), && \dim \widetilde{\underline{N}} = 4. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

2) We show that all regradings of $\mathfrak{mb}_3(11;\underline{N})$ are regradings of a true deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5;\underline{N})$, see Subsec. 1.2.1.

Other results: We explicitly describe Shen's version of both $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ and the Melikyan algebra as vectorial Lie algebra.

2.4.1. **Open problems.** 1) Do gradings of exceptional and serial vectorial Lie superalgebras other than those we have considered in this paper yield new simple Lie algebras? The fact (3) and result (6) suggest to inspect the other 32 W-gradings of serial Lie superalgebras of 34 possible ones, see [LS1], Subsec. 1.16.

2) Unlike $\mathfrak{vas}$, associated with the spinor representation ([LSh]) of the only nontrivial central extension of $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ existing for $p \neq 2, 3$ only for $n = 4$, there might exist several new simple $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_0$ equal to some of the nontrivial central extensions of various (existing only if $p = 2$ or 3) analogs of $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$, see [BGLL1]. Are there any?

3) Describe all $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading of the newly found simple Lie algebras to obtain new simple Lie superalgebras, see [BLLS2].

4) Is the simple Lie algebra of dimension 59 described in Subsec. 19.1 new?

3. Notation and background

Here, we remind the basics and give modifications of the familiar formulas needed under the passage from $\mathbb{C}$ to the fields of characteristic 2. In some formulas given for $p = 2$, we retain signs convenient for comparison with the cases where $p \neq 2$.

As is now customary, we denote the elements of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ by $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$, to distinguish them from integers.

We denote by $\mathfrak{c}$ the center of a given Lie (super)algebra; $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{g})$ or $\mathfrak{cg} := \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ denotes the result of the trivial central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\bullet k} := [\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \dots [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \dots]$, the k-fold bracket. Let $\mathfrak{a} \ltimes \mathfrak{b}$ or $\mathfrak{b} \rtimes \mathfrak{a}$ denote the semidirect sum of modules (algebras) in which $\mathfrak{a}$ is a submodule (ideal).

[4]The (non)critical coordinates of the shearing vectors are established with computer's aid in all cases by explicit computation of the bases of the first several terms $\mathfrak{g}_i$.

3.1. **Definition of Lie superalgebras for $p = 2$.** For $p = 2$, a Lie superalgebra is a superspace $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is a Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-module (made two-sided by symmetry) and with a *squaring* (roughly speaking, the halved bracket) defined on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$

$$\begin{aligned} &x \longmapsto x^2 \quad \text{such that } (ax)^2 = a^2x^2 \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{K}, \text{ and} \\ &[x, y] := (x + y)^2 - x^2 - y^2 \text{ is a bilinear form on } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \text{ with values in } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The *Jacobi identity* turns, if $p = 2$, into the following two conditions unless $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &[x^2, y] = [x, [x, y]] \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \\ &[x^2, x] = 0 \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}/2$, the conditions (8) should be replaced with the following one:

$$[x^2, y] = [x, [x, y]] \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{9}$$

Because of the squaring, the definition of derived algebras changes. For any Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, set $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := \mathfrak{g}$;

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] + \operatorname{Span}\{g^2 \mid g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}\}, \\ &\mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)} = (\mathfrak{g}^{(i)})^{(1)} := [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}] + \operatorname{Span}\{g^2 \mid g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^{(i)}\} \text{ for any } i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

An even linear map $r : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is said to be a *representation of the Lie superalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ and V is a $\mathfrak{g}$-*module* if

$$\begin{aligned} &r([x, y]) = [r(x), r(y)] \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}; \\ &r(x^2) = (r(x))^2 \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. **Analogs of polynomials for $p > 0$.** Consider the supercommutative superalgebra $\mathbb{C}[x]$ of polynomials in a indeterminates $x = (x_1, ..., x_a)$, for convenience let the first m indeterminates be even and the rest n ones odd ($m + n = a$). Among the bases of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ in which the structure constants are integers, there are two canonical ones: the monomial one and the basis of *divided powers* constructed as follows.

For any multi-index $\underline{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_a)$, where $r_1, \ldots, r_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_{m+1}, \ldots, r_n \in \{0, 1\}$, we set

$$u_i^{(r_i)} := \frac{x_i^{r_i}}{r_i!} \quad \text{and} \quad u^{(\underline{r})} := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq a} u_i^{(r_i)}.$$

These $u^{(\underline{r})}$ form an integer basis of $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &u^{(\underline{r})} \cdot u^{(\underline{s})} = \prod_{m+1 \leq i \leq n} \min(1, 2 - r_i - s_i) \cdot (-1)^{\sum\limits_{m < i < j \leq a} r_j s_i} \cdot \binom{\underline{r} + \underline{s}}{\underline{r}} u^{(\underline{r}+\underline{s})}, \\ &\text{where} \quad \binom{\underline{r} + \underline{s}}{\underline{r}} := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \binom{r_i + s_i}{r_i}. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, for clarity, we will write exponents of divided powers in parentheses.

Over any field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic $p > 0$, we consider the supercommutative superalgebra

$$\mathcal{O}(a; \underline{N}) := \mathbb{K}[u; \underline{N}] := \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{K}} \left(u^{(\underline{r})} \mid r_i \begin{cases} < p^{N_i} & \text{for } i \leq m \\ = 0 \text{ or } 1 & \text{for } i > m \end{cases} \right),$$

where $\underline{N} = (N_1, ..., N_m, 1, \ldots, 1)$ is the *shearing vector* with $N_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ (we assume that $p^\infty = \infty$). The algebra $\mathcal{O}(a; \underline{N}) = \mathbb{K}[u; \underline{N}]$, sometimes briefly denoted $\mathcal{F}$, is called the *algebras of divided powers*.

Important particular cases:

$$\underline{N}_s := (1, \ldots, 1) \text{ and } \underline{N}_\infty := (\infty, \ldots, \infty). \tag{10}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{O}(a;\underline{N}_s) = \mathbb{K}[u;\underline{N}_s]$ is the algebra of truncated polynomials. Only $\mathbb{K}[u;\underline{N}_s]$ is indeed generated by the indeterminates declared. The list of generators of $\mathbb{K}[u;\underline{N}]$ consists of $u_i^{(p^{k_i})}$ for all i and all k_i such that $1 \leq k_i < N_i$ when u_i are even.

3.3. **Vectorial Lie algebras and superalgebras.** Since any derivation D of a given algebra is determined by the values of D on the generators, we see that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{der}(\mathcal{O}(m;\underline{N}))$ of all derivations of $\mathcal{O}(m;\underline{N})$ has more than m functional parameters (coefficients of the analogs of partial derivatives) if $N_i \neq 1$ for at least one i. The *distinguished*[5] partial derivatives are defined by the formula

$$\partial_i(u_j^{(k)}) = \delta_{ij}u_j^{(k-1)} \text{ for all } k < p^{N_j}.$$

The Lie algebra of all derivations $\mathfrak{der}(\mathcal{O}(m;\underline{N}))$ turns out to be not so interesting (because it is not *transitive*, see [Dr]) as its Lie subalgebra of its distinguished derivations

$$\mathfrak{vect}(m;\underline{N}) = \left\{\sum f_i\partial_i \mid f_i \in \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{N})\right\}$$

often denoted $W(m;\underline{N})$ in honor of Witt who was the first to study it.

Superization is immediate. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vect}(n;\underline{N}|m)$, and its subalgebras, are called the **vectorial Lie superalgebras**. The symbol $\mathfrak{g}(a|b)$ denotes the Lie superalgebra with given name $\mathfrak{g}$ realized by vector fields on the space of superdimension $a|b$ and endowed with the standard grading (e.g., for $\mathfrak{vect}$ the degrees of all indeterminates are equal to 1; for the contact series the "time" indeterminate is of degree 2); this realization is taken as a point of reference for regradings governed by the parameter r and usually (for details, see [Sh14, LS]) denoting the number of odd indeterminates of degree 0. The regraded Lie superalgebra is denoted by $\mathfrak{g}(a|b;r)$. In the standard grading ($r = 0$) the parameter r is not indicated.

The $\mathfrak{vect}(m;\underline{N}|n)$-module of "functions" is sometimes abbreviated to id, it is the *identity* or *tautological* module over $\mathfrak{vect}(m;\underline{N}|n;r)$ and its subalgebras with the same negative part. For brevity this module is often shorthanded as

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{N}|n;r). \tag{11}$$

3.3.1. **Critical coordinates and unconstrained shearing vectors.** The coordinate of the shearing vector $\underline{N}$ is said to be *critical* if it can not take however big value. Obviously, all the coordinates of $\underline{N}$ concerning the odd indeterminates are critical; in what follows the notion is only applied to the even indeterminates. The shearing vector without any restrictions on its coordinates is said to be of *unconstrained* form; we denote it $\underline{N}^u$.

3.3.1a. Conjecture. *If the value of the unconstrained coordinate $\underline{N}_i$ can be > 1, then it can take any value except for the coordinate corresponding to one indeterminate for $\mathfrak{vle}$, see* (87) *and* (90).

3.4. **The (generalized) Cartan prolongation.** Let $\mathfrak{g}_- = \bigoplus_{-d\leq i\leq -1} \mathfrak{g}_i$ be a nilpotent $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{der}_0\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-grading-preserving derivations. Let

(12)

DS^k be the operation of rising to the kth divided symmetric power and $DS^\bullet := \oplus_k DS^k$.

[5]These derivatives are sometimes called *special* which is an ill-chosen term in view of the fact that the Lie (super)algebra of divergence-free vector field is called *special*, and hence all its elements are *special*.

Define the natural maps i, j, and the $(k, \underline{N})$th prolong of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ by setting

$$i\colon DS^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}_-)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_- \longrightarrow DS^k(\mathfrak{g}_-)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-;$$
$$j\colon DS^k(\mathfrak{g}_-)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 \longrightarrow DS^k(\mathfrak{g}_-)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-;$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_{k,\underline{N}} := \left(j(DS^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_-)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0) \cap i(DS^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_-)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-)\right)_{k,\underline{N}},$$

where the subscript k in the right hand side singles out the component of degree k.

Superization of the prolongation construction is immediate.

Set $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)_{*,\underline{N}} := \bigoplus\limits_{i\geq -d} \mathfrak{g}_{i,\underline{N}}$; then, as is easy to verify, $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)_{*,\underline{N}}$ is a Lie (super)algebra. Provided $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ without kernel, $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)_{*,\underline{N}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(m; \underline{N}|n)$ for $m|n = \operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_-$.

3.4.1. **A partial Cartan prolong: prolongation of a positive part.** Let $\mathfrak{h}_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ be a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodule such that $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_1] = \mathfrak{g}_0$. If such $\mathfrak{h}_1$ exists (usually, $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}_1] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$), define the 2nd prolongation of $(\bigoplus\limits_{i\leq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ to be

$$\mathfrak{h}_2 = \{D \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \mid [D, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] \in \mathfrak{h}_1\}. \tag{13}$$

The terms $\mathfrak{h}_i$, where $i > 2$, are similarly defined. Set $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}_i$ for $i \leq 0$ and $\mathfrak{h}_* = \oplus \mathfrak{h}_i$.

Examples: $\mathfrak{vect}(1|n; n)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n; n)$. The former is obtained as the Cartan prolong of the same nonpositive part as $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n; n)$ and a submodule of $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n; n)_1$. The simple exceptional superalgebra $\mathfrak{kas}$ introduced in [Sh5, Sh14] is another example.

3.4.2. **The serial simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ as prolongs.** Since we only need the vectorial Lie superalgebras considered as abstract, not realized by vector fields, we may consider their simplest filtrations with the smallest codimension of their maximal subalgebras.

The central in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ element $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is supposed to be chosen so that it acts on $\mathfrak{g}_k$ as $k \cdot \mathrm{id}$. The symbol id denotes not only the identity operator but the tautological module V over the linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$; sometimes we write $\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} := V$ for clarity.

3.5. **Traces and divergencies on vectorial Lie superalgebras.** On any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over a field $\mathbb{K}$, a *trace* is any linear map $\operatorname{tr} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Let now $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vectorial Lie algebra with $\mathfrak{g}_- := \bigoplus\limits_{i<0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, and let tr be a trace on $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Recall that any $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of a given vectorial Lie algebra is given by degrees of the indeterminates, so the space of functions is also $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. The *divergence* is an $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}}$-invariant prolongation $\operatorname{div} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$, see (11), of the trace satisfying the following conditions:

$\operatorname{div} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ preserves the degree, i.e., $\deg \operatorname{div} = 0$;

$X_i(\operatorname{div} D) = \operatorname{div}[X_i, D]$ for all elements X_i that span $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$;

$\operatorname{div}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \operatorname{tr}$;

$\operatorname{div}|_{\mathfrak{g}_-} = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the superalgebra of "functions" in u. We denote by $\mathrm{Vol}_u := \mathcal{F}^*$ the dual $\mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}|m)$-module; as $\mathcal{F}$-module it is generated by the *volume element* $\mathrm{vol}_u = 1^*$ with fixed indeterminates ("coordinates") u which we often do not indicate. The rank 1 $\mathcal{F}$-module of *weighted* λ-densities is Vol^λ; the $\mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}|m)$-action on Vol^λ is given by the *Lie derivative*

$$L_D(f \operatorname{vol}_u^\lambda) = \left(D(f) + (-1)^{p(f)p(D)} \lambda f \operatorname{div}(D)\right) \operatorname{vol}_u^\lambda. \tag{15}$$

By construction, the *special* Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sg} := \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{div}|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of *divergence-free* elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the complete prolong of $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{tr}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$; e.g., $\mathfrak{svect}(n; \underline{N}|m)$ is the prolong of $\mathfrak{sl}(n|m)$.

The $\mathfrak{svect}(0|m)$-module $\mathrm{Vol}(0|m)$ contains a submodule of codimension 1 which we often identify with a submodule of $\mathcal{O}(0|m)$:

$$\mathrm{Vol}_0(0|m) := \{f\,\mathrm{vol} \mid \int f\,\mathrm{vol} = 0\}, \quad T_0^0 := \mathrm{Vol}_0(0|4)/\mathbb{K}\cdot\mathrm{vol}\,. \tag{16}$$

If there are several traces on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and hence divergences on $\mathfrak{g}$, there are several types of special subalgebras, and we need an individual name for each.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie superalgebra, then the linear functional tr satisfying condition (14) is often called, for emphasis, *super*trace and denoted str. If we were consistent, we should, accordingly, use the term *super*divergence but instead we drop the preface "super" in both cases.

3.6. **Weisfeiler gradings.** For vectorial Lie superalgebras, the invariant notion is filtration, not grading. For $p = 0$, the *Weisfeiler* filtrations were used in description of infinite dimensional Lie (super)algebras $\mathcal{L}$ by selecting a maximal subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_0$ of finite codimension; for the simple vectorial Lie algebra, there is only one such $\mathcal{L}_0$. (Dealing with finite dimensional algebras for $p > 0$, we can confine ourselves to maximal subalgebras of *least* codimension, or "almost least".)

Let $\mathcal{L}_{-1}$ be a minimal $\mathcal{L}_0$-invariant subspace strictly containing $\mathcal{L}_0$; for $i \geq 1$, set:

$$\mathcal{L}_{-i-1} = [\mathcal{L}_{-1}, \mathcal{L}_{-i}] + \mathcal{L}_{-i} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_i = \{D \in \mathcal{L}_{i-1} \mid [D, \mathcal{L}_{-1}] \subset \mathcal{L}_{i-1}\}.$$

We thus get a filtration:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{-d} \supset \mathcal{L}_{-d+1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_0 \supset \mathcal{L}_1 \supset \ldots \tag{17}$$

The d in (17) is called the *depth* of $\mathcal{L}$, and of the associated *Weisfeiler-graded* Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus\limits_{-d\leq i} \mathfrak{g}_i$, where $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathcal{L}_i/\mathcal{L}_{i+1}$. We will be briefly say *W-graded* and *W-filtered*.

For the list of simple *W-graded* vectorial Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus\limits_{-d\leq i} \mathfrak{g}_i$ over $\mathbb{C}$, see [LS] reproduced in Tables 23.1 and 23.2.

The $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings of vectorial Lie superalgebras are defined by the vector $\vec{r}$ of degrees of the indeterminates, but this vector can be shorthanded **for W-gradings** to a number r, or a symbol. Let the indeterminates u_i be even, the θ_j odd. In (18), we consider $\mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m)$ preserving the Pfaff equation $\widetilde{\alpha}_1(X) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{vect}(2n+1|m)$; here $u = (t; p, q)$, $\theta = (\xi, \eta)$ for m even, etc., see (19). For the series $\mathfrak{m}$, the indeterminates are denoted as in (23).

In (18), the "standard" realizations correspond to $r = 0$, they are marked by an asterisk $(*)$. For $r = 0$, the codimension of $\mathcal{L}_0$ is the smallest.

(18)

Lie superalgebra	its $\mathbb{Z}$-grading
$\mathfrak{vect}(n\|m; r)$, where $0 \leq r \leq m$	$\deg u_i = \deg\theta_j = 1$ for any i, j $(*)$
	$\deg\theta_j = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$; $\deg u_i = \deg\theta_{r+s} = 1$ for any i, s
$\mathfrak{m}(n; r)$, where $0 \leq r < n-1$	$\deg\tau = 2$, $\deg u_i = \deg\theta_i = 1$ for any i $(*)$
	$\deg\tau = \deg u_i = 2$, $\deg\xi_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r < n$; $\deg u_{r+j} = \deg\xi_{r+j} = 1$ for any j
$\mathfrak{m}(n; n)$	$\deg\tau = \deg u_i = 1$, $\deg\xi_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$
$\mathfrak{k}(2n+1\|m; r)$, where $0 \leq r \leq [\frac{m}{2}]$, $r \neq k-1$ for $m = 2k$ and $n = 0$	$\deg t = 2$, whereas, for any i, j, k $(*)$ $\deg p_i = \deg q_i = \deg\xi_j = \deg\eta_j = \deg\theta_k = 1$
	$\deg t = \deg\xi_i = 2$, $\deg\eta_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r \leq [\frac{m}{2}]$; $\deg p_i = \deg q_i = \deg\theta_j = 1$ for $j \geq 1$ and all i
$\mathfrak{k}(1\|2m; m)$	$\deg t = \deg\xi_i = 1$, $\deg\eta_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$

3.7. **Natural generators of vectorial Lie superalgebras.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus \mathfrak{g}_i$ be a Weisfeiler grading of a given simple vectorial Lie superalgebra. We see that $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module with highest weight vector H, and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is the direct sum of indecomposable (sometimes, irreducible) $\mathfrak{g}_0$-modules with lowest weight vectors v_i.

Over $\mathbb{C}$, and over $\mathbb{K}$ for $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_s$, the simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated (bar a few exceptions) by the generators of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, the vector H, and the v_i. (For other values of $\underline{N}$, we have to add the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-lowest weight vectors $v_j^k \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ for some $j > 1$ to the above generators; these cases are not considered.) So we have to describe the generators of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, or rather its quotient modulo center.

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of the form $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ or its "relative", we select its Chevalley generators, see [BGL1].

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is an almost simple "lopsided" (in particular, of type $\mathfrak{pe}$, $\mathfrak{spe}$) but $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra, we apply the above described procedure to *it*: first, take *its* 0th components and its generators, then the highest and lowest weight vectors in *its* components of degree ± 1, etc.

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple of the form $\mathfrak{s} \otimes \Lambda(r) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|k)$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ is almost simple, then we take the already described generators of $\mathfrak{vect}(0|k)$ and apply the above procedures to $\mathfrak{s}$.

For a list of defining relations for many simple Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$, and their relatives, see [GL1, GLP].

3.8. **Forgetting the superstructure if $p = 2$.** Applying $\mathbf{F}$ to the known serial vectorial Lie superalgebras yields Table 23.1; these Lie algebras are not necessarily simple, but their simple relatives (subquotients) are derived by the routine procedure; here $\widetilde{\underline{N}}$ is the vector $\underline{N}$ with each extra coordinate equal to 1; the **unconstrained** form $\widetilde{\underline{N}}^u$ of $\widetilde{\underline{N}}$ may have fewer critical coordinates than $\widetilde{\underline{N}}$, or even none at all.

3.9. **Generating functions over $\mathbb{C}$.** A laconic way to describe $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{m}$ and their subalgebras is via generating functions.

Odd contact form α_1, where

$$\alpha_1 = dt + \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} (p_i dq_i - q_i dp_i) + \sum_{1\leq j\leq k} (\xi_j d\eta_j + \eta_j d\xi_j) \begin{cases} & \text{if } \ m = 2k \\ +\theta d\theta & \text{if } \ m = 2k+1. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

For any $f \in \mathbb{C}[t, p, q, \theta]$, set:

$$K_f = (2 - E)(f)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}E, \tag{20}$$

where $E = \sum\limits_i y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ (here the y_i are all the coordinates except t) is the *Euler operator*, and H_f is the hamiltonian vector field

$$H_f = \sum_{i\leq n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) - (-1)^{p(f)} \left(\sum_{j\leq m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \right) \tag{21}$$

with Hamiltonian f that preserves $d\widetilde{\alpha}_1$, where

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_1 = dt + \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} (p_i dq_i - q_i dp_i) \ + \sum_{1\leq j\leq m} \theta_j d\theta_j. \tag{22}$$

The choice of the form α_1 instead of $\widetilde{\alpha}_1$ only affects the shape of H_f that we give for $m = 2k+1$:

$$H_f = \sum_{i\leq n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) - (-1)^{p(f)} \sum_{j\leq k} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right).$$

Even contact form α_0, where

$$\alpha_0 = d\tau + \sum_i (\xi_i dq_i + q_i d\xi_i). \tag{23}$$

For any $f \in \mathbb{C}[q, \xi, \tau]$, set:

$$\begin{aligned} &M_f = (2-E)(f)\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \mathrm{Le}_f - (-1)^{p(f)}\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial\tau}E, \text{ where } E = \sum_i y_i\tfrac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \text{ and where } y = (q,\xi); \\ &\mathrm{Le}_f = \sum_{i\le n}\left(\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\ \tfrac{\partial}{\partial\xi_i} + (-1)^{p(f)}\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial\xi_i}\ \tfrac{\partial}{\partial q_i}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Since (here L_D is the Lie derivative along the vector field D)

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{K_f}(\alpha_1) = 2\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial t}\alpha_1 = K_1(f)\alpha_1, \\ &L_{M_f}(\alpha_0) = -(-1)^{p(f)}2\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial\tau}\alpha_0 = -(-1)^{p(f)}M_1(f)\alpha_0, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

it follows that $K_f \in \mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m)$ and $M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n)$. Observe that

$$p(\mathrm{Le}_f) = p(M_f) = p(f) + \bar{1}.$$

Contact brackets, Poisson bracket, antibracket (Buttin bracket): To the (super)commutators $[K_f, K_g]$ and $[M_f, M_g]$ there correspond *contact brackets* of the generating functions:

$$[K_f, K_g] = K_{\{f,\,g\}_{k.b.}};$$

$$[M_f, M_g] = M_{\{f,\,g\}_{m.b.}}.$$

The explicit expressions for the contact brackets are as follows. Let us first define the brackets on functions that do not depend on t (resp. τ).

The *Poisson bracket* $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{P.b.}$ (in the realization with the form $\widetilde{\omega}_0$) is given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \{f,g\}_{P.b.} \ &= \sum_{i\le n}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}\right) - \\ &(-1)^{p(f)}\sum_{j\le m}\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta_j}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial\theta_j} \text{ for any } f,g \in \mathbb{C}[p,q,\theta] \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and in the realization with the form $\omega_0 := d\alpha_1$ for $m = 2k+1$ it is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\{f,g\}_{P.b.} = \sum_{i\le n}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}\right) - \\ &(-1)^{p(f)}\left(\sum_{j\le m}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\xi_j}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial\eta_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial\eta_j}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial\xi_j}\right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial\theta}\right) \text{ for any } f,g \in \mathbb{C}[p,q,\xi,\eta,\theta]. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The *Buttin*[6] *bracket* $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{B.b.}$, discovered by Schouten and initially known as the *Schouten bracket*, is very popular in physics under the name *antibracket*, see [GPS]. It is given by the formula

$$\{f,g\}_{B.b.} = \sum_{i\le n}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial\xi_i} + (-1)^{p(f)}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\xi_i}\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}\right) \text{ for any } f,g \in \mathbb{C}[q,\xi]. \tag{28}$$

In terms of the Poisson and Buttin brackets, respectively, the contact brackets are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{f,g\}_{k.b.} = (2-E)(f)\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(2-E)(g) - \{f,g\}_{P.b.} \\ &\{f,g\}_{m.b.} = (2-E)(f)\frac{\partial g}{\partial\tau} + (-1)^{p(f)}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\tau}(2-E)(g) - \{f,g\}_{B.b.}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

[6]C. Buttin was the first to publish that the Schouten bracket satisfies super Jacobi identity.

The Lie superalgebras of *Hamiltonian vector fields* (or *Hamiltonian superalgebra*) and its special subalgebra (defined only if $n = 0$) are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{h}(2n|m) &= \{D \in \mathfrak{vect}(2n|m) \mid L_D\omega_0 = 0, \text{ where } \omega_0 := d\alpha_1\} \simeq \\ &\{D \in \mathfrak{vect}(2n|m) \mid L_D\widetilde{\omega}_0 = 0, \text{ where } \widetilde{\omega}_0 := d\widetilde{\alpha}_1\}, \\ \mathfrak{h}^{(1)}(m) &= \{H_f \in \mathfrak{h}(0|m) \mid \textstyle\int f \operatorname{vol} = 0\}.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The "odd" analogues of the Lie superalgebra of Hamiltonian fields are the Lie superalgebra of vector fields Le_f introduced in [Le2], and its special subalgebra:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{le}(n) &= \{D \in \mathfrak{vect}(n|n) \mid L_D\omega_1 = 0, \text{ where } \omega_1 := d\alpha_0\}, \\ \mathfrak{sle}(n) &= \{D \in \mathfrak{le}(n) \mid \operatorname{div} D = 0\}.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

It is not difficult to prove the following isomorphisms (as superspaces):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m) &\cong \operatorname{Span}(K_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[t,p,q,\xi]), \\ \mathfrak{po}(2n|m) &\cong \operatorname{Span}(K_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[t,p,q,\xi] \text{ such that } \tfrac{\partial f}{\partial t} = 0) \cong \mathbb{C}[p,q,\xi], \\ \mathfrak{h}(2n|m) &\cong \operatorname{Span}(H_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[p,q,\xi]) \simeq \mathbb{C}[p,q,\xi]/\mathbb{C}\cdot 1; \\ \mathfrak{m}(n) &\cong \operatorname{Span}(M_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[\tau,q,\xi]), \\ \mathfrak{b}(n) &\cong \operatorname{Span}(M_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[\tau,q,\xi]), \text{ such that } \tfrac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = 0) \cong \Pi(\mathbb{C}[q,\xi]), \\ \mathfrak{le}(n) &\cong \operatorname{Span}(\mathrm{Le}_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[q,\xi]) \cong \Pi(\mathbb{C}[q,\xi]/\mathbb{C}\cdot 1).\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{po}^{(1)}(m) &= \{K_f \in \mathfrak{po}(0|m) \mid \textstyle\int f \operatorname{vol} = 0\}; \\ \mathfrak{h}^{(1)}(m) &= \mathfrak{po}^{(1)}(m)/\mathbb{C}\cdot K_1.\end{aligned}$$

3.10. **Divergence-free subalgebras.** Since, as is easy to calculate,

$$\operatorname{div} K_f = (2n+2-m)K_1(f), \tag{33}$$

it follows that the divergence-free subalgebra of the contact Lie superalgebra either coincides with it (for $m = 2n+2$) or is the Poisson superalgebra. For the pericontact series, the situation is more interesting: the divergence free subalgebra is simple and new (as compared with the above list).

Since

$$\operatorname{div} M_f = (-1)^{p(f)}2\left((1-E)\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} - \sum_{i\le n}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}\right), \tag{34}$$

it follows that the divergence-free subalgebra of the pericontact superalgebra is

$$\mathfrak{sm}(n) = \operatorname{Span}\left(M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid (1-E)\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = \sum_{i\le n}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}\right). \tag{35}$$

In particular,

$$\operatorname{div} \mathrm{Le}_f = (-1)^{p(f)}2\sum_{i\le n}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}.$$

The divergence-free vector fields from $\mathfrak{sle}(n)$ are generated by *harmonic* functions, i.e., such that $\Delta(f) = 0$.

The Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sle}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sb}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{svect}(1|n)$ have traceless ideals $\mathfrak{sle}^{(1)}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(n)$ of codimension 1; they are defined from the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sle}^{(1)}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sle}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\cdot \mathrm{Le}_{\xi_1\dots\xi_n} \longrightarrow 0,\\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sb}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\cdot M_{\xi_1\dots\xi_n} \longrightarrow 0,\\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{svect}(1|n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\cdot \xi_1\dots\xi_n\partial_t \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

3.10.1. **A deform of the series $\mathfrak{b}$.** For an explicit form of M_f, see Subsec. 3.9. Set

$$\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n) = \left\{ M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid a\ \operatorname{div} M_f = (-1)^{p(f)}2(a-bn)\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau}\right\}.$$

We denote the operator that singles out $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ in $\mathfrak{m}(n)$ as follows, cf. (15):

$$\operatorname{div}_\lambda = (bn-aE)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - a\Delta, \quad \text{for } \lambda = \frac{2a}{n(a-b)} \text{ and } \Delta = \sum_{i\le n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i\partial\xi_i}.$$

Taking into account the explicit form of the divergence of M_f we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n) &= \{M_f \in \mathfrak{m}(n) \mid (bn-aE)\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial\tau} = a\Delta f\} = \\ &\{D \in \mathfrak{vect}(n|n+1) \mid L_D(\mathrm{vol}^a_{q,\xi,\tau}\,\alpha_0^{a-bn}) = 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

It is subject to a direct verification that $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ for $\lambda = \frac{2a}{n(a-b)} \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. Obviously, if $\lambda = 0, 1, \infty$, the structure of $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ differs from the other members of the parametric family: the following exact sequences single out simple Lie superalgebras (the quotient $\mathfrak{le}(n)$ and ideals, the first derived subalgebras):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}M_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{le}(n) \longrightarrow 0,\\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_1^{(1)}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_1(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\cdot M_{\xi_1\dots\xi_n} \longrightarrow 0,\\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_\infty^{(1)}(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_\infty(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\cdot M_{\tau\xi_1\dots\xi_n} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ can be further deformed at certain points λ, see [LSh].

3.11. **Passage from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{K}$.** Here we have collected answers to several questions that stunned us while we were writing this paper. We hope that even the simplest of these answers will help the reader familiar with representations of Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ but with no experience of working with characteristic p. For $p = 2$, several of our definitions are new.

3.11.1. **The Lie (super)algebras preserving symmetric nondegenerate bilinear forms $\mathcal{B}$.** We denote the Gram matrix of the bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ also by $\mathcal{B}$, let $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{B})$ be the Lie (super)algebras preserving $\mathcal{B}$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is odd and the superspace on which it is defined is of superdimension $n|n$, we write $\mathfrak{pe}_B(n)$ instead of $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{B})$.

3.11.1a. **Let $p \neq 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{pe}_B(n)$.** If the form $\mathcal{B}$ is in canonical shape $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_{n|n}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of the supermatrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } B \text{ is symmetric and } C \text{ antisymmetric.} \tag{39}$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{str} X = 2\operatorname{tr} A$. We also have $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{spe}(n)$, i.e., is of codimension 1 and singled out by the condition $\operatorname{str} X = 0$, which is equivalent to $\operatorname{tr} A = 0$.

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{N}|n)$ is, by definition, the Cartan prolong $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{pe}(n))_{*,\underline{N}}$.

Over $\mathbb{C}$, there is no shearing vector, and $\mathfrak{le}(n) := \mathfrak{le}(n|n)$ is spanned by the elements Le_f, where $f \in \mathbb{C}[q, \xi]$.

If $p > 2$, the elements of $\mathcal{O}(q; \underline{N}|\xi) \oplus \operatorname{Span}(q_i^{(p^{\underline{N}_i})} \mid N_i < \infty)$, or $\mathcal{O}[q; \underline{N}_\infty|\xi]$ for $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_\infty$, see (10), generate $\mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{N})$. If $N_i < \infty$ for at least one i, the the additional part Irreg does not change while the regular part is of the form looking alike for any $p > 2$:

$$\text{Reg} = \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{Le}_f \mid f \in \mathcal{O}(q; \underline{N}|\xi)) \oplus \operatorname{Span}(q_i^{(2^{\underline{N}_i})} \mid N_i < \infty), \quad \text{Irreg} = \operatorname{Span}(\xi_i \partial_{u_i})_{i=1}^n. \tag{40}$$

The prolong $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{spe}(n))_{*,\underline{N}}$ is singled out by the condition

$$\operatorname{div} \operatorname{Le}_f = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \Delta f = 0, \text{ where } \Delta = \sum_{i \le n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \xi_i}.$$

The operator Δ is, therefore, the Cartan prolong of the supertrace expressed as an operator acting on the space of generating functions.

3.11.1b. **Modifications in the above description for $p = 2$.** If $p = 2$, the analogs of symplectic and periplectic Lie (super)algebras accrue additional elements: If the matrix of the bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ is Π_{2n} (resp. $\Pi_{n|n}$), then $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{B})$ consists of the (super)matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & A^t \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } B \text{ and } C \text{ are symmetric, } A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n). \tag{41}$$

Denote these *general* Lie (super)algebras

$$\mathfrak{aut}_{gen}(\mathcal{B}) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o}_{gen}(2n) & \text{for } \mathcal{B} = \Pi_{2n} \\ \mathfrak{pe}_{gen}(n) & \text{for} \Pi_{n|n}. \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

Let

$$ZD \text{ denote the space of symmetric matrices with zeros on their main diagonals.} \tag{43}$$

The derived Lie (super)algebra $\mathfrak{aut}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B})$ consists of the (super)matrices of the form (41), where $B, C \in ZD$. In other words, these Lie (super)algebras resemble the orthogonal Lie algebras.

On these Lie (super)algebras $\mathfrak{aut}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B})$ the following (super)trace (*half-trace*) is defined:

$$\operatorname{htr} : \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & A^t \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \operatorname{tr} A. \tag{44}$$

The traceless Lie sub(super)algebra of $\mathfrak{aut}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{aut}^{(2)}(\mathcal{B})$.

There is, however, an algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{aut}}(\mathcal{B})$, such that $\mathfrak{aut}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{aut}}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{B})$, consisting of (super)matrices of the form (41), where $B \in ZD$, and any symmetric C (or isomorphic to it version with $C \in ZD$, and any symmetric B). We suggest to denote as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{aut}}(\mathcal{B}) &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{pe})(2n) & \text{for } \mathcal{B} \text{ even}, \\ \mathfrak{pe}(n) & \text{for } \mathcal{B} \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{aut}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B}) &= \{X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{aut}}(\mathcal{B}) \mid \operatorname{tr} A = 0\} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{spe})(2n) & \text{for } \mathcal{B} \text{ even}, \\ \mathfrak{spe}(n) & \text{for } \mathcal{B} \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

3.12. **Central extensions.** There is only one nontrivial central extension of $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ for $p \neq 2, 3$. It was discovered by A. Sergeev, so is denoted $\mathfrak{as}$ and exists only for $n = 4$; for details, see [BGLL1].

3.13. **Prolongs.** The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{pe}_{gen}(n)$ is larger than $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$: both B and C in $\mathfrak{pe}_{gen}(n)$ are symmetric, see (41). Observe that $\mathfrak{pe}_{gen}(n) \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n|n)$. Denote

$$\mathfrak{le}_{gen}(n; \underline{N}|n) := (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{pe}_{gen}(n))_{*,\underline{N}}. \tag{46}$$

Clearly, if $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_\infty$ see (10), then $\mathfrak{le}_{gen}(n; \underline{N}|n)$ consists of the regular part Reg and an additional part Irreg, cf. eq (40)

$$\mathrm{Reg} = \mathrm{Span}(\mathrm{Le}_f \mid f \in \mathcal{O}[q; \underline{N}|\xi]), \quad \mathrm{Irreg} = \mathrm{Span}(B_i := \xi_i \partial_{u_i})_{i=1}^n.$$

The part Irreg corresponds to the nonexisting generating functions ξ_i^2. Clearly, $\mathfrak{le}_{gen}(n; \underline{N}|n)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{svect}(n; \underline{N}|n)$, and therefore coincides with $\mathfrak{sle}_{gen}(n; \underline{N}|n)$.

The only difference between $\mathfrak{le}_{gen}(n; \underline{N}|n)$ and $\mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{N}|n)$ is constituted by the space Irreg. These nonexisting generating functions $\xi_i^{(2)}$ generate linear vector fields corresponding to the diagonal elements of the matrices B in (41), same as the $q_i^{(2)}$ generate linear vector fields corresponding to the diagonal elements of the matrices C in (41), but these two sets of elements are different in their nature: there are no elements of degree > 0 in $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{pe}_{gen})_{*,\underline{N}}$ whose brackets with $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ give the B_i.

The direct $p = 2$ analog of the complex superalgebra $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ is the Lie superalgebra consisting of the supermatrices of the form (41) with $B \in ZD$. Its commutant $\mathfrak{pe}(n)^{(1)}$ is of codimension 1 and singled out in $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ by the condition $\mathrm{htr} = 0$.

The Cartan prolong $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{pe}(n))_{*,\underline{N}}$ consists of the regular part only, and therefore looks the same for any $p > 0$. The Cartan prolong $(\mathrm{id}, (\mathfrak{pe}(n))^{(1)})_{*,\underline{N}}$ is singled out in $\mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{N}|n)$ by the following condition in terms of generating functions: $\Delta(f) = 0$.

Thus, the correct direct analogs of the complex Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sle}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ are $(\mathrm{id}, (\mathfrak{pe}(n))^{(1)})_{*,\underline{N}}$ and $\mathfrak{pe}(n)^{(1)}$, respectively.

In [Leb], there were considered $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{pe}(n)$, the derived algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}$, and the Cartan prolongs of these derived as $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathrm{id}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ consists of supermatrices of the form (41) with zero-diagonal matrices B and C, whereas $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}$ is singled out of $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ by the condition $\mathrm{htr} = 0$. The Cartan prolongs of each of these Lie superalgebras only have the regular parts:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)})_{*,\underline{N}} &= \mathrm{Span}\left(\mathrm{Le}_f \mid f \in \mathcal{O}(q; \underline{N}_s|\xi)\right); \\ (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{g}^{(2)})_{*,\underline{N}} &= \mathrm{Span}\left(\mathrm{Le}_f \mid f \in \mathcal{O}(q; \underline{N}_s|\xi) \text{ and } \Delta f = 0\right). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Let a bilinear form B be defined on a superspace V; let $\mathbf{F}(B)$ be the same form considered on $\mathbf{F}(V)$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_B(a; \underline{N}|b)$ denote the Hamiltonian Lie superalgebra — the Cartan prolong of the ortho-orthogonal Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{oo}_B(a|b)$ preserving the nondegenerate form B; its desuperization is $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{F}(B)}(a+b; \widetilde{\underline{N}})$, where $\widetilde{\underline{N}}$ has no critical coordinates.

3.13.1. **Divergence-free subalgebras of series $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{le}$.** These subalgebras are prolongations of subalgebras of linear components of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{le}$ consisting of traceless subalgebras. Observe, that if $p = 2$, these subalgebras, generated by (linear combinations of) quadratic monomials, can be determined by imposing constraints on the shearing vector.

3.13.1a. **Remark.** For $\underline{N}$ with $N_i < \infty$ for all i and $p = 2$, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{le}^{(1)}(n; \underline{N}|n)$ is spanned by the elements $f \in \mathcal{O}(q; \underline{N}|\xi)$, whereas each of the "virtual" generating functions $q_i^{(2^{\underline{N}_i})}$ determines an outer derivation of $\mathfrak{le}^{(1)}(n; \underline{N}|n)$.

3.13.2. $\mathfrak{svect}_{a,b}(0|n)$**.** The notation $\mathfrak{svect}_{a,b}(0|n)$ is a shorthand for $\mathfrak{svect}(0|n) \ltimes \mathbb{K}(az + bd)$, where d is the operator that determines the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $d = \sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i}$, while z is an element generating the trivial center commuting with $\mathfrak{svect}(0|n) \ltimes \mathbb{K} \cdot d$.

3.13.3. $\mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}(n)$. For $p=0$, the meaning of $\mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}(n)$ is similar to that of $\mathfrak{svect}_{a,b}(0|n)$, but with $d=\operatorname{diag}(1_n,-1_n)$. To define the analog of $\mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}(n)$ for $p=2$, see line $N=7$ in Table 23.1, observe that the codimension of $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ in $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is equal to 2. So, to pass from $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ to $\mathfrak{m}_0$, we have to add certain two elements, whereas to pass to $\mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}$ we have to add a linear combination of these elements with coefficients a and b. The question is: "can we single out these elements in a canonical way?"

For $p=0$, we managed. The identity operator (in matrix realization) is one of these elements. How to select the other element? There is no distinguished element in $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ that does not lie in $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$. But, if $p=0$, there is an element $\operatorname{diag}(1_n,-1_n)$ corresponding to a "most symmetric" generating function $\sum q_i\xi_i$.

Under the passage from $p=0$ to $p>2$ this "most symmetric" element lies in $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ if $p|n$ and the choice of the second element becomes a matter of taste.

If $p=2$, the situation becomes completely miserable. Now, the restriction of $M_{\sum q_i\xi_i}$ onto $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$ not only lies in $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ for n even, it coincides with the identity operator. So, in this case, there is no distinguished operator not lying in $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$. What to do?

We suggest to consider the elements of $\mathfrak{m}_0$ as operators acting not just on $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$, but on the whole $\mathfrak{m}_-$. If $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is thus understood, there are two well-defined linear forms that single out $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ in $\mathfrak{m}_0$. Indeed, any operator $A\in\mathfrak{m}_0$ is of the form $A=A_{-1}\oplus A_{-2}$, where $A_i=A|_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ and $A_{-2}=\lambda(A)\cdot\mathrm{id}$. The two distinguished linear forms are λ and μ, where $\mu(A)=\operatorname{htr}(A_{-1})$ (for $p>2$, we can set $\mu(A)=\operatorname{str}(A_{-1})$).

Now, $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ is singled out by conditions $\lambda(A)=\mu(A)=0$, while

$$\mathfrak{spe}_{a,b}(n)=\{X\in\mathfrak{pe}(n)\mid (a\mu+b\lambda)(X)=0\}. \tag{48}$$

3.14. **On $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$.** To pass from $\mathfrak{b}(n;\underline{N}|n)$ to $\mathfrak{m}(n;\underline{N}|n+1)$, we have to add to $\mathfrak{b}(n)_0=\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ the central element; it will serve as a grading operator of the prolong. We see that $\mathfrak{m}$ is the generalized Cartan prolong of $(\mathfrak{b}(n)_-,\mathfrak{cb}(n)_0)$.

The commutant of $\mathfrak{m}(n;\underline{N}|n+1)_0$ is the same as that of $\mathfrak{b}(n)_0=\mathfrak{pe}(n)$, so is of codimension 2. Hence there are two traces on $\mathfrak{m}(n;\underline{N}|n+1)_0$, and therefore there are two divergences on $\mathfrak{m}$. One of them is given by the operator

$$\partial_\tau,\ \text{more precisely } D_\tau:=\partial_\tau\circ\operatorname{sign},\ \text{i.e., } D_\tau(f)=(-1)^{p(f)}\partial_\tau(f)\ \text{for any } f\in\mathcal{O}(q;\underline{N}|\xi) \tag{49}$$

since this should be the map *commuting* (not **super**commuting) with $\mathfrak{m}_-$, see [Shch]. The condition $D_\tau(f)=0$, i.e., just $\partial_\tau(f)=0$ singles out precisely $\mathfrak{b}(n)$.

3.14.1. $\mathfrak{sb}$. The definition of $\mathfrak{sb}(n;\underline{N})$ is the same for any characteristic p (in terms of generating "functions" from an appropriate space $\mathcal{F}$, see (11)):

$$\mathfrak{sb}(n;\underline{N})=\operatorname{Span}(f\in\mathcal{F}\mid\Delta(f)=0). \tag{50}$$

3.14.2. $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}$ **for** $p=2$. The other trace on $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is htr. On $\mathfrak{le}$, its prolong was the operator Δ. But Δ does not commute with the whole $\mathfrak{m}_-$. To obtain the $\mathfrak{m}_-$-invariant prolong of this trace on $\mathfrak{m}_0$, we have to express htr in terms of the operators commuting with $\mathfrak{m}_-$ (Y*-type vectors* in terms of [Shch]). Taking $\mathfrak{m}_-$ spanned by the elements

$$\mathfrak{m}_{-2}=\mathbb{K}\cdot\partial_\tau,\quad \mathfrak{m}_{-1}=\operatorname{Span}(\partial_{q_i}+\xi_i\partial_\tau,\ \partial_{\xi_i})_{i=1}^n,$$

we see that the operators commuting with $\mathfrak{m}_-$ are spanned by

$$\partial_\tau,\quad \partial_{q_i},\quad \partial_{\xi_i}+q_i\partial_\tau.$$

In terms of these operators the vector field M_f takes the form:

$$M_f=f\partial_\tau+\sum_i\Big(\partial_{q_i}(f)(\partial_{\xi_i}+q_i\partial_\tau)+(\partial_{\xi_i}+q_i\partial_\tau)(f)\partial_{q_i}\Big) \tag{51}$$

and the invariant prolong of htr takes the form:

$$\Delta^{\mathfrak{m}}(f) = \sum_i ((\partial_{\xi_i} + q_i\partial_\tau)\partial_{q_i}(f) = \Delta(f) + E_q\partial_\tau(f), \text{ where } E_q = \sum_i q_i\partial_{q_i}. \tag{52}$$

The condition $\Delta^{\mathfrak{m}}(f) = 0$ singles out the $p = 2$ analog of $\mathfrak{sm}$, whereas the condition

$$b\partial_\tau(f) + a\Delta^{\mathfrak{m}}(f) = (b + aE_q)\partial_\tau(f) + a\Delta(f) = 0 \tag{53}$$

singles out the $p = 2$ analog of $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}$, cf. (37).

Setting $\mathfrak{po}_{a,b}(2n; \underline{\widetilde{N}}) := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n; \underline{N}))$ we single out a subalgebra in the Lie algebras of contact vector fields having no analogs for $p \neq 2$.

Let us figure out how the parameter λ of the regrading $\mathfrak{po}_\lambda(2n; \underline{N}) := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; \underline{N}))$ depends on parameters a, b above; for summary, see $N = 6, 7$ in Table 23.1. The space of $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n; \underline{N}_\infty)$ consists of vector fields (51) satisfying eq. (53); the regrading

$$\deg\tau = \deg q_i = 1, \quad \deg\xi_i = 0 \text{ for all } i$$

turns $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n; \underline{N}_\infty)$ into the Lie superalgebra whose 0th component is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ and the (-1)st component is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$-module of weighted λ-densities. To express λ in terms of parameters a, b, take an element in the 0th component of $\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n; \underline{N}_\infty)$ not lying in $\mathfrak{b}(n; \underline{N}_\infty)$ and see how it acts on M_1. Let $f = \alpha\tau + \beta q_1\xi_1$. Eq. (53) implies $\alpha b + \beta a$, so we can take $f = a\tau + bq_1\xi_1$. Observe that $M_{bq_1\xi_1}$ acts on the (-1)st component as the vector field $D = b\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}$ and

$$[M_{a\tau}, M_1] = [a\tau\partial_\tau, \partial_\tau] = a\partial_\tau = \frac{a}{b}(\operatorname{div} D)M_1, \text{ hence } \lambda = \frac{a}{b}. \tag{54}$$

The $p = 2$ version of eq. (38) are the following exact sequences that single out the simple Lie superalgebras (recall that $\mathfrak{b}(n; \underline{N}) = \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n; \underline{N})$ for $\lambda = 0$):

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}M_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}(n; \underline{N}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{N}) \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_1^{(1)}(n; \underline{N}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_1(n; \underline{N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \cdot M_{\xi_1\ldots\xi_n} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_\infty^{(1)}(n; \underline{N}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_\infty(n; \underline{N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \cdot M_{\tau\xi_1\ldots\xi_n} \longrightarrow 0. \end{gathered} \tag{55}$$

3.15. **On $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{po}$.** Observe that although $\mathfrak{h}_I(n; \underline{N})$ is well defined, **there is no Lie algebra** $\mathfrak{po}_I(n; \underline{N})$. Indeed, the bracket should be antisymmetric, i.e., alternate, while $\{x_i, x_i\}_I = 1$, not 0. Only $\mathfrak{h}_\Pi(2n; \underline{N})$ has it; *this* nontrivial central extension is a correct direct analog of the complex Poisson Lie (super)algebra $\mathfrak{po}(2n|0)$.

To pass from $\mathfrak{po}(0|n)$ to $\mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|2n)$, we have to add, as a direct summand, a central element to $\mathfrak{po}(n)_0 = \mathfrak{o}_\Pi^{(1)}(n)$; it will act on the prolong as a grading operator. We see that the generalized Cartan prolong of the pair $(\mathfrak{po}(0|n)_-, \mathfrak{co}_\Pi^{(1)}(n))$ is $\mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|2n)$.

The commutant of $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is the same as that of $\mathfrak{po}_0(n) = \mathfrak{o}_\Pi^{(1)}(n)$, so is of codimension 2. Thus, there are **two** traces on $\mathfrak{k}_0$, and hence there are **two** divergences on $\mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|2n)$, same as on $\mathfrak{m}$.

3.15.1. Theorem ([Leb]). *Let the parity function be denoted by the same symbol as the parity functor* Π. *Assume that*

$$\Pi(x_0) = \Pi(x_1) = \cdots = \Pi(x_{n_{\bar0}}) = \bar0, \qquad \Pi(x_{n_{\bar0}+1}) = \cdots = \Pi(x_n) = \bar1.$$

The following is the normal form of the odd contact form on a superspace:

$$dt + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} p_i dq_i + \sum_{1\leq i\leq l} \xi_i d\eta_i \begin{cases} & \text{for } n_{\bar0} = 2k \text{ and } n_{\bar1} = 2l, \\ +\theta d\theta & \text{for } n_{\bar0} = 2k \text{ and } n_{\bar1} = 2l+1, \end{cases}$$

where $t = x_0$, and $p_i = x_i$, $q_i = x_{k+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ are the even indeterminates; $\xi_i = x_{n_{\bar{0}}+i}$, $\eta_i = x_{n_{\bar{0}}+l+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, and $\theta = x_n$ for $n_{\bar{1}} = 2l+1$ are the odd indeterminates.

3.15.2. **Generating functions over $\mathbb{K}$.** Recall that the contact Lie superalgebra consists of the vector fields D that preserve the contact structure (nonintegrable distribution given by a contact form α_1, cf. (19)) on the supervariety $\mathcal{M}$ associated with the superspace $\mathbb{K}^{n_{\bar{0}}+1|n_{\bar{1}}}$:

$$L_D(\alpha_1) = F_D\alpha_1 \text{ for some } F_D \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ where } \mathcal{F} \text{ is the space of functions on } \mathcal{M}. \tag{56}$$

Consider the form (here $n_{\bar{0}} = 2k_{\bar{0}} + 1$; if $n_{\bar{0}}$ is even, no contact form exists)

$$\alpha_1 = dx_0 + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i dx_{k+i} \begin{cases} & \text{if } n = n_{\bar{0}} + n_{\bar{1}} = 2k \\ +x_{2k+1}dx_{2k+1} & \text{if } n = n_{\bar{0}} + n_{\bar{1}} = 2k+1, \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pi(x_0) = \Pi(x_1) = \cdots = \Pi(x_{k_{\bar{0}}}) = \Pi(x_{k+1}) = \cdots = \Pi(x_{k+k_{\bar{0}}}) = \bar{0}, \\ &\Pi(x_{k_{\bar{0}}+1}) = \cdots = \Pi(x_k) = \Pi(x_{k+k_{\bar{0}}+1}) = \cdots = \Pi(x_{2k+1}) = \bar{1}. \end{aligned}$$

The vector fields D satisfying (56) for some function F_D look differently for different characteristics:

For $p \neq 2$, and also if $p = 2$ and $n = 2k$, the fields D satisfying (56) have, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the following form (compare with (20)):

$$\begin{aligned} K_f = (1-E')(f)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}E' + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k_{\bar{0}}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+i}}\right) - \\ (-1)^{\Pi(f)} \left(\sum_{k_{\bar{0}}+1\leq i\leq k} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+i}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) \begin{cases} & \text{if } n = 2k \\ +\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2k+1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2k+1}} & \text{if } n = 2k+1 \end{cases}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where $E' = \sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i\partial_{x_i} \begin{cases} & \text{if } n = 2k \\ +\frac{1}{2}x_{2k+1}\partial_{x_{2k+1}} & \text{if } n = 2k+1. \end{cases}$

For $p = 2$ and $n = 2k+1$, we can not use formula (58) anymore (at least, not for arbitrary f) since it contains $\frac{1}{2}$. In this case, the elements of the contact algebra are of the following two types:

a) For any f such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2k+1}} = 0$, i.e., for any $f \in \mathcal{O}[x_1, \ldots, x_{2k}; \underline{N}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_f = \ (1+E')(f)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k_{\bar{0}}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+i}}\right) + \\ \sum_{k_{\bar{0}}+1\leq i\leq k} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+i}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right), \text{ where } E' = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

b) For any $g \in \mathcal{O}[x_0, \ldots, x_{2k}; \underline{N}]$, we set:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{b1)} \quad A_g := g\left(x_{2k+1}\partial_{x_0} + \partial_{x_{2k+1}}\right) \\ &\text{b2)} \quad B_g := gx_{2k+1}\partial_{x_{2k+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The brackets and squarings of contact vector fields, and the corresponding contact brackets of generating functions, are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[K_f, K_{f'}] = K_{[f,f']_{k.b.}}; && [M_f, M_{f'}] = M_{[f,f']_{m.b.}};\\
&(K_f)^2 = K_{\sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}}; && (M_f)^2 = M_{\sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}};\\
&[K_f, A_g] = A_{[f,g]_{k.b.}}; && (A_g)^2 = B_{g\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_0}};\\
&[K_f, B_g] = B_{[f,g]_{k.b.}}; && [A_g, B_{g'}] = A_{gg'};\\
&[A_g, A_{g'}] = B_{\frac{\partial (gg')}{\partial x_0}}; && [B_g, B_{g'}] = (B_g)^2 = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{61}
$$

where the contact bracket (for either $\mathfrak{k}$ or $\mathfrak{m}$) is of the form

$$
\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}(1 + E')(g) + (1 + E')(f)\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{k+i}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}\right) \tag{62}
$$

and where

$$
E' = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \text{ and } x_0 := \begin{cases} \tau & \text{for } \mathfrak{m}(k; \underline{N}|k+1)\\ t & \text{for } \mathfrak{k}(2k_{\bar{0}}+1; \underline{N}|2k_{\bar{1}}) \text{ and } k = k_{\bar{0}} + k_{\bar{1}}. \end{cases}
$$

For $p \neq 2$ and the bracket (62), the action of x_0 gives the grading in $\mathfrak{g}$. For $p = 2$, the element x_0 annihilates a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and acts as multiplication by 1 on the complementary subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Instead of $\mathbb{K}[i]$ we denote the corresponding $\mathbb{K}x_0$-module by

$$
\mathbb{K}[*] \tag{63}
$$

Set $\Phi := \sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i x_{k+i}$. For $p = 2$, the elements Φ and x_0 interchange their roles: now Φ commutes with $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

3.15.3. **The two types of superizations of the contact series over $\mathbb{C}$.**

The type $\mathfrak{k}$: Define the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m)$ on the direct sum of a $(2n, m)$-dimensional superspace W endowed with a nondegenerate antisymmetric bilinear form B and a $(1, 0)$-dimensional space spanned by z. Clearly, we have

$$
\mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m) = (\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m), \mathfrak{cosp}(m|2n))_* \tag{64}
$$

and, given $\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m)$ and a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{cosp}(m|2n)$, we call $(\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m), \mathfrak{g})_*$ the *k-prolong* of $(W, \mathfrak{g})$, where W is the tautological $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$-module.

The type $\mathfrak{m}$: The "odd" analog of $\mathfrak{k}$ is associated with the following "odd" analog of $\mathfrak{hei}(2n|m)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{ba}(n)$ the *antibracket* Lie superalgebra ($\mathfrak{ba}$ is Anti-Bracket read backwards). Its space is $W \oplus \mathbb{C}\cdot z$, where W is an $n|n$-dimensional superspace endowed with a nondegenerate antisymmetric odd bilinear form B; the bracket in $\mathfrak{ba}(n)$ is given by the following relations:

$$
z \text{ is odd and lies in the center; } [v, w] = B(v, w)\cdot z \text{ for any } v, w \in W.
$$

3.16. **Exceptional vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{K}$ analogous to their namesakes over $\mathbb{C}$.** We describe the exceptional vectorial Lie superalgebras, and their desuperizations, as complete Cartan prolongs of their **nonpositive** parts, see Tables 23.2, 23.4; the corresponding gradings are explicitly given in Table (181).

Every Lie superalgebras of series $\mathfrak{vect}$, $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is the complete prolong of its **negative** part in the standard grading, see (18). The simple exceptional Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of depth > 1, whose negative part in its W-grading different from the negative part of $\mathfrak{k}$ or $\mathfrak{m}$ in their standard grading, and not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{kas}(5; \underline{N}|5)$ is the complete prolong of its **negative** part.

Desuperizations of two nonisomorphic Lie superalgebras realized by vector fields on supervarieties of different superdimension might become vectorial Lie algebras realized on varieties of the same dimension. We distinguish these cases by their depths ($\mathfrak{mb}_2(11;\underline{N})$ and $\mathfrak{mb}_3(11;\underline{N})$ and also $\mathfrak{kle}_2(20;\underline{N})$ and $\mathfrak{kle}_3(20;\underline{N})$); for the case of equal depths, we denote $\mathfrak{vle}(9;\underline{N})$ and — for the time-being — $\widetilde{\mathfrak{vle}}(9;\underline{N})$.

4. A description of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(2^n-1;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$ for $p=2$

4.1. **Recapitulation: $p=0$, n even.** Let $q=(q_1,\dots,q_n)$ and $\xi=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n)$. We consider the subsuperspace of functions $\mathbb{C}[q,\xi]$ of the form

$$\{(1+\Xi)f(q,\xi)\mid \Delta(f)=0 \text{ and } \int_\xi f\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi=0\},\ \text{where } \Xi:=\xi_1\cdot\dots\cdot\xi_n,$$

with the Buttin bracket. In this section we use only this bracket and omit index "B.b".

Let us compute the bracket in $(1+\Xi)\mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n;n)$ realized by elements of $\mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n)$. We have

$$\{(1+\Xi)f,\ (1+\Xi)g\}= \tag{65}$$
$$\begin{cases} \{f,\ g\}=(1+\Xi)\{f,g\} & \text{if } \deg_\xi(f),\deg_\xi(g)>0;\\ \{(1+\Xi)f,\ g\}=(1+\Xi)\{f,g\} & \text{if } \deg_\xi(f)=0,\ \deg_\xi(g)>1;\\ \{(1+\Xi)f,\ g\}= & \\ (1+\Xi)\{f,\ g\}+\sum\partial_{\xi_i}\Xi f\partial_{q_i}(g_i)\xi_i & \text{if } \deg_\xi(f)=0,\ \deg_\xi(g)=1,\\ \text{Since } \sum\partial_{\xi_i}\Xi f\partial_{q_i}(g_i)\xi_i=\Xi f\sum\partial_{q_i}(g_i)=0. & \\ (1+\Xi)\{f,\ g\} & \text{i.e., } g=\sum g_i(q)\xi_i \text{ and } \Delta(g)=0;\\ \sum\partial_{\xi_i}\Xi(\partial_{q_i}(f)g-\partial_{q_i}(g)f) & \text{if } \deg_\xi(f)=\deg_\xi(g)=0. \end{cases}$$

In the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}=\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(n;n)$ by degrees of the q shifted by -1, we have:

$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is spanned by monomials in ξ of degrees 1 through $n-1$, and by $1+\Xi$;

$\mathfrak{g}_0$ is spanned by functions of the form $g=(1+\Xi)\sum g_i(\xi)q_i$, where $\sum\partial_{\xi_i}g_i=0$.

The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is as follows. If $\deg_\xi(g_i)>0$, then we can ignore Ξ in the factor $1+\Xi$ since Ξ annihilates g_i, and hence ad_g acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as the vector field $\sum g_i\partial_{\xi_i}$ acts on the space of functions in ξ.

If $g=(1+\Xi)q_i$, then the ad_g-acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ precisely an element of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|n)$ acts on the space $\mathrm{Vol}[\xi]$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{g,\ \xi_j\}=(1+\Xi)\delta_{ij},\\ &\{g,\ 1+\Xi\}=\partial_{\xi_i}(\Xi),\\ &\mathrm{ad}_g(f)=\partial_{\xi_i}(f) \text{ for monomials } f=f(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Since $(1-\Xi)\,\mathrm{vol}$ is the invariant subspace in $\mathrm{Vol}[\xi]$, it follows that, in the quotient space, we can take for a basis elements of the form $f(\xi)\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi$, where monomials f differ from 1 and Ξ, and either 1 or Ξ. (For reasons unknown, *SuperLie* selected Ξ.)

4.1.1. **Recapitulation: $p=0$, n odd.** Everything is as above but with an odd parameter τ which should be a coefficient of Ξ. These deforms with odd parameters have interpretation in the category of supervarieties, see [BGL4], but not in the naive setting of superspaces over $\mathbb{K}$.

4.2. **$\mathbf{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(2^{n-1}-1;\underline{N}|2^{n-1}))$ for n odd, $p=2$.** For $p=2$, it is possible to desuperize deforms with odd parameters and consider them in the category of superspaces, see [BGL4]. We assume that $p(\mathrm{vol}_\xi) \cong n \pmod p$.

Example: $n=3$. For a basis in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, where $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$, we take:

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_1 = \xi_1\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi,\ \partial_2 = \xi_2\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi,\ \partial_3 = \xi_3\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi,\ \partial_4 = \xi_1\xi_2\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi,\ \partial_5 = \xi_1\xi_3\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi,\\ &\partial_6 = \xi_2\xi_3\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi,\ \partial_7 = \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi\,.\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

For a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, where $\delta_i := \partial_{\xi_i}$ we take:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)\delta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_7 + x_4\partial_2 + x_5\partial_3 + x_7\partial_6\\ &(1+\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)\delta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_7 + x_4\partial_1 + x_6\partial_3 + x_7\partial_5\\ &(1+\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)\delta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_7 + x_5\partial_1 + x_6\partial_2 + x_7\partial_4\\ &\xi_1\delta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_1 + x_6\partial_5\\ &\xi_1\delta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_1 + x_6\partial_4\\ &\xi_2\delta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_2 + x_5\partial_6\\ &\xi_1\delta_1 + \xi_2\delta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 + x_5\partial_5 + x_6\partial_6\\ &\xi_1\delta_1 + \xi_3\delta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_1 + x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4 + x_6\partial_6\\ &\xi_2\delta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_2 + x_5\partial_4\\ &\xi_3\delta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_3 + x_4\partial_6\\ &\xi_3\delta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_3 + x_4\partial_5\\ &\xi_1\xi_2\delta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_4\\ &\xi_1\xi_3\delta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_5\\ &\xi_1\xi_2\delta_2 + \xi_1\xi_3\delta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_4 + x_3\partial_5\\ &\xi_2\xi_3\delta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_6\\ &\xi_1\xi_3\delta_1 + \xi_2\xi_3\delta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_5 + x_2\partial_6\\ &\xi_1\xi_2\delta_1 + \xi_2\xi_3\delta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_4 + x_3\partial_6\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

The highest weight vectors are:

$$\begin{aligned}&w_1 = x_2x_3\partial_7 + x_2x_5\partial_1 + x_2x_6\partial_2 + x_2x_7\partial_4 + x_3x_4\partial_1 + x_3x_6\partial_3 + x_3x_7\partial_5 + x_4x_6\partial_4 + x_5x_6\partial_5,\\ &w_2 = x_2x_3\partial_1 + x_2x_6\partial_4 + x_3x_6\partial_5,\\ &w_3 = x_3^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_3x_6\partial_4.\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

The lowest weight vectors are:

$$\begin{aligned}&v_1 = x_1^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_1x_2\partial_2 + x_1x_5\partial_5 + x_1x_6\partial_6 + x_2x_5\partial_6 + x_1^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_1x_3\partial_3 + x_1x_4\partial_4 + x_1x_6\partial_6 + x_3x_4\partial_6,\\ &v_2 = x_1^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_1x_2\partial_2 + x_1x_5\partial_5 + x_1x_6\partial_6 + x_2x_5\partial_6 + x_1^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_1x_3\partial_3 + x_1x_4\partial_4 + x_1x_6\partial_6 + x_3x_4\partial_6,\\ &v_3 = x_1^{(2)}\partial_6,\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

4.2.1. **Partial prolongs.** The elements of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ absent in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 := [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}]$ are $\xi_1\xi_2\delta_3$, $\xi_1\xi_3\delta_2$, $\xi_2\xi_3\delta_1$. The $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is irreducible.

The weights are considered with respect to $\mathfrak{sl}(3) \subset \mathbf{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|3))$, i.e., $w(\xi_1) = (1,0)$, $w(\xi_2) = (-1,1)$, $w(\xi_3) = (0,-1)$. The raising are the elements for which either $w_1 + w_2 > 0$, or $w_1 = -w_2 > 0$, the lowering are the elements for which either $w_1 + w_2 < 0$, or $w_1 = -w_2 < 0$. (To find lowering and raising operators, we could have considered a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|n)$ by setting $\deg\xi_1 = \ldots = \deg\xi_{n-1} = 1$ and $\deg\xi_n = -n+1$ with ensuing natural division into "positive" and "negative" parts.)

Let V_i and W_i denote the $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$-modules generated by v_i and w_i, respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim\mathfrak{g}_1 = 31,\ \dim\mathfrak{g}_2 = 49,\ \dim\mathfrak{g}_3 = 71;\\ &\dim V_1 = \dim W_1 = 7,\ \dim V_2 = \dim W_2 = 8,\ \dim V_3 = \dim W_3 = 16;\\ &V_1 = W_1,\ V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3,\ W_1 \subset W_2 \subset W_3,\\ &\dim(V_2+W_2) = 9,\ \dim(V_2+W_3) = \dim(V_3+W_2) = 17,\ \dim(V_3+W_3) = 24.\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

The brackets with $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_1]) = \dim[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_2+W_2] = 14,\\ &\dim([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_3]) = 15 \text{ (absent are } \xi_1\xi_2\delta_3,\ \xi_1\xi_3\delta_2),\\ &\dim([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, W_3]) = 15 \text{ (absent are } \xi_1\xi_3\delta_2,\ \xi_2\xi_3\delta_1),\\ &\dim([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_3+W_3]) = 16 \text{ (absent is } \xi_1\xi_3\delta_2).\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Therefore

(73)

Partial prolongs in the direction of	dimensions
V_1 or V_2 or V_2+W_2	$\dim \mathfrak{g}_2=1;\ \mathfrak{g}_3=0$
V_3 or V_3+W_2	$\dim \mathfrak{g}_2=\dim \mathfrak{g}_3=16$
V_3+W_3	$\dim \mathfrak{g}_2=32, \dim \mathfrak{g}_3=40$
$[\mathfrak{g}_{-1},V_1]$ or $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1},V_2+W_2]$	$\dim \mathfrak{g}_1=10$ absent are v_3 and w_3; $\dim \mathfrak{g}_2=1;\ \mathfrak{g}_3=0$.

The noncritical coordinates of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(7;\underline{N})=\mathbf{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(4;\underline{N}|3))$ are N_1, N_2, and N_3.

4.3. **$\mathbf{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(2^{n-1}-1;\underline{N}|2^{n-1}))$ for n even, $p=2$.** For the unconstrained shearing vector $\underline{N}_\infty$, see (10), the dimensions of homogeneous components of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(2^n-1;\underline{N}_\infty)$ are the same as those of $\mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n)$ in the nonstandard grading $\mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n;n)$ for $p=0$. The main idea: $\mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n)=\operatorname{Im}\Delta|_{\mathfrak{b}(n)}$, where $\Delta=\sum \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i\partial\xi_i}$. The dimensions of homogeneous components of $\mathfrak{b}(n;n)$ for n even are:

(74)

i	$\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_i$	$\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{sb}^{(1)}(n;n)_i$
-1	$2^{n-1}\vert 2^{n-1}$	$2^{n-1}\vert 2^{n-1}-1$
0	$n(2^{n-1}\vert 2^{n-1})$	$(n-1)(2^{n-1}\vert 2^{n-1})+1\vert 0$
1	$\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)(2^{n-1}\vert 2^{n-1})$	$\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n+2)(2^{n-1}\vert 2^{n-1})-0\vert 1$

Let the weights of ξ_i be $w(\xi_i)=(0,...0,1,0,...,0)$ with a 1 on the ith place for $i<n$ and $w(\xi_n)=(-1,...,-1)$.

4.3.1. **Example $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(8;\underline{N}|7)$.** For a basis (even | odd) of the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}\simeq\frac{\Pi(\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ol}(0|n))}{\mathbb{K}(1+\xi_1...\xi_n)\operatorname{vol}_\xi}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0\simeq\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}(0|4))$, we take:

(75)

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
\partial_1:=\xi_1\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\ \partial_2:=\xi_2\operatorname{vol}_\xi; & \partial_9:=\xi_1\xi_2\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\ \partial_{10}:=\xi_1\xi_3\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\\
\partial_3=\xi_3\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\ \partial_4=\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi; & \partial_{11}:=\xi_1\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\ \partial_{12}:=\xi_2\xi_3\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\\
\partial_5=\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\partial_6=\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi; & \partial_{13}:=\xi_2\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\ \partial_{14}:=\xi_3\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\\
\partial_7=\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi;\ \partial_8=\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi & \partial_{15}:=\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\operatorname{vol}_\xi
\end{array}$$

Critical coordinates of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(15;\underline{N})=\mathbf{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(8;\underline{N}|7))$ are the same as those of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}(8;\underline{N}|7)$: $N_5=N_6=N_7=N_8=1$, and all those corresponding to the formerly odd indeterminates: N_9–N_{15}.

4.3.2. **Partial prolongs.** We have $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_0=25|24$, and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ contains a simple ideal of sdim $=21|24$, the quotient is commutative; $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is irreducible over this ideal. We have $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1=56|55$, there are 3 highest weight vectors and 2 lowest weight vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_1$;

(76)

$$\begin{array}{l}
V_1=W_1,\ V_1\subset V_2,\ W_1\subset W_2\subset W_3;\\
\operatorname{sdim}V_1=24|21,\ \operatorname{sdim}V_2=\operatorname{sdim}W_3=32|31,\ \operatorname{sdim}W_2=24|22,\\
\operatorname{sdim}(V_2+W_2)=32|32,\ \operatorname{sdim}(V_2+W_3)=40|40;\\
\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2=105|104.
\end{array}$$

The highest weight vector of W_3 is $w_3=x_4^{(2)}\partial_5$. This answer seems strange: the algebra is symmetric with respect to the permutation of the ξ_i while the list of highest weight vectors is not. Performing all possible permutations we obtain similar vectors $x_1^{(2)}\partial_8$, $x_2^{(2)}\partial_7$, $x_3^{(2)}\partial_6$ (which are not highest/lowest with respect to the division into positive/negative weights we have selected first) but generate similar submodules Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 (and $Y_4=W_3$).

We have $Y_1+Y_2+Y_3+Y_4=\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\operatorname{sdim}(Y_1+Y_2+Y_3)=48|48$.

Other highest weight vectors:

(77)

$$w_1=x_3x_4\partial_{10}+x_3x_{13}\partial_5+x_4^{(2)}\partial_{11}+x_4x_{12}\partial_5+x_4x_{13}\partial_6+x_2x_4\partial_9+x_2x_{14}\partial_5+x_4^{(2)}\partial_{11}+x_4x_{12}\partial_5+x_4x_{14}\partial_7,$$
$$w_2=x_3x_4\partial_9+x_3x_{14}\partial_5+x_4x_{14}\partial_6.$$

The lowest weight vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= x_1x_2\partial_4 + x_1x_5\partial_7 + x_1x_9\partial_{11} + x_1x_{12}\partial_{14} + x_2x_5\partial_8 + x_2x_9\partial_{13} + x_2x_{10}\partial_{14} + x_9x_{10}\partial_7 + x_9x_{12}\partial_8,\\ v_2 &= x_1^{(2)}\partial_4 + x_1x_5\partial_8 + x_1x_9\partial_{13} + x_1x_{10}\partial_{14} + x_9x_{10}\partial_8. \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ V_1]) = 21|24; && \operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ Y_i]) = 22|24,\\ &\operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ Y_i + Y_j]) = 23|24, && \operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, Y_i + Y_j + Y_k]) = 24|24. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Partial prolongs of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and the following parts of $\mathfrak{g}_1$:
From $V_1 = W_1$ and W_2: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 11|8$, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_3 = 0|1$, no parameters;
From V_2: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 33|32$, 1 parameter N_1 (same for W_3, parameter N_4);
From $V_2 + W_3$: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 56|56$, 2 parameters N_1 and N_4, similar for $Y_i + Y_j$;
From $Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 80|80$, 3 parameters N_1, N_2 and N_3.
Partial prolongs of the following parts of $\mathfrak{g}_0$:
From $(21|24)$: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 24|27$, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 11|8$, no parameters;
From $(22|24)$: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 32|34$, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 33|32$, 1 parameter;
From $(23|24)$: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 40|41$, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 56|56$, 2 parameters;
From $(24|24)$: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 48|48$, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2 = 80|80$, 3 parameters.

5. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and its $p > 2$ versions

5.1. **Recapitulations, see** [ShP]**.** In the realization of $\mathfrak{le}(3)$ by means of generating functions, we identify the space of $\mathfrak{le}(3)$ with $\Pi(\mathbb{C}[\theta, q]/\mathbb{C}\cdot 1)$, where $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$, $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$, see (31). In the *standard grading* $\deg_{Lie}$ of $\mathfrak{le}(3)$, we assume that $\deg q_i = \deg\theta_i = 1$ for all i, and the grading is given by the formula

$$\deg_{Lie}(f) := \deg \mathrm{Le}_f = \deg f - 2 \text{ for any monomial } f \in \mathbb{C}[\theta, q].$$

The *nonstandard* grading $\deg_{Lie;3}$ of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{le}(3;3)$ is determined by the formulas

$$\deg_3\theta_i = 0 \text{ and } \deg_3 q_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3;$$

$$\deg_{Lie;3}(f) = \deg_3 f - 1 \text{ for any monomial } f \in \mathbb{C}[\theta, q].$$

This grading of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{le}(3;3)$ is of depth 1, and its homogeneous components are of the form:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3]/\mathbb{C}\cdot 1); \quad \mathfrak{g}_k = \Pi(\mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3]) \otimes S^{k+1}(q_1, q_2, q_3) \text{ for } k \geq 0.$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(0|3)$, and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module with the lowest weight vector q_1^2. The whole Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{le}(3;3)$ is the Cartan prolong of its nonpositive part and the component $\mathfrak{g}_1$ generates the whole positive part.

To obtain $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$, we add the central element d to the zeroth component of $\mathfrak{le}(3;3)$; so that ad_d is the grading operator on the Cartan prolong of its nonpositive part; this prolong is strictly bigger than $\mathfrak{le}(3;3) \ltimes \mathbb{C}\cdot d$.

This Cartan prolong is the exceptional simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$. Its component $\mathfrak{vle}_1$ is reducible but indecomposable $\mathfrak{vle}_0$-module, and $\mathfrak{vle}_1/\mathfrak{le}(3;3)_1 \simeq (\mathfrak{le}(3;3)_{-1})^*$. The other lowest weight vector in $\mathfrak{vle}_1$ is the element dual to the highest weight vector in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, i.e., to $\Pi(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)$.

Under the identification

$$\Pi(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3) \longmapsto -\partial_y; \quad \Pi(\theta_i) \longmapsto -\partial_{x_i}; \quad \Pi\left(\frac{\partial(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)}{\partial\theta_i}\right) \longmapsto -\partial_{\xi_i}$$

each vector field $D \in \mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$ is of the form

$$D_{f,\,g} = \mathrm{Le}_f + yB_f - (-1)^{p(f)} \left(y\Delta(f) + y^2 \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial\xi_1\partial\xi_2\partial\xi_3} \right) \partial_y + \\ + B_g - (-1)^{p(g)} \left(\Delta(g) + 2y \frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial\xi_1\partial\xi_2\partial\xi_3} \right) \partial_y, \tag{80}$$

where $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x, \xi]$, and the operators B_F and Δ are given by the formulas

$$B_F = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_2\partial\xi_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_3\partial\xi_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_2} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_1\partial\xi_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_3}, \quad \Delta = \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial\xi_i}. \tag{81}$$

There are two embeddings of $\mathfrak{le}(3)$ into $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$. The embedding $i_1 : \mathfrak{le}(3) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$ corresponds to the grading $\mathfrak{le}(3;3)$. For explicit formulas, see [ShP].

The embedding $i_2 : \mathfrak{le}(3) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$ corresponds to the standard grading of $\mathfrak{le}(3)$. In terms of generating functions this embedding is of the form

$$i_2(f(q,\theta)) \longrightarrow D_{f(i(q,\theta)),\,0}, \quad \text{where } i(q_1,q_2,q_3,\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_2) = (x_1,x_2,x_3,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3). \tag{82}$$

As vector spaces, we have

$$\mathfrak{vle}(4|3) = i_1(\mathfrak{le}(3;3)) + i_2(\mathfrak{le}(3)) \text{ while } i_1(\mathfrak{le}(3;3)) \cap i_2(\mathfrak{le}(3)) \simeq \mathfrak{sle}^{(1)}(3). \tag{83}$$

Besides,

$$i_1(f(q)) = i_2(\Delta(f\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)), \\ i_2(f(q)) = -i_1(\Delta(f\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)), \tag{84}$$

and

$$i_1(f) = i_2(f) \text{ if } f = \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3} f_i(q)\theta_i \text{ and } \Delta f = 0. \tag{85}$$

The lowest weight vectors in $\mathfrak{vle}_1$ are $i_1(q_1^2)$ and $i_2(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)$. We have

$$\mathrm{sdim}\,\mathfrak{vle}_1 = 28|27. \tag{86}$$

5.2. **Passage from the ground field $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{K}$ for $p > 2$.** The situation described in the previous subsection does not change under passage from the ground field $\mathbb{C}$ to any field of characteristic 0 and also to $\mathbb{K}$ if $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{K} = p > 2$ provided $\underline{M}_i = \infty$ for each even indeterminate x_i. As is clear from Eq. (80), even over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\text{the degree with respect to } y \text{ of the coefficients of the vector fields in } \mathfrak{vle} \text{ is} \leq 2. \tag{87}$$

In all these cases, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ — the Cartan prolong of the nonpositive part of $\mathfrak{vle}$ — remains simple and of infinite dimension. The component $\mathfrak{g}_1$ also retains its structure as $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module but it does not generate the whole $\mathfrak{g}$ (since the u_i do not generate $\mathcal{O}(u;\underline{M})$ if $\underline{M} \neq \underline{M}_s$).

If $p > 2$, the component $\mathfrak{g}_1$ also retains its structure as $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module even if any (or all) of the coordinates of the shearing vector $\underline{M} = (\underline{M}_1, \underline{M}_2, \underline{M}_3)$ become finite. If $\underline{M}_i < \infty$ for all i, the Cartan prolong is finite dimensional. It can by described by means of Eq. (80) but we should investigate when $D_{f,\,g} \in \mathfrak{vect}(4;\widetilde{\underline{M}}|3)$, where $\widetilde{\underline{M}} = (\underline{M}, M_y)$. The direct observation gives the answer:

$$f \in \mathcal{O}(x;\underline{M}|\xi) \oplus \mathrm{Span}(x_i^{(s_i)})_{i=1}^3, \text{ where } s_i = p^{M_i},$$

i.e., we should add "virtual" (nonexisting for the given $\underline{M}$) elements $f = x_i^{(s_i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since due to (84)

$$D_{x_i^{(s_i)},\,0} = i_2(q_i^{(s_i)}) = i_1(\Delta(q_i^{(s_i)}\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)),$$

we see that $i_2(q_i^{(s_i)}) \in \mathfrak{vle}(4; \widetilde{\underline{M}}|3)^{(1)}$ though $q_i^{(s_i)} \notin \mathfrak{le}(3; \underline{M})^{(1)}$.

Now, let us investigate generating functions g. The kernel of the map $g \longmapsto D_{0,\,g}$ is:

$$\operatorname{Span}(D_{0,\,g} = 0 \mid g = g(x) \text{ or } g = \sum_i g_i(x)\xi_i \text{ with } \Delta g = 0.) \tag{88}$$

If $g = \sum_i g_i(x)\xi_i$ but $\Delta g = h(x) \neq 0$, then $D_{0,\,g} = h(x)\partial_y$ depends on h only. It is clear that any function $h \in \mathcal{O}(x; \underline{M})$ can be expressed as $h = \Delta g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{O}(x; \underline{M}|\xi)$, except for

$$h_s = x_1^{(s_1-1)}x_2^{(s_2-1)}x_3^{(s_3-1)}, \text{ where } s = (s_1, s_2, s_3).$$

To obtain $D = h_s\partial_y$, we should add to the space of generating functions any of the "virtual" functions

$$g_{s,i} = \xi_i\partial_j\partial_k\left(x_1^{(s_1)}x_2^{(s_2)}x_3^{(s_3)}\right) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } j, k \neq i,\ j \neq k.$$

Modulo the kernel (88) of Δ only one "extra" generator suffices; we select $x_1^{(s_1)}x_2^{(s_2-1)}x_3^{(s_3-1)}\xi_1$ for definiteness.

As was shown in [ShP] for $p = 0$; but the formulas remain true for any $p > 0$,

$$D_{0,\,g_s} = i_1(-h_s\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3), \text{ and hence, for } p = 2,\ D_{0,\,g_s} \in i_1(\mathfrak{le}(3; \underline{M})^{(1)}).$$

As a result, if $p > 2$ and $\underline{M}_i < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{vle}(4; \widetilde{\underline{M}}|3) &= \operatorname{Span}(D_{f,\,g}),\\ \text{where}\quad f &\in \mathcal{O}(x; \underline{M}|\xi) \oplus \operatorname{Span}(x_i^{(s_i)})_{i=1}^3 \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{O}(x; \underline{M}|\xi) \oplus \mathbb{K}\cdot x_1^{(s_1)}x_2^{(s_2-1)}x_3^{(s_3-1)}\xi_1.\end{aligned}$$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vle}(4; \widetilde{\underline{M}}|3)$ is finite dimensional and simple.

In this case, however, the fact (83) does not hold, even as spaces. We have

$$D_{0,\,h_s\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3} \in \mathfrak{vle}(4; \widetilde{\underline{M}}|3) \text{ but } D_{0,\,h_s\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3} = i_1(f) - i_2(f),$$

where

$$f = q_1^{(s_1)}q_2^{(s_2-1)}q_3^{(s_3-1)}\theta_1 + q_1^{(s_1-1)}q_2^{(s_2)}q_3^{(s_3-1)}\theta_2 + q_1^{(s_1-1)}q_2^{(s_2-1)}q_3^{(s_3)}\theta_3 \notin \mathcal{O}(q; \underline{M}|\theta).$$

6. A description of $\mathfrak{vle}(7; \widetilde{\underline{M}}) := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{vle}(4; \underline{M}|3))$ for $p = 2$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vle}(4; \widetilde{\underline{M}}|3)$ for $p = 2$ is a direct reduction modulo 2 of the integer form with divided powers as coefficients of the complex vectorial Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$.

First of all, let us define squares of odd elements for Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{M})$, cf. eq. (61):

$$f^2 := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_i} \tag{89}$$

and have in mind that for $p = 2$ and $\underline{M}_i < \infty$ for all i, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{le}(n; \underline{M})$ is not simple: the generating function of the maximal degree $q_1^{(s_1-1)}q_2^{(s_2-1)}\dots q_n^{(s_3-1)}\theta_1\theta_2\dots\theta_n$ does not belong to $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$, which is simple.

For $p > 2$, we just reduce the expression (80) modulo p.

For $p = 2$, we can not just reduce the expression (80) modulo 2; we should modify it. Indeed, the system of equations on the coefficients of the field $D \in \mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$ whose solution is given by

the formula (80) contain coefficients $\frac{1}{2}$, see [ShP]. The vector field $D \in \mathfrak{vle}(4;\widetilde{\underline{M}}|3)$ is of the form:

$$D_{f,\,g} = \mathrm{Le}_f + yB_f + y\Delta(f)\partial_y + B_g + \Delta(g)\partial_y, \text{ where } \widetilde{\underline{M}} = (\underline{M}, M_y) \text{ and } M_y = 1, \tag{90}$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{O}[x;\underline{M}|\xi]$, and the operators B_F and Δ are (recall eq. (81))

$$B_F = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_2\partial\xi_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_3\partial\xi_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_2} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_1\partial\xi_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_3}, \quad \Delta = \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\partial\xi_i}. \tag{91}$$

The formulas (82), (84), and (85) are valid for any p.

For any $p > 0$, the complete prolong can be described in terms of the generating functions $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(x_1, x_2, x_3; \underline{M}_\infty|\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ and the Lie superalgebra obtained is infinite dimensional and simple.

Should we wish to obtain a finite dimensional Lie superalgebra, we have to set $\underline{M}_i < \infty$ for all i, and then (as for any $p > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{vle}(4;\widetilde{\underline{M}}|3) = \mathrm{Span}(D_{f,\,g}),\\ &\text{for } f \in \mathcal{O}(x;\underline{M}|\xi) \oplus \mathrm{Span}(x_i^{(s_i)})_{i=1}^3 \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{O}(x;\underline{M}|\xi) \oplus \mathbb{K}\cdot x_1^{(s_1)}x_2^{(s_2-1)}x_3^{(s_3-1)}\xi_1.\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

This Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vle}(4;\widetilde{\underline{M}}|3)$ is not simple but $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ is simple, its codimension in $\mathfrak{g}$ is equal to 2: for $f = q_1^{(s_1-1)}q_2^{(s_2-1)}q_3^{(s_3-1)}\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3$, we have

$$D_{f,\,0} = i_2(f) \notin \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \qquad D_{0,\,x_1^{(s_1)}x_2^{(s_2-1)}x_3^{(s_3-1)}\xi_1} = i_1(f) \notin \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}.$$

If $\underline{M}_i > 1$ for all i, then $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 28|27$ remains the same as over $\mathbb{C}$ and any other $p > 2$.

For $p = 2$, the structure of the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$ differs drastically from that for $p \neq 2$. Instead of two lowest weight vectors, we have three of them. Besides, these three lowest weight vectors do not describe the whole complexity of the module.

The submodule $i_1(\mathfrak{le}(3;3)_1)$ has a complicated structure. To describe it, observe that for any vectorial Lie (super)algebra expressed in terms of generating functions, the shearing vector can be considered on the level of generating functions (let us denote it $\underline{M}$ in this case) and on the level of coefficients of vector fields they generate (let us denote it $\widetilde{\underline{M}}$ in this case).

In the first case, we obtain the "underdeveloped" Lie superalgebra

$$\mathfrak{le}^{\underline{M}}(n) := \mathrm{Span}(\mathrm{Le}_f \mid f \in \mathcal{O}(n;\underline{M})); \tag{93}$$

in the second one we get the correct $\mathfrak{le}(n;\widetilde{\underline{M}}) = \mathrm{Span}(\mathrm{Le}_f \in \mathfrak{vect}(n;\widetilde{\underline{M}}|n))$. We have

$$\mathfrak{le}(n;\widetilde{\underline{M}}) = \mathfrak{le}^{\underline{M}}(n) \ltimes \mathrm{Span}(q_i^{(s_i)} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n). \tag{94}$$

Accordingly, for $\widetilde{\underline{M}}_i$ unconstrained, the component $\mathfrak{le}(3;\widetilde{\underline{M}};3)_1$ is of the form:

$$\mathfrak{le}(3;\widetilde{\underline{M}};3)_1 = \Pi(\mathbb{K}[\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3]) \otimes DS^2(q_1, q_2, q_3), \text{ see (12)}.$$

This component contains submodules corresponding to the minimal values $\underline{M}_i = 1$ for some i. The submodule

$$W_0 := \mathfrak{le}^{\underline{M}_s}(3;3)_1 = \mathrm{Span}(q_iq_j\varphi(\theta) \text{ for any } i.j \text{ and } \varphi).$$

is irreducible. It is glued to the submodules

$$W_i := W_0 \ltimes \mathbb{K}\cdot q_i^{(2)}$$

in which W_0 is a submodule but not a direct summand, and each W_i can be further enlarged to the module

$$W_{i,\theta} := W_i \ltimes \mathrm{Span}(q_i^{(2)}\varphi(\theta)) \text{ corresponding to } \underline{M}_i > 1 \text{ and } \underline{M}_j = 1 \text{ for } j \neq i$$

with shearing performed on the level of generating functions.

Let us describe the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{vle}(4;\widetilde{\underline{M}_s}|3)$, the partial prolongs. In what follows we will often use the following convention on notation:

(95) Let v_i be a lowest weight vector of the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and V_i the submodule generated by v_i. Let $\mathfrak{g}_k^{(i)}$ be the kth prolong "in the direction of V_i", i.e., prolong of $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0, V_i \subset \mathfrak{g}_1)$.

Consider the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodules $W \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ not contained in $i_1(\mathfrak{le}(3;3))$. There is only one such submodule $V_3 \subset W$ generated by v_3, see (96). The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{1,\underline{M}}$ has the following three lowest weight vectors expressed in the form $D_{f,\,g}$, and also as $i_1(\cdot)$ or $i_2(\cdot)$:

(96)

v_1	$x_1y\partial_{\xi_2} + x_1\xi_3\partial_{x_1} + +x_1\xi_1\partial_{x_3} + x_2y\partial_{\xi_1} +$ $x_2\xi_3\partial_{x_2} + x_2\xi_2\partial_{x_3} + \xi_3\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + \xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}$	$i_1(q_1q_2)$	$D_{x_1\xi_1\xi_3+x_2\xi_2\xi_3,\,0}$
v_2	$x_1y\partial_{\xi_1} + x_1\xi_3\partial_{x_2} + x_1\xi_2\partial_{x_3} + \xi_3\xi_2\partial_{\xi_1}$	$i_1(q_1^{(2)})$	$D_{x_1\xi_2\xi_3,\,0}$
v_3	$y\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3} + y\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + y\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} + \xi_3\xi_2\partial_{x_1} +$ $\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{x_2} + \xi_2\xi_1\partial_{x_3}$	$i_2(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)$	$D_{\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3,\,0}$

By increasing the value of some of the coordinates $\underline{M}_i$ we enlarge $\mathfrak{g}_1^{(3)} = \mathfrak{vle}(4;\widetilde{\underline{M}_s}|3)_1$. As $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module, $\mathfrak{g}_1^{(3)}$ is of the following form:

$$W_0 \subset (W_1 + W_2 + W_3) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1^{(3)},$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_1^{(3)}/(W_1 + W_2 + W_3) \simeq (\mathfrak{g}_{-1})^*$, and $(W_1 + W_2 + W_3)/W_0$ is the trivial $0|3$-dimensional module, and where $\operatorname{sdim} W_0 = 12|12$.

The superdimensions of the positive components of $\mathfrak{vle}(4;\underline{M}_s|3)$ are given in the following table:

(97)

	$\mathfrak{g}_1$	$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathfrak{g}_3$	$\mathfrak{g}_4$
sdim	16|18	10(9)|12	4|3	1(0)|0

This superalgebra is not simple. It contains the ideal $\mathfrak{vle}^{(1)}(4;\underline{M}_s|3)$ of codimension $2|0$, see parentheses in (97); the extra elements are

$$i_1(q_1q_2q_3\theta_1\theta_2\theta_2) \in \mathfrak{vle}(4;\underline{M}_s|3)_2, \qquad i_2(q_1q_2q_3\theta_1\theta_2\theta_2) \in \mathfrak{vle}(4;\underline{M}_s|3)_4.$$

6.1. Partial prolongs as subalgebras of $\mathfrak{vle}(4;\widetilde{\underline{M}}|3)$.

(98) *In what follows, we do not investigate partial prolongs with* $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1^{(i)}]$, *see* (95) *smaller than* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *if the* $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1^{(1)}]$*-module* $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ *is not irreducible*: no such prolong can be a simple Lie (super)algebra with the given nonpositive part.

We have $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1^{(i)}] \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(0|3)$ for $i = 1, 2$. (Actually, $\mathfrak{g}_1^{(2)} = \mathfrak{g}_1^{(1)} \ltimes \mathbb{K} \cdot i_1(q_1^{(2)})$.)

6.2. **Desuperization.** We have $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(3;\underline{N}_s))$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{K}$, its elements are expressed in terms of $\Lambda(\theta)$:

(99) $$\boxed{\partial_1 := \theta_1\theta_2; \partial_2 := \theta_1\theta_3; \partial_3 := \theta_2\theta_3;\ \partial_4 := \theta_1;\ \partial_5 := \theta_2;\ \partial_6 := \theta_3;\ \partial_7 := \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3}$$

For $\underline{N}$ unconstrained, we have $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = 55$. (Compare with (86) and (101): for $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_s$ the dimension drops.) The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$ has the following three lowest weight vectors:

(100)
$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= x_1x_2\partial_4 + x_1x_3\partial_5 + x_1x_7\partial_1 + x_2x_3\partial_6 + x_2x_7\partial_2 + x_3x_7\partial_3, \\ v_2 &= x_1x_2\partial_3 + x_1x_4\partial_5 + x_2x_4\partial_6 + x_4x_7\partial_3, \\ v_3 &= x_1x_3\partial_3 + x_1x_4\partial_4 + x_1x_7\partial_7 + x_3x_4\partial_6 + x_4x_7\partial_2 + x_1x_2\partial_2 + x_1x_5\partial_5 + x_1x_7\partial_7 + x_2x_5\partial_6 + x_5x_7\partial_3. \end{aligned}$$

Critical coordinates of the unconstrained shearing vector: $\widetilde{M}_1, \widetilde{M}_2, \widetilde{M}_3, \widetilde{M}_7$.

The dimensions of the positive components of $\mathfrak{vle}(7;\widetilde{\underline{M}_s})$ and its simple derived (in parentheses) are given in the following table; so $\dim \mathfrak{vle}^{(1)}(7;\widetilde{\underline{M}_s}) = 94$:

(101)

	$\mathfrak{g}_1$	$\mathfrak{g}_2$ (or $\mathfrak{g}_1^{:2}$)	$\mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathfrak{g}_1^{:3}$	$\mathfrak{g}_4$ or $-$
dim	34	22 (21)	7	1 ($-$)

6.3. **Partial prolongs as subalgebras of $\mathfrak{vle}(7;\widetilde{\underline{M}})$.** (i) We have $\dim(\mathfrak{g}'_1) = 34$. (If $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_s$, then $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_1^{(1)}) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_1$; otherwise, $\mathfrak{g}_1^{(1)} \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_1$.) The partial Cartan prolong

$$\mathfrak{vle}'(7;\widetilde{\underline{M}}) := (\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1^{(1)})_{*;\widetilde{\underline{M}}}$$

is such that $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1] \simeq \mathfrak{cvect}(3;\underline{N}_s)$; this prolong is $\mathfrak{vle}(7;\widetilde{\underline{M}_s})$.

(ii) The partial Cartan prolong $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1^{(i)})_{*;\underline{M}}$ is such that $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1^{(i)}] \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(3;\underline{N}_s)$ for $i = 2, 3$. *By agreement* (98), *we do not investigate this partial prolong.*

7. $\mathfrak{vle}(9;\widetilde{\underline{N}}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{vle}(3;\underline{N}|6))$, where $\mathfrak{vle}(3;\underline{N}|6) := \mathfrak{vle}(4;\underline{M}|3;K)$

This Lie superalgebra is the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16. A realization of the weight basis of the nonpositive components by vector fields is as follows, where the w_i is a shorthand notation for convenience:

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the basis elements
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\partial_1,\ \partial_2,\ \partial_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\partial_4,\ \partial_5,\ \partial_6,\ w_7 = x_5\partial_3 + x_6\partial_2 + \partial_7,$ $w_8 = x_4\partial_3 + x_6\partial_1 + \partial_8,\ w_9 = x_4\partial_2 + x_5\partial_1 + \partial_9$
$\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong$ $\mathfrak{sl}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(2)$	$X_1^+ = x_2\partial_1 + x_4\partial_5 + x_7\partial_8,\ X_1^- = x_1\partial_2 + x_5\partial_4 + x_8\partial_7,\ X_3^\pm = [X_1^\pm, X_2^\pm],$ $X_2^+ = x_3\partial_2 + x_5\partial_6 + x_8\partial_9,\ X_2^- = x_2\partial_3 + x_6\partial_5 + x_9\partial_8,\ H_i = [X_i^+, X_i^-]$ for $i = 1, 2;$ $\widetilde{X}_1^+ = x_7\ x_8\partial_3 + x_7\ x_9\partial_2 + x_8\ x_9\partial_1 + x_7\partial_4 + x_8\partial_5 + x_9\partial_6,$ $\widetilde{X}_1^- = x_4x_5\partial_3 + x_4x_6\partial_2 + x_5x_6\partial_1 + x_4\partial_7 + x_5\partial_8 + x_6\partial_9,$ $d = x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4 + x_5\partial_5 + x_6\partial_6,\ \widetilde{H}_1 = [\widetilde{X}_1^+, \widetilde{X}_1^-]$

The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$ has the following lowest weight vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 = \ & x_1x_4\partial_3 + x_1x_6\partial_1 + x_2x_5\partial_3 + x_2x_6\partial_2 + x_1\partial_8 + x_2\partial_7 + x_4x_6\partial_4 + x_4x_9\partial_7 + \\ & x_5x_6\partial_5 + x_5x_9\partial_8 + x_6x_7\partial_7 + x_6x_8\partial_8, \\ v_2 = \ & x_1x_5\partial_3 + x_1x_6\partial_2 + x_1\partial_7 + x_5x_6\partial_4 + x_5x_9\partial_7 + x_6x_8\partial_7. \end{aligned}$$

7.1. **No simple partial prolongs.** For $\underline{N}$ unconstrained, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = 18$. The module V_1 generated by v_1 is 6-dimensional, and the the module V_2 generated by v_2 is 8-dimensional; $V_1 \subset V_2$.

Critical coordinates of the unconstrained shearing vector: $\widetilde{\underline{N}}_4, \dots, \widetilde{\underline{N}}_9$.

8. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vle}(5;\underline{N}|4) := \mathfrak{vle}(4;\underline{N}|3;1)$ for $p = 2$. A description of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{vle}}(9;\widetilde{\underline{N}}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{vle}(5;\underline{N}|4))$

In this section, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible. This Lie superalgebra is the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16.

For $p = 0$, the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is that on the tensor product of a 2-dimensional space on the space of semidensities in 2 odd indeterminates. So we may not just reduce the formulas derived for $p = 0$ modulo 2. We have to understand how $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ when $p = 2$. For this we use the explicit form of elements of $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3)$ for $p = 2$, see eq. (90).

Note that the mapping $\mathrm{Le}_f \longmapsto D_{(f,\ 0)}$ determines a Lie superalgebra isomorphism between $\mathfrak{le}(3)$ and its image in $\mathfrak{vle}$. However, first, the mapping $g \longmapsto D_{(0,\ g)}$ has a kernel:

$$\{g \in \mathcal{O}[x; \underline{M}|\xi] \mid \deg_\xi g < 2 \text{ and } \Delta g = 0\}, \tag{102}$$

and, second, certain coincidences $D_{(f,\ 0)} = D_{(0,\ g)}$ might occur. Formula (90) makes clear that such a coincidence takes place if and only if

$$B_f = 0, \quad \Delta f = \Delta g = 0, \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{Le}_f = B_g. \tag{103}$$

Taking into account eq. (81) these conditions are equivalent to following conditions:

$$f = f(x), \quad g = \sum_{(i,j,k)\in A_3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\xi_j\xi_k. \tag{104}$$

The grading of the Lie superalgebra we are interested in is induced by the following grading of the space of generating functions:

$$\deg \xi_1 = 0, \quad \deg x_1 = 2, \quad \deg \xi_2 = \deg \xi_3 = \deg x_2 = \deg x_3 = 1, \quad \deg y = 0. \tag{105}$$

Clearly, $\deg D_{(f,\ g)} = \deg f - 2 = \deg g - 2$. Therefore (here we introduce the 9 indeterminates z_1, z_2, z_3, z_8, z_9 (even) and z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7 (odd) of the ambient Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vect}(5; \underline{N}|4)$ containing our $\mathfrak{g}$)

(106)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the basis elements	in terms of $\mathfrak{vect}(5; \underline{N}\|4)$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$D_{(f,\ 0)}$, where $f = \xi_1$	∂_1
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$D_{(f,\ 0)}$, where $f = x_i,\ \xi_1\xi_i \mid \xi_i,\ \xi_1 x_i$ for $i = 2, 3$	$x_i \longleftrightarrow \partial_{2+i},\ \xi_1\xi_i \longleftrightarrow \partial_{4+i} + z_{2+i}\partial_1$ $\xi_i \longleftrightarrow \partial_i,\ \xi_1 x_i \longleftrightarrow \partial_{6+i} + z_i\partial_1$

because, for the nonzero vector fields of the form $D_{(0,\ g)}$ lying in $\mathfrak{g}_-$, we have, thanks to conditions (104), the following identifications:

$$D_{(0,\ \xi_1\xi_2)} = D_{(x_3,\ 0)}, \quad D_{(0,\ \xi_1\xi_3)} = D_{(x_2,\ 0)}.$$

Because the tautological representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and its dual are isomorphic, we identify

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq W = V \otimes \Lambda(\xi, \eta), \text{ where } V = \mathrm{Span}(v_1, v_2)$$

using the rules listed in table (107). The table also contains the explicit form of vector fields $D_{(f,\ 0)} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ needed to calculate the action of the fields of the form $D_{(0,\ g)} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ (the action of the fields of the form $D_{(f,\ 0)} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ can be computed in terms of generating functions and the bracket in $\mathfrak{le}$).

(107)

f	$D_{(f,\ 0)}$	the image in W	f	$D_{(f,\ 0)}$	the image in W
ξ_2	∂_{x_2}	v_1	$\xi_1\xi_2$	$\xi_2\partial_{x_1} + \xi_1\partial_{x_2} + y\partial_{\xi_3}$	$v_1 \otimes \xi$
ξ_3	∂_{x_3}	v_2	$\xi_1\xi_3$	$\xi_3\partial_{x_1} + \xi_1\partial_{x_3} + y\partial_{\xi_2}$	$v_2 \otimes \xi$
x_2	∂_{ξ_2}	$v_2 \otimes \eta$	$\xi_1 x_2$	$\xi_1\partial_{\xi_2} + x_2\partial_{x_1}$	$v_2 \otimes \xi\eta$
x_3	∂_{ξ_3}	$v_1 \otimes \eta$	$\xi_1 x_3$	$\xi_1\partial_{\xi_3} + x_3\partial_{x_1}$	$v_1 \otimes \xi\eta$

Let us describe the component $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and its action on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. The component $\mathfrak{g}_0$ consists of vector fields $D_{(f,\ g)}$, where $\deg f = \deg g = 2$ in grading (105). We give the two realizations of the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_0$: in terms of vector fields and in terms of W.

Let us begin with fields of the form $D_{(f,\ 0)}$.

As we have already noted above, $[D_{(f_1,\ 0)},\ D_{(f_2,\ 0)}] = D_{(\{f_1,\ f_2\},\ 0)}$, and hence the action of such fields can be described in terms of the generating functions and the antibracket.

If $f = \sum\limits_{i,j=2,3} a_{ij}x_i\xi_j$ and $\Delta(f) = 0$, then f acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as $\sum\limits_{i,j=2,3} a_{ij}(\xi_j\partial_{\xi_i} + x_i\partial_{x_j})$ which, thanks to our identification, corresponds to the action of the operator $\begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1}$, i.e., the elements of this form span the subspace $\mathfrak{sl}(V) \otimes \mathbb{1} \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Analogously, the functions of the form $f = \xi_1 \sum\limits_{i,j=2,3} a_{ij}x_i\xi_j$ such that $\Delta(f) = 0$ act on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as $\xi_1 \sum\limits_{i,j=2,3} a_{ij}(\xi_j\partial_{\xi_i} + x_i\partial_{x_j})$ which corresponds to the action of the operator $\begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi$, i.e., the elements of this form span the subspace $\mathfrak{sl}(V) \otimes \xi \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Further, $f = x_2^{(2)}$ acts as $x_2\partial_{\xi_2}$:

$\xi_2 \longmapsto x_2,$	$\xi_1\xi_2 \longmapsto \xi_1 x_2$	
$v_1 \longmapsto v_2 \otimes \eta,$	$v_1 \otimes \xi \longmapsto v_2 \otimes \xi\eta$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta \in \operatorname{End} W.$

Similarly, the action of the function $f = x_3^{(2)}$ corresponds the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta \in \operatorname{End} W$, whereas the action of the function $f = x_2x_3$ corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Thus, the functions of the form $f = f(x_2, x_3)$ span $\mathfrak{sl}(V) \otimes \eta \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Analogously, the functions of the form $f = \xi_1 f(x_2, x_3)$ span $\mathfrak{sl}(V) \otimes \xi\eta \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Clearly, $f = x_1$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as ∂_{ξ_1} which corresponds to the operator $\partial_\xi \in \operatorname{End} W$, and $f = \xi_1x_1$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as $\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}$ which corresponds to the operator $\xi\partial_\xi \in \operatorname{End} W$. The element $f = \xi_2\xi_3$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as $\xi_2\partial_{x_3} + \xi_3\partial_{x_2}$:

$x_3 \longmapsto \xi_2,$	$x_2 \longmapsto \xi_3,$	$\xi_1x_3 \longmapsto \xi_1\xi_2,$	$\xi_1x_2 \longmapsto \xi_1\xi_3$	
$v_1 \otimes \eta \longmapsto v_1,$	$v_2 \otimes \eta \longmapsto v_2,$	$v_1 \otimes \xi\eta \longmapsto v_1 \otimes \xi,$	$v_2 \otimes \xi\eta \longmapsto v_2 \otimes \xi$	$\partial_\eta \in \operatorname{End} W.$

Analogously, the action of $f = \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$ corresponds to the action of $\xi\partial_\eta \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Finally, $f = x_2\xi_2$ acts as follows:

$\xi_2 \longmapsto \xi_2,$	$x_2 \longmapsto x_2,$	$\xi_1\xi_2 \longmapsto \xi_1\xi_2,$	$\xi_1x_2 \longmapsto \xi_1x_2$	
$v_1 \longmapsto v_1,$	$v_1 \otimes \xi \longmapsto v_1 \otimes \xi,$	$v_2 \otimes \eta \longmapsto v_2 \otimes \eta,$	$v_2 \otimes \xi\eta \longmapsto v_2 \otimes \xi\eta$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \eta\partial_\eta$

Analogously, the action of $f = \xi_1x_2\xi_2$ corresponds to the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi + \xi\eta\partial_\eta$.

Now, let us describe the action of operators $D_{(0,\ g)}$. First, taking into account eq. (104), we have

$$D_{(0,\ \xi_2\xi_3)} = D_{(x_1,\ 0)}, \quad D_{(0,\ \xi_1\xi_2x_3)} = D_{(x_3^{(2)},\ 0)},$$
$$D_{(0,\ \xi_1\xi_3x_2)} = D_{(x_2^{(2)},\ 0)}, \quad D_{(0,\ \xi_1\xi_2x_2+\xi_1\xi_3x_3)} = D_{(x_2x_3,\ 0)}.$$

Now, taking into account the kernel (102), we only have to establish the three operators corresponding to the functions $g = \xi_1x_1$, $\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$, and $\xi_1\xi_2x_2$.

For $g = \xi_1x_1$, the operator $D_{(0,\ g)} = \partial_y$ acts as follows:

$\xi_1\xi_2 \longmapsto x_3,$	$\xi_1\xi_3 \longmapsto x_2$	
$v_1 \otimes \xi \longmapsto v_1 \otimes \eta,$	$v_2 \otimes \xi \longmapsto v_2 \otimes \eta$	$\eta\partial_\xi \in \operatorname{End} W$

If $g = \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$, then $D_{(0,\ g)} = \sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq 3} \xi_i\partial_i$, which corresponds to the operator $\xi\partial_\xi + \eta\partial_\eta \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Finally, let $D_{(0,\ \xi_1\xi_2x_2)} = x_2\partial_{\xi_3} + \xi_1\partial_y$. This operator acts as follows:

$\partial_{x_2} = D_{(\xi_2,\ 0)} \longmapsto \partial_{\xi_3} = D_{(x_3,\ 0)}$,	$\xi_3\partial_{x_1} + \xi_1\partial_{x_3} + y\partial_{\xi_2} = D_{(\xi_1\xi_3,\ 0)} \longmapsto$ $\xi_1\partial_{\xi_2} + x_2\partial_{x_1} = D_{(\xi_1x_2,\ 0)}$
$v_1 \longmapsto v_1 \otimes \eta$	$v_2 \otimes \xi \longmapsto v_2 \otimes \xi\eta$

which corresponds to the action of the operator $\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta + \xi\eta\partial_\xi \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Observe that $D_{(x_1\xi_1+x_2\xi_2,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)}$ corresponds to the operator $\mathfrak{d} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1} \in \operatorname{End} W$, and $D_{(x_2\xi_2,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)}$ corresponds to the operator $\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \xi\partial_\xi \in \operatorname{End} W$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{sl}(2) \otimes \Lambda(2) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|2))$, where the operator of outer derivation added to the ideal $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \otimes \Lambda(2) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|2)$ is $\mathfrak{d}$, and the component $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \operatorname{Vol}(0|2) \oplus \Lambda(2)$, as $\mathfrak{g}_0$-modules.

Let us summarize:

(108)

(f, g)	its image in $\operatorname{End} W$	(f, g)	its image in $\operatorname{End} W$
$f = \sum\limits_{i,j=2,3} a_{ij}x_i\xi_j$, $\Delta(f) = 0,\ g = 0$	$\begin{pmatrix}a_{22} & a_{23}\\ a_{32} & a_{33}\end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1}$ $a_{22} + a_{33} = 0$	$f = \xi_1 \sum\limits_{i,j=2,3} a_{ij}x_i\xi_j$, $\Delta(f) = 0,\ g = 0$	$\begin{pmatrix}a_{22} & a_{23}\\ a_{32} & a_{33}\end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi$ $a_{22} + a_{33} = 0$
$(x_2^{(2)},\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$	$(\xi_1x_2^{(2)},\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi\eta$
$(x_3^{(2)},\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$	$(\xi_1x_3^{(2)},\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi\eta$
$(x_2x_3,\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$	$(\xi_1x_2x_3,\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi\eta$
$(x_1,\ 0)$	∂_ξ	$(\xi_1x_1,\ 0)$	$\xi\partial_\xi$
$(\xi_2\xi_3,\ 0)$	∂_η	$(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3,\ 0)$	$\xi\partial_\eta$
$(x_2\xi_2,\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \eta\partial_\eta$	$(\xi_1x_2\xi_2,\ 0)$	$\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi + \xi\eta\partial_\eta$
$(0,\ \xi_1x_1)$	$\eta\partial_\xi$	$(0,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)$	$\xi\partial_\xi + \eta\partial_\eta$
$(0,\ \xi_1\xi_2x_2)$	$\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta + \xi\eta\partial_\xi$		

When unconstrained, $\underline{N} = (N_1, N_2, N_3, 1, 1)$, and $\operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_1 = 20|20$. There are five lowest weight vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_1$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_1 = {} & z_1z_3\partial_1 + z_1\partial_9 + z_3z_6\partial_6 + z_3z_7\partial_7 + z_3z_8\partial_8 + z_3z_9\partial_9,\\
v_2 = {} & z_3^{(2)}\partial_3 + z_3z_4\partial_4 + z_3z_6\partial_6 + z_3z_9\partial_9 + z_4z_6\partial_9 + z_1z_3\partial_1 + z_1\partial_9 + z_2z_3\partial_2\\
& + z_2z_4\partial_5 + z_2z_7\partial_6 + z_2z_8\partial_9 + z_3^{(2)}\partial_3 + z_3z_6\partial_6 + z_3z_7\partial_7 + z_4z_7\partial_8,\\
v_3 = {} & z_3^{(2)}\partial_2 + z_3z_4\partial_5 + z_3z_7\partial_6 + z_3z_8\partial_9 + z_4z_7\partial_9,\\
v_4 = {} & z_1z_2\partial_1 + z_1\partial_8 + z_2z_6\partial_6 + z_2z_7\partial_7 + z_2z_8\partial_8 + z_2z_9\partial_9 + z_1z_2\partial_1 + z_1\partial_8 + z_2^{(2)}\partial_2\\
& + z_2z_3\partial_3 + z_2z_6\partial_6 + z_2z_7\partial_7 + z_3z_5\partial_4 + z_3z_6\partial_7 + z_3z_9\partial_8 + z_5z_6\partial_9 + z_1z_2\partial_1 + z_1\partial_8\\
& + z_2^{(2)}\partial_2 + z_2z_4\partial_4 + z_2z_7\partial_7 + z_2z_9\partial_9 + z_4z_6\partial_8 + z_1z_2\partial_1 + z_1\partial_8 + z_2^{(2)}\partial_2 + z_2z_5\partial_5\\
& + z_2z_6\partial_6 + z_2z_9\partial_9 + z_5z_7\partial_8,\\
v_5 = {} & z_3^{(2)}\partial_3 + z_3z_4\partial_4 + z_3z_6\partial_6 + z_3z_9\partial_9 + z_4z_6\partial_9 + z_3^{(2)}\partial_3 + z_3z_5\partial_5 + z_3z_7\partial_7 + z_3z_9\partial_9 + z_5z_7\partial_9.
\end{aligned}
$$

No simple partial prolongs. The module generated by any one of v_1, v_2 is $\mathfrak{g}_1$. The modules V_i generated by any of v_3, v_4 are of dimension $4|4$ and $\operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_i]) = 4|4$; $\operatorname{sdim} V_5 = 8|8$ and $\operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_i]) = 8|10$, so no new simple partial prolongs, see (98).

8.1. **Desuperization.** For $\underline{N}$ unconstrained, the critical coordinates are those that used to be odd.

9. $\mathfrak{kle}(15; \widetilde{\underline{N}}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{kle}(5; \underline{N}|10))$

In this section, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible. This Lie superalgebra is the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16.

Recall that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{kle}(5|10)$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{svect}(5|0) \simeq d\Omega^3(5|0)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \Pi(d\Omega^1(5|0))$ with the natural $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and the bracket of any two odd elements being their product, where we identify

$$dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k \wedge dx_l \otimes \operatorname{vol}^{-1} = \operatorname{sign}(ijklm)\partial_{x_m} \text{ for any permutation } (ijklm) \text{ of } (12345).$$

Let x_i, where $1 \leq i \leq 5$, be the even indeterminates, $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$. Let θ_{ab}, where $1 \leq a, b \leq 5$, be an odd indeterminate such that $\theta_{ab} = -\theta_{ba}$, in particular, $\theta_{aa} = 0$ and we may assume that $a < b$. Let $\delta_{ab} := \partial_{\theta_{ab}}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}(5) = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ act on $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ as on its tautological 5-dimensional module V. Let $E^2(V)$ be the 2nd exterior power of V. For a basis of the nonpositive components of $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{kle}(5; \underline{N}|10))$ we take the following elements (only Chevalley generators are given for $\mathfrak{g}_0$):

(109)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the basis elements
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = V$	$\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4, \partial_5$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = E^2(V)$	$w_{12} = \delta_{12} + \theta_{34}\partial_5 + \theta_{45}\partial_3 - \theta_{35}\partial_4,\ w_{13} = \delta_{13} + \theta_{25}\partial_4 - \theta_{24}\partial_5 - \theta_{45}\partial_2,$ $w_{14} = \delta_{14} + \theta_{23}\partial_5 + \theta_{35}\partial_2 - \theta_{25}\partial_3,\ w_{15} = \delta_{15} + \theta_{24}\partial_3 - \theta_{23}\partial_4 - \theta_{34}\partial_2,$ $w_{23} = \delta_{23} + \theta_{45}\partial_1,\ w_{24} = \delta_{24} + \theta_{35}\partial_1,\ w_{25} = \delta_{25} + \theta_{34}\partial_1,$ $\delta_{34},\ \delta_{35},\ \delta_{45}$
$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$	$Z_1 = x_1\partial_2 + \theta_{23}\theta_{24}\partial_5 + \theta_{23}\theta_{25}\partial_4 + \theta_{24}\theta_{25}\partial_3 + \theta_{23}\delta_{13} + \theta_{24}\delta_{14} + \theta_{25}\delta_{15},$ $Z_2 = x_2\partial_3 + \theta_{34}\theta_{35}\partial_1 + \theta_{13}\delta_{12} + \theta_{34}\delta_{24} + \theta_{35}\delta_{25},$ $Z_3 = x_3\partial_4 + \theta_{14}\delta_{13} + \theta_{24}\delta_{23} + \theta_{45}\delta_{35},\ Z_4 = x_4\partial_5 + \theta_{15}\delta_{14} + \theta_{25}\delta_{24} + \theta_{35}\delta_{34}$ $H_1 = [Z_1, Y_1],\ H_2 = [Z_2, Y_2],\ H_3 = [Z_3, Y_3],\ H_4 = [Z_4, Y_4]$ $Y_1 = x_2\partial_1 + \theta_{13}\theta_{14}\partial_5 + \theta_{13}\theta_{15}\partial_4 + \theta_{14}\theta_{15}\partial_3 + \theta_{13}\delta_{23} + \theta_{14}\delta_{24} + \theta_{15}\delta_{25},$ $Y_2 = x_3\partial_2 + \theta_{24}\theta_{25}\partial_1 + \theta_{12}\delta_{13} + \theta_{24}\delta_{34} + \theta_{25}\delta_{35}$ $Y_3 = x_4\partial_3 + \theta_{13}\delta_{14} + \theta_{23}\delta_{24} + \theta_{35}\delta_{45},\ Y_4 = x_5\partial_4 + \theta_{14}\delta_{15} + \theta_{24}\delta_{25} + \theta_{34}\delta_{35},$

The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is irreducible of dimension 40. The lowest weight vector is

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 \quad = \quad & \theta_{12}\theta_{13}\delta_{15} + \theta_{12}\theta_{23}\delta_{25} + \theta_{13}\theta_{23}\delta_{35} + \theta_{14}\theta_{23}\delta_{45} + \theta_{12}\theta_{13}\theta_{23}\partial_4 + \theta_{12}\theta_{14}\theta_{23}\partial_3 \\ & + \theta_{13}\theta_{14}\theta_{23}\partial_2 + \theta_{13}\theta_{23}\theta_{24}\partial_1 + x_5\delta_{45} \end{aligned}$$

No simple partial prolongs. Critical coordinates of the shearing vector for $\mathfrak{kle}(15; \widetilde{\underline{N}})$ are those corresponding to the formerly odd indeterminates. (Proof: by means of *SuperLie*.)

10. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{kle}}(15; \widetilde{\underline{N}}) := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{kle}(9; \underline{N}|6))$

The construction of $\mathfrak{kle}(9; \underline{N}|6)$, and its desuperization, resemble $\mathfrak{kas}$. However, while $\mathfrak{kas}$ is a partial prolong with the same nonpositive part as $\mathfrak{k}(1|6)$ and $\mathfrak{kas}_1$ being a half of $\mathfrak{k}(1|6)_1$, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{kle}(9; \underline{N}|6)$ is the prolong of the negative part of $\mathfrak{k}(9; \underline{N}|6)$ and the 0th part of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{kle}(9; \underline{N}|6)$ constituting a half of $\mathfrak{k}(9; \underline{N}|6)_0$: it corresponds to either of the two possible embeddings $\mathfrak{svect}(0|4) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{osp}(6|8)$ corresponding to the representations of $\mathfrak{svect}(0|4)$ in the

spaces $T_0^0 := \mathrm{Vol}_0(0|4)/\mathbb{K}\cdot\mathrm{vol}$, see (16), and its dual. This is why this Lie superalgebra is NOT the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16.

To determine the 0th part of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have to consider a linear combination of the central element Z commuting with the image of $\mathfrak{svect}(0|4)$ in $\mathfrak{osp}(6|8)$ and an outer derivation, say $D = \xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|4)$. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the prolong of the nonpositive part whose negative component is $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and $\mathfrak{G}_0 := \mathfrak{svect}(0|4)\oplus\mathbb{K}D\oplus\mathbb{K}Z$. Having computed $[\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}]$ we determine the coefficients in the linear combination $aZ + bD$ that should belong to $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{svect}(0|4) \ltimes \mathbb{K}(aD + bZ)$ from the condition $[\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] = \mathfrak{g}_0$.

To realize the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by vector fields, we use the representation of the even part of $\mathfrak{g}$ as $\mathfrak{svect}(5;\underline{M})$ and its odd part as $\Pi(d\Omega^1(5;\underline{M}))$: whatever the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}$, the components $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ have the needed nonpositive part. For convenience, we use $\mathfrak{gl}(5)$-weights of the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$, having added the outer derivation — the grading operator — to $\mathfrak{svect}(5;\underline{M})$. To make the grading compatible with the bracket, we set $\deg\Pi = -\frac{1}{2}$; to simplify verifications, we multiply all the weights by 2.

Now, the weights are symmetric in the sense that if there is an element of weight $(2,0,0,0,0)$, there should be elements whose weight have all coordinates but one equal to 0, one coordinate being equal to 2. This symmetry helps to find correct expressions of the vector fields in each component. Thus, the weights of the indeterminates in the new grading are as follows:

(110)

$$\begin{array}{llll} x_1 \to \{-2,0,0,0,0\} & x_5 \to \{1,1,-1,-1,-1\} & x_9 \to \{-1,1,-1,1,-1\} & \\ x_2 \to \{0,-2,0,0,0\} & x_6 \to \{1,-1,1,-1,-1\} & x_{10} \to \{-1,-1,1,1,-1\} & x_{13} \to \{0,0,2,0,-2\} \\ x_3 \to \{0,0,-2,0,0\} & x_7 \to \{1,-1,-1,1,-1\} & x_{11} \to \{2,0,0,0,-2\} & x_{14} \to \{0,0,0,2,-2\} \\ x_4 \to \{0,0,0,-2,0\} & x_8 \to \{-1,1,1,-1,-1\} & x_{12} \to \{0,2,0,0,-2\} & x_{15} \to \{0,0,0,0,-2\} \end{array}$$

To check: the degree is equal to one half of (the sum of the first 4 coordinates plus the doubled fifth one).

In eq. (111) we give the basis of the negative part and generators of the 0th component. It is possible to generate the semisimple part of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ by just 1 positive and 4 negative generators, or 4 positive and 1 negative ones, but for symmetry we give 4 and 4 of them. These 8 generators do not generate the element $D + Z \in [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}]$ of weight $(0,0,0,0,0)$, so we give it separately.

(111)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the generators
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	∂_{15}
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq T_0^0$, see (16)	$\partial_1 + x_{11}\partial_{15},\ \partial_2 + x_{12}\partial_{15},\ \partial_3 + x_{13}\partial_{15},\ \partial_4 + x_{14}\partial_{15},\ \partial_5 + x_{10}\partial_{15},\ \partial_6 + x_9\partial_{15},\ \partial_7 + x_8\partial_{15},$ $\partial_8, \ldots, \partial_{14}$
$\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathbb{K}(D+Z)$ $\ltimes\mathfrak{svect}(4;\underline{N}_s)$	$\{-1,-1,-1,1,1\} \to x_2\partial_6 + x_9\partial_{12} + x_3\partial_5 + x_{10}\partial_{13} + x_1\partial_8 + x_7\partial_{11} + x_1x_7\partial_{15}$
	$\{-1,-1,1,-1,1\} \to x_2\partial_7 + x_8\partial_{12} + x_4\partial_5 + x_{10}\partial_{14} + 1\ \partial_9 + x_6\partial_{11} + x_1x_6\partial_{15}$
	$\{-1,1,-1,-1,1\} \to x_3\partial_7 + x_8\partial_{13} + x_4\partial_6 + x_9\partial_{14} + x_1\partial_{10} + x_5\partial_{11} + x_1x_5\partial_{15}$
	$\{1,-1,-1,-1,1\} \to x_2\partial_{10} + x_5\partial_{12} + x_2x_5\partial_{15} + x_3\partial_9 + x_6\partial_{13} + x_3x_6\partial_{15} + x_4\partial_8 + x_7\partial_{14} + x_4x_7\partial_{15}$
	$\{0,0,0,0,0\} \to x_1\partial_1 + x_8\partial_8 + x_9\partial_9 + x_{10}\partial_{10} + x_{12}\partial_{12} + x_{13}\partial_{13} + x_{14}\partial_{14} + x_{15}\partial_{15}$
	$\{0,0,0,4,-2\} \to x_{14}\partial_4 + x_{14}^{(2)}\partial_{15},\quad \{0,0,4,0,-2\} \to x_{13}\partial_3 + x_{13}^{(2)}\partial_{15}$
	$\{0,4,0,0,-2\} \to x_{12}\partial_2 + x_{12}^{(2)}\partial_{15},\quad \{4,0,0,0,-2\} \to x_{11}\partial_1 + x_{11}^{(2)}\partial_{15}$

No simple partial prolongs. Critical coordinates for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{kle}}(15;\widetilde{N})$ are those corresponding to former odd indeterminates. Proof: by means of *SuperLie*.

11. $\mathfrak{kle}_2(20;\widetilde{N}) := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{kle}(11;\underline{N}|9))$

In this section, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible. This Lie superalgebra is the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16.

11.1. **Description of $\mathfrak{kle}(11;\underline{N}|9)_-$.** In [ShP], the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{kle}$ was constructed from a central extension of $\mathfrak{sle}^{(1)}(4)$ with central element c in the grading where $\deg\xi_i=0$ for all i. The regradings of this realization are listed in eq. (181). Let us give details.

Let the degrees of generating functions of $\mathfrak{sle}'(4)$ be as follows:

$$\deg\xi_3=\deg\xi_4=0,\quad \deg q_3=\deg q_4=2;\quad \deg q_i=\deg\xi_i=1 \text{ for } i=1,2.$$

Then (recall that the parities of the function are opposite to the "natural" ones, and c is even)

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}=\mathrm{Span}(c,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_3\xi_4),$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}=(\xi_1,\xi_2,q_1,q_2)\otimes\Lambda(\xi_3,\xi_4),$$

with the nonzero brackets of generating functions f,g of ξ_3,ξ_4 being as follows:

$$[f\xi_1,g\xi_2]=c\int_\xi(fg\xi_1\xi_2),\ \text{where}\ \int_\xi F=\text{coeff. of } \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4 \text{ in the expansion of } F,$$
$$[f\xi_i,gq_i]=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } f,g\in\mathbb{K}\\ fg & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\ \text{for } i=1,2.$$

11.2. **Description of $\mathfrak{kle}(11;\underline{N}|9)_-$ in terms of vector fields.** The above was a description easy to understand for humans. To compute with the aid of *SuperLie*, we use the realization of §10 with the same weights and the degrees given by

$$\deg x=(2,2,2,1,1),\quad \deg dx=(0,0,0,-1,-1),\ \text{where } x=(x_1,\dots,x_5).$$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{kle}(11;\underline{N}|9)$ is the prolong of the **negative** part. For a basis of the negative part we take the following elements:

(112)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the generators
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\{2,0,0,0,0\}\to\partial_{17},\ \{0,2,0,0,0\}\to\partial_{18},\ \{0,0,2,0,0\}\to\partial_{19},\ \{1,1,1,-1,-1\}\to\partial_{20}$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}\simeq$	$\{0,0,0,2,0\}\to\partial_1+x_9\partial_{17}+x_{10}\partial_{18}+x_{11}\partial_{19}+x_{15}\partial_{20},$ $\{0,0,0,0,2\}\to\partial_2+x_{12}\partial_{17}+x_{13}\partial_{18}+x_{14}\partial_{19}+x_{16}\partial_{20},$ $\{-1,1,1,-1,1\}\to\partial_3+x_8\partial_{18}+x_6\partial_{19}+x_{12}\partial_{20},\ \{-1,1,1,1,-1\}\to\partial_4+x_7\partial_{18}+x_5\partial_{19}+x_9\partial_{20},$ $\{1,-1,1,-1,1\}\to\partial_5+x_8\partial_{17}+x_{13}\partial_{20},\ \{1,-1,1,1,-1\}\to\partial_6+x_7\partial_{17}+x_{10}\partial_{20},$ $\{1,1,-1,-1,1\}\to\partial_7+x_{14}\partial_{20},\ \{1,1,-1,1,-1\}\to\partial_8+x_{11}\partial_{20},$ $\{2,0,0,-2,0\}\to\partial_9,\ \{0,2,0,-2,0\}\to\partial_{10},\ \{0,0,2,-2,0\}\to\partial_{11}$ $\{2,0,0,0,-2\}\to\partial_{12},\ \{0,2,0,0,-2\}\to\partial_{13},\ \{0,0,2,0,-2\}\to\partial_{14},$ $\{1,1,1,-3,-1\}\to\partial_{15},\ \{1,1,1,-1,-3\}\to\partial_{16}$

No simple partial prolongs. The critical coordinates of the shearing vector for $\mathfrak{kle}_2(20;\widetilde{N})$ are those corresponding to former odd indeterminates. Explicitly: noncritical coordinates of the shearing vector corresponds to x_1, x_2, x_{17}, x_{18}, x_{19}. Proof: by means of *SuperLie*.

12. $\mathfrak{kle}_3(20;\widetilde{N}):=\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{kle}(9;\underline{N}|11))$

In this section, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible. This Lie superalgebra is the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16.

12.1. **Description of $\mathfrak{kle}(9;\underline{N}|11)_-$.** We consider the realization of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{kle}$ as the direct sum of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar0}=\mathfrak{svect}(5)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar1}=\Pi(d\Omega^1(5))$. Let $i,j=1,2$, while $a,b,c=3,4,5$. Let $\{ijabc\}=\{12345\}$ as sets, $\partial_\alpha:=\partial_{x_\alpha}$ for any index α. Set, cf. (181):

$$\deg x_1=\deg x_2=3,\ \deg x_a=2 \text{ for } a=3,4,5;$$
$$\deg(dx_i\wedge dx_a)=-1,\ \deg(dx_a\wedge dx_b)=-2 \text{ for any } i,a,b,\ \deg(dx_1\wedge dx_2)=0.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-3} = \mathrm{Span}(\partial_1,\ \partial_2),$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \mathrm{Span}(\partial_a,\ dx_a \wedge dx_b \text{ for any } a, b = 3, 4, 5),$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathrm{Span}(x_a\partial_i,\ dx_i \wedge dx_a \text{ for any } i = 1, 2,\ a = 3, 4, 5).$$

The brackets are as in grading K:

$$[\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1}]: \quad [dx_1 \wedge dx_a, dx_2 \wedge dx_b] = \partial_c \text{ for } \{a, b, c\} = \{3, 4, 5\},\ [dx_i \wedge dx_a, x_b\partial_i] = dx_a \wedge dx_b,$$
$$[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_{-2}]: \quad [dx_i \wedge dx_a, dx_b \wedge dx_c] = \partial_j,\ [\partial_a, x_a\partial_i] = \partial_i.$$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{kle}(9; \underline{N}|11)$ is the prolong of the **negative** part. The generators of the 0th part and the lowest weight vectors of the 1st part are as follows:

12.2. **Description of $\mathfrak{kle}(9; \underline{N}|11)_-$ in terms of vector fields.** We use the realization of §10 with the same weights and the degrees given by

$$\deg x = (3, 3, 2, 2, 2), \quad \deg dx = (0, 0, -1, -1, -1), \text{ where } x = (x_1, \ldots, x_5).$$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{kle}(9; \underline{N}|11)$ is the prolong of the **negative** part. For a basis of the negative part we take the following elements:

(113)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the generators
$\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$	$\{2,0,0,0,0\} \to \partial_{19},\ \ \{0,2,0,0,0\} \to \partial_{20}$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\{0,0,2,0,0\} \to \partial_{13} + x_7\partial_{19} + x_8\partial_{20},\ \{0,0,0,2,0\} \to \partial_{14} + x_9\partial_{19} + x_{10}\partial_{20},\ \{0,0,0,0,2\} \to \partial_{15} + x_{11}\partial_{19} + x_{12}\partial_{20},$ $\{1,1,-1,-1,1\} \to \partial_{16},\ \ \{1,1,-1,1,-1\} \to \partial_{17},\ \ \{1,1,1,-1,-1\} \to \partial_{18}$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq$	$\{-1,1,-1,1,1\} \to \partial_1 + x_5\partial_{15} + x_6\partial_{14} + x_9\partial_{16} + x_{11}\partial_{17} + x_{18}\partial_{20}$ $\{-1,1,1,-1,1\} \to \partial_2 + x_4\partial_{15} + x_6\partial_{13} + x_7\partial_{16} + x_{11}\partial_{18} + x_{17}\partial_{20}$ $\{-1,1,1,1,-1\} \to \partial_3 + x_4\partial_{14} + x_5\partial_{13} + x_7\partial_{17} + x_9\partial_{18} + x_{16}\partial_{20}$ $\{1,-1,-1,1,1\} \to \partial_4 + x_{10}\partial_{16} + x_{12}\partial_{17} + x_{18}\partial_{19}$ $\{1,-1,1,-1,1\} \to \partial_5 + x_8\partial_{16} + x_{12}\partial_{18} + x_{17}\partial_{19}$ $\{1,-1,1,1,-1\} \to \partial_6 + x_8\partial_{17} + x_{10}\partial_{18} + x_{16}\partial_{19}$ $\{2,0,-2,0,0\} \to \partial_7,\ \ \{0,2,-2,0,0\} \to \partial_8,\ \ \{2,0,0,-2,0\} \to \partial_9,\ \ \{0,2,0,-2,0\} \to \partial_{10}$ $\{2,0,0,0,-2\} \to \partial_{11},\ \ \{0,2,0,0,-2\} \to \partial_{12}$

No simple partial prolongs. Critical coordinates of the shearing vector for $\mathfrak{kle}_2(20; \widetilde{\underline{N}})$ are those corresponding to former odd indeterminates. Explicitly: noncritical coordinates of the shearing vector corresponds to x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{15}, x_{19}, x_{20}. Proof: by means of *SuperLie*.

13. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$ over $\mathbb{C}$

In this section, we illustrate the algorithm presented in detail in [Shch], verify and rectify one formula from [CCK]. This algorithm allows one to describe vectorial Lie superalgebras by means of differential equations. In [Sh5, Sh14] the algorithm was used to describe the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$.

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$ has three realizations as a transitive and primitive (i.e., not preserving invariant foliations on the space it is realized by means of vector fields) vectorial Lie superalgebra. Speaking algebraically, the requirement to be transitive and primitive vectorial Lie superalgebra is the same as to have a W-filtration, so $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$ has three W-filtrations.

Two of these W-filtrations are of depth 2, and one is of depth 3. In each realization this Lie superalgebra is the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16. In this section we consider the case of depth 3 (the grading K), i.e., we explicitly solve the differential equations singling out our Lie superalgebra. We thus explicitly obtain the expressions for the elements of $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5; K)$.

In this realization, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ is the complete prolong of its negative part $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, where

$$\operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_{-3} = 0|2, \quad \operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_{-2} = 3|0, \quad \operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = 0|6.$$

We would like to embed $\mathfrak{g}_-$ into the Lie superalgebra

$$\mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{vect}(3|8) = \mathfrak{Der}\mathbb{C}[u_1, u_2, u_3; \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \chi_1, \chi_2] \tag{114}$$

considered with the grading

$$\deg \eta_i = \deg \xi_i = 1, \quad \deg u_i = 2, \quad \deg \chi_j = 3 \text{ for any } i, j.$$

According to the algorithm described in [Shch], we find in $\mathfrak{v}_-$ two mutually commuting families of elements: X-vectors (the basis of $\mathfrak{g}_-$) and Y-vectors. The table of correspondences:

(115)

k	X	Y
-1	$X_{\eta_i},\ X_{\xi_i}$	$Y_{\eta_i},\ Y_{\xi_i}$
-2	X_{u_i}	Y_{u_i}
-3	X_{χ_j}	Y_{χ_j}

The nonzero commutation relations for the X-vectors are of the form ($(i, j, k) \in A_3$):

$$[X_{\eta_i}, X_{u_i}] = -X_{\chi_1}, \quad [X_{\xi_i}, X_{u_i}] = -X_{\chi_2},$$
$$[X_{\eta_i}, X_{\xi_k}] = -X_{u_j}, \quad [X_{\eta_i}, X_{\xi_j}] = X_{u_k}.$$

The nonzero commutation relations for the Y-vectors correspond to the negative of the above structure constants:

$$[Y_{\eta_i}, Y_{u_i}] = Y_{\chi_1}, \quad [Y_{\xi_i}, Y_{u_i}] = Y_{\chi_2},$$
$$[Y_{\eta_i}, Y_{\xi_k}] = Y_{u_j}, \quad [Y_{\eta_i}, Y_{\xi_j}] = -Y_{u_k}.$$

Let us represent an arbitrary vector field $D \in \mathfrak{vect}(3|8)$ in the form

$$X = F_1 Y_{\chi_1} + F_2 Y_{\chi_2} + \sum_{1 \le i \le 3} \left(f_{\xi_i} Y_{\xi_i} + f_{\eta_i} Y_{\eta_i} + f_{u_i} Y_{u_i} \right). \tag{116}$$

As it was shown in [Shch], any $X \in \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ is completely determined by a pair of functions F_1, F_2 by means of equations, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $(i, j, k) \in A_3$:

$$Y_{\xi_i}(F_1) = 0, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_1) = -(-1)^{p(f_{u_i})} f_{u_i} = Y_{\xi_i}(F_2), \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) = 0, \tag{117}$$

$$Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_i}) = Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_i}) = 0, \tag{118}$$

$$Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_j}) = (-1)^{p(f_{\eta_k})} f_{\eta_k}, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_j}) = -(-1)^{p(f_{\xi_k})} f_{\xi_k}, \tag{119}$$

$$Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_k}) = -(-1)^{p(f_{\eta_j})} f_{\eta_j}, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_k}) = (-1)^{p(f_{\xi_j})} f_{\xi_j}. \tag{120}$$

Therefore the functions F_1, F_2 must satisfy the following three groups of equations :

$$Y_{\xi_i}(F_1) = 0, \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_1) = Y_{\xi_i}(F_2), \quad Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{121}$$

The relations (117), (119) determine the remaining coordinates while the relations (118), (120) follow from (117), (119) and commutation relations that Y obey. Indeed, since $p(f_{u_j}) = p(f_{u_i}) = p(X)$, we have

$$Y_{\xi_i}(f_{u_j}) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{p(f_{u_i})} Y_{\xi_i} Y_{\xi_i}(F_2) = 0 & \text{for } i = j \\ -(-1)^{p(f_{u_j})} Y_{\xi_i} Y_{\xi_j}(F_2) = (-1)^{p(f_{u_i})} Y_{\xi_j} Y_{\xi_i}(F_2) = -Y_{\xi_j}(f_{u_i}) & \text{for } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Besides,

$$f_{\xi_k} = -(-1)^{p(f_{\xi_k})}Y_{\eta_i}(f_{u_j}) = -Y_{\eta_i}Y_{\xi_j}(F_2) = Y_{\xi_j}Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) + Y_{u_k}(F_2) = Y_{u_k}(F_2).$$

We similarly get the expressions for the remaining coordinates:

$$f_{\eta_k} = Y_{u_k}(F_1).$$

Therefore, an arbitrary element $X \in \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ is of the form

$$X = X^F = F_1Y_{\chi_1} + F_2Y_{\chi_2} + \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\left(Y_{u_i}(F_2)Y_{\xi_i} + Y_{u_i}(F_1)Y_{\eta_i} - (-1)^{p(X)}Y_{\xi_i}(F_2)Y_{u_i}\right), \tag{122}$$

where the pair of functions $F = \{F_1, F_2\}$ satisfies the system of equations (121).

We select the Y-vectors so that the equations (121) the functions F_1, F_2 should satisfy were as simple as possible. For example, take the following Y-vectors, where $i = 1, 2, 3$, $s = 1, 2$, $(i, j, k) \in A_3$:

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{\eta_i} = \partial_{\eta_i} + \xi_k\partial_{u_j} - \xi_j\partial_{u_k} + (\xi_k\eta_j - \xi_j\eta_k)\partial_{\chi_1} - \xi_j\xi_k\partial_{\chi_2}, \quad Y_{\xi_i} = \partial_{\xi_i}, \\ &Y_{u_i} = \partial_{u_i} + \eta_i\partial_{\chi_1} + \xi_i\partial_{\chi_2}, \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad Y_{\chi_s} = \partial_{\chi_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the corresponding X-vectors are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{\eta_i} = \partial_{\eta_i} + u_i\partial_{\chi_1}, \quad X_{\xi_i} = \partial_{\xi_i} - \eta_j\partial_{u_k} + \eta_k\partial_{u_j} - \eta_j\eta_k\partial_{\chi_1} + u_i\partial_{\chi_2}, \\ &X_{u_i} = \partial_{u_i}, \qquad\qquad X_{\chi_s} = \partial_{\chi_s}. \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ consists of the vector fields preserving the distribution determined by the following equations for the vector field D of the form (116):

$$f_{u_1} = f_{u_2} = f_{u_3} = F_1 = F_2 = 0. \tag{124}$$

Let us express the coordinates f of the field D in the Y-basis in terms of the standard coordinates in the basis of partial derivatives:

$$D = g_{\chi_1}\partial_{\chi_1} + g_{\chi_2}\partial_{\chi_1} + \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\left(g_{\xi_i}\partial_{\xi_i} + g_{\eta_i}\partial_{\eta_i} + g_{u_i}\partial_{u_i}\right).$$

We get:

$$\begin{aligned} &f_{u_i} = g_{u_i} + g_{\eta_j}\xi_k - g_{\eta_k}\xi_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3 \text{ and } (i, j, k) \in A_3, \\ &F_1 = g_{\chi_1} - \sum g_{u_i}\eta_i, \\ &F_2 = g_{\chi_2} - \sum g_{u_i}\xi_i - \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3,\ (i,j,k)\in A_3} g_{\eta_i}\xi_j\xi_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the standard coordinates, the distribution singled out by conditions (124) is given by the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{u_i} + g_{\eta_j}\xi_k - g_{\eta_k}\xi_j = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ &g_{\chi_1} - \sum g_{u_i}\eta_i = 0, \\ &g_{\chi_2} - \sum g_{u_i}\xi_i - \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3,\ (i,j,k)\in A_3} g_{\eta_i}\xi_j\xi_k = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

Taking into account the first two relations we rewrite the third one as

$$g_{\chi_2} + \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3,\ (i,j,k)\in A_3} g_{\eta_i}\xi_j\xi_k = 0.$$

Assuming that

$$\langle f\partial_\xi,\ gd\xi\rangle = (-1)^{p(g)}fg,$$

we see that the distribution is singled out by Pfaff equations given by the following 1-forms:

$$\begin{aligned} &du_i + \xi_j d\eta_k - \xi_k d\eta_j, \text{ where } (i,j,k) \in A_3,\\ &d\chi_1 - \sum \eta_i du_i,\\ &d\chi_2 + \sum_{i \text{ such that } (i,j,k)\in A_3} \xi_j\xi_k d\eta_i. \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Let us now solve the system (121).

Since $Y_{\xi_i} = \partial_{\xi_i}$, the condition $Y_{\xi_i}(F_1) = 0$ implies that $F_1 = F_1(u, \eta, \chi)$. The condition $Y_{\xi_i}(F_2) = Y_{\eta_i}(F_1) = 0$ takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \xi_i} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \eta_i} + \left(\xi_k \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_j} - \xi_j \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_k}\right) + (\xi_k\eta_j - \xi_j\eta_k)\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1} - \xi_j\xi_k \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_2},$$

wherefrom (since F_1 does not depend on ξ) we see that

$$F_2 = \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3} \xi_i \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \eta_i} - \sum_{i=1,2,3,\ (i,j,k)\in A_3} \xi_i\xi_j \left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_k} + \eta_k \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1}\right) - \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_2} + \alpha_2, \tag{127}$$

where $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(u, \eta, \chi)$, i.e., does not depend on ξ.

Let us expand the last group of equations

$$Y_{\eta_i}(F_2) = 0$$

in the power series in ξ. Observe that the coefficient of $\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$ vanishes automatically.

The terms of degree 0 in ξ are of the form:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \eta_i} = 0 \Longrightarrow \alpha_2 = \alpha_2(u, \chi).$$

The terms of degree 1 in ξ are of the form:

$$\xi_k \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_j} - \xi_j \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_k} + (\xi_k\eta_j - \xi_j\eta_k)\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_1} = \xi_j \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j} + \xi_k \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_k},$$

implying that

$$F_1 = \sum_{i=1,2,3,(i,j,k)\in A_3} \eta_i\eta_j \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_k} + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_1} + \alpha_1(u, \chi) + \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3} f_i(u, \chi)\eta_i. \tag{128}$$

So, the functions F_1, F_2 are completely determined by the 5 functions α_1, α_2, f_1, f_2, f_3 that depend only on u and χ.

The terms of degree 2 in ξ lead to the equation (the coefficient of $\xi_j\xi_k$):

$$\sum_{1\leq s\leq 3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial u_s \partial \eta_s} - \eta_s \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_s}\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1}\right) + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \chi_1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_2} = 0. \tag{129}$$

Let us expand this equation by degrees in η. The degree 0 yields the equation:

$$\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3} (-1)^{p(f_i)} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \chi_1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \chi_2} = 0. \tag{130}$$

In degrees $1, 2, 3$ in η the equation (129) is automatically satisfied.

Let us express eq. (130) in the following more lucid way. We designate

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &= f_i^0 + f_i^1\chi_1 + f_i^2\chi_2 + f_i^{12}\chi_1\chi_2,\\ \alpha_s &= \alpha_s^0 + \alpha_s^1\chi_1 + \alpha_s^2\chi_2 + \alpha_s^{12}\chi_1\chi_2. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (130) is equivalent to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\tfrac{\partial f_i^{12}}{\partial u_i}=0,\\
&\alpha_1^{12}-\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\tfrac{\partial f_i^{2}}{\partial u_i}=0,\\
&\alpha_2^{12}+\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\tfrac{\partial f_i^{1}}{\partial u_i}=0,\\
&\alpha_1^{1}+\alpha_2^{2}+\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\tfrac{\partial f_i^{0}}{\partial u_i}=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{131}$$

Let us describe the commutation relations in $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ more explicitly. Let us represent the vector field (122) as

$$X^F=x^F+\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\left(f_{\xi_i}Y_{\xi_i}+f_{\eta_i}Y_{\eta_i}-(-1)^{p(X)}f_{u_i}Y_{u_i}\right),\ \text{where } x^F=F_1\partial_{\chi_1}+F_2\partial_{\chi_2},\tag{132}$$

and observe that, taking relation (117) and (119) into account, we have

$$[X^F,X^G]=X^H,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1&=[x^F,x^G]_1+\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\left(f_{u_i}g_{\eta_i}-(-1)^{p(X^G)}f_{\eta_i}g_{u_i}\right),\\
H_2&=[x^F,x^G]_2+\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\left(f_{u_i}g_{\xi_i}-(-1)^{p(X^G)}f_{\xi_i}g_{u_i}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{133}$$

Observe that it suffices to compute only the **defining** components of F, G, and H:

the pair	determined by the set
F	$\{\alpha_s, f_i \mid s=1,2,\ i=1,2,3\}$
G	$\{\beta_s, g_i \mid s=1,2,\ i=1,2,3\}$
H	$\{\gamma_s, h_i \mid s=1,2,\ i=1,2,3\}$

(134)

Then we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\left(-f_i\frac{\partial\beta_1}{\partial u_i}+(-1)^{p(X^G)}\frac{\partial\alpha_1}{\partial u_i}g_i\right)+\\
&\left(\alpha_1\frac{\partial\beta_1}{\partial\chi_1}+\alpha_2\frac{\partial\beta_1}{\partial\chi_2}\right)-(-1)^{p(X^F)p(X^G)}\left(\beta_1\frac{\partial\alpha_1}{\partial\chi_1}+\beta_2\frac{\partial\alpha_1}{\partial\chi_2}\right);\\
\gamma_2 &= \sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}\left(-f_i\frac{\partial\beta_2}{\partial u_i}+(-1)^{p(X^G)}\frac{\partial\alpha_2}{\partial u_i}g_i\right)+\\
&\left(\alpha_1\frac{\partial\beta_2}{\partial\chi_1}+\alpha_2\frac{\partial\beta_2}{\partial\chi_2}\right)-(-1)^{p(X^F)p(X^G)}\left(\beta_1\frac{\partial\alpha_2}{\partial\chi_1}+\beta_2\frac{\partial\alpha_2}{\partial\chi_2}\right);\\
h_i &= -\sum_{1\leq r\leq 3}f_r\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial u_r}+\sum_{1\leq r\leq 3}\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_r}g_r-\\
&(-1)^{p(X^G)}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_2}{\partial u_j}\frac{\partial\beta_1}{\partial u_k}-\frac{\partial\alpha_2}{\partial u_k}\frac{\partial\beta_1}{\partial u_j}-\frac{\partial\alpha_1}{\partial u_j}\frac{\partial\beta_2}{\partial u_k}+\frac{\partial\alpha_1}{\partial u_k}\frac{\partial\beta_2}{\partial u_j}\right)+\\
&+\sum_{s=1,2}\alpha_s\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial\chi_s}-(-1)^{p(X^F)p(X^G)}\sum_{s=1,2}\beta_s\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial\chi_s}\ \text{for } i=1,2,3,\ (i,j,k)\in A_3.
\end{aligned}\tag{135}$$

In what follows we identify the vector field X^F with the collection

$$\{\alpha_s,\ f_i \mid s=1,2,\quad i=1,2,3\}.\tag{136}$$

The bracket of vector fields corresponds to the bracket of such collections given by eqs. (135).

Consider now the even part $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$ of our algebra. Since $p(F_1) = p(F_2) = \bar{1}$, it follows that $p(\alpha_s) = \bar{1}$ and $p(f_i) = \bar{0}$ for all s and i. The component $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$ has the three subspaces:

$$\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3.$$

The subspace V_1 is determined by the collection (136) such that

$$\{\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0, \ \ f_i = f_i(u)\chi_1\chi_2 \mid \sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} = 0\}.$$

Eqs. (135) imply that the vector fields generated by such functions form a commutative ideal in $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$; we will identify this ideal with $d\Omega^1(3)$:

$$\{0, 0, f_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3\} \longmapsto - \sum_{i \text{ such that } (ijk)\in A_3} f_i du_j \wedge du_k.$$

The subspace V_2 is determined by the collection (136) such that $f_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We will identify this space with $\Omega^0(3) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, by setting

$$\{\alpha(u)(a\chi_1+b\chi_2),\ \alpha(u)(c\chi_1-a\chi_2),\ 0, 0, 0\} \longmapsto \alpha(u)\otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \alpha \in \Omega^0(3),\ a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Eqs. (135) imply that the subspaces V_1 and V_2 commute with each other whereas the brackets of two collections from V_2 is in our notation of the form

$$[f \otimes A,\ g \otimes B] = fg \otimes [A, B] + df \wedge dg \cdot \operatorname{tr} AB.$$

Concerning V_3, we have the following three natural possibilities for it: in all three cases we take $f_i = f_i(u)$ for all i, whereas for the α_s, we select one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &(a) \quad \alpha_1 = -\sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_1, \qquad \alpha_2 = 0; \\ &(b) \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \qquad\qquad\qquad \alpha_2 = -\sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_2; \\ &(c) \quad \alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_1, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_2. \end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

In cases (a) and (b), we get two embeddings $\mathfrak{vect}(3) \subset \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{0}}$. For $p = 2$ when case (c) is not defined we select any one of these cases, case (a) for definiteness.

For $p \neq 2$, the case (c) is, however, more convenient to simplify the brackets. Thus, we identify V_3 with $\mathfrak{vect}(3)$, by means of the mapping

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_1,\ -\frac{1}{2}\sum \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_2,\ f_1(u),\ f_2(u),\ f_3(u)\right\} \longmapsto D_f = -\sum f_i(u)\partial_{u_i}. \tag{138}$$

The actions of D_f on the subspace V_1 (as on the space 2-forms) and V_2 (as on the space $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-valued functions) are natural. The bracket of the elements D_f is, however, notably different from the usual bracket thanks to an extra term:

$$[D_f,\ D_g] = D_f D_g - D_g D_f - \frac{1}{2}d(\operatorname{div} D_f) \wedge d(\operatorname{div} D_g).$$

Consider now the odd part: $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{\bar{1}}$. We have $p(F_1) = p(F_2) = \bar{0}$, and hence

$$p(\alpha_s) = \bar{0}, \ \ p(f_i) = \bar{1}.$$

Let V_4 consist of collections (136) with $f_i = 0$. We identify V_4 with $\Omega^0(3)\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$, by setting

$$\{(\alpha(u)w_1,\ \alpha(u)w_2,\ 0,0,0)\} \longmapsto \alpha(u)\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes\begin{pmatrix} w_2\\ -w_1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{139}$$

Let V_5 consist of the collections (136), where

$$f_i = f_i(u)(v_1\chi_1 + v_2\chi_2),\quad \alpha_1 = v_2\sum\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_1\chi_2,\quad \alpha_2 = -v_1\sum\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}\chi_1\chi_2. \tag{140}$$

We identify V_5 with $\Omega^2(3)\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$, by assigning to the collection (140) the element

$$\omega\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes\begin{pmatrix} v_1\\ v_2\end{pmatrix},\ \text{where } \omega = -\sum_{i \text{ such that } (ijk)\in A_3} f_i du_j\wedge du_k. \tag{141}$$

Let us sum up a description of the spaces V_i and their elements, see Table (142).

(142)

The space	α_1	α_2	f_i	the element of V_i
$V_1 \cong d\Omega^1(3)$	0	0	$f_i(u)\chi_1\chi_2$, $\sum\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} = 0$	$\omega = \sum f_i du_j\wedge du_k$, $d\omega = 0$
$V_2 \cong$ $\Omega^0(3)\otimes\mathfrak{sl}(2)$	$\alpha(u)(a\chi_1 + b\chi_2)$	$\alpha(u)(c\chi_1 - a\chi_2)$	0	$\alpha(u)\otimes\begin{pmatrix} a & c\\ b & -a\end{pmatrix}$
$V_3 \cong$ $\mathfrak{vect}(3)$	$-\frac{1}{2}f(u)\chi_1$	$-\frac{1}{2}f(u)\chi_2$	$f_i(u)$ $f(u) = \sum\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}$	$D = -\sum f_i(u)\partial_{u_i}$ $\operatorname{div} D = -f(u)$
$V_4 \cong$ $\Omega^0\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$	$\alpha(u)w_1$	$\alpha(u)w_2$	0	$\frac{\alpha(u)}{\operatorname{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes\begin{pmatrix} w_2\\ -w_1\end{pmatrix}$
$V_5 \cong$ $\Omega^2\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$	$v_2f(u)\chi_1\chi_2$	$-v_1f(u)\chi_1\chi_2$	$f_i(u)(v_1\chi_1 + v_2\chi_2)$ $f(u) = \sum\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i}$	$\frac{\omega}{\operatorname{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes\begin{pmatrix} v_1\\ v_2\end{pmatrix}$ $\omega = \sum f_i du_j\wedge du_k$

Having explicitly computed the brackets using expressions (135) and presenting the result by means of correspondences (142) we obtain the formulas almost identical to those offered in [CCK].

We have already given the brackets of the even elements. The brackets of elements of $\mathfrak{mb}_{\bar 0}$ and $\mathfrak{mb}_{\bar 1}$ are of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[V_1, V_4]: \quad [\omega, \alpha\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v] = \alpha\cdot\omega\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v \in V_5;\\
&[V_2, V_4]: \quad [f\otimes A, \alpha\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v] = f\alpha\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes Av - df\wedge d\alpha\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes Av \in V_4\oplus V_5;\\
&[V_3, V_4]: \quad [D, \alpha\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v] = (D(\alpha) - \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{div} D\cdot\alpha)\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v +\\
&\qquad\qquad \tfrac{1}{2}d(\operatorname{div} D)\wedge d\alpha\cdot\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v \in V_4\oplus V_5;\\
&[V_1, V_5] \quad = 0;\\
&[V_2, V_5]: \quad [f\otimes A, \omega\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v] = f\omega\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes Av \in V_5;\\
&[V_3, V_5]: \quad [D, \omega\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v] = (L_D\omega - \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{div} D\cdot\omega)\operatorname{vol}^{-1/2}\otimes v \in V_5.
\end{aligned}$$

To describe in these terms the bracket of two odd elements, perform the following natural identifications:

$$\frac{\Omega^2(3)}{\mathrm{vol}} \cong \mathfrak{vect}(3): \quad \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{vol}} \longleftrightarrow D_\omega:$$

$$i_{D_\omega}(\mathrm{vol}) = \omega, \text{ i.e., } \sum_{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\} \text{ such that } (ijk)\in A_3} f_i dx_j \wedge dx_k \longleftrightarrow \sum f_i \partial_i;$$

$$\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}: \ v\wedge w \longleftrightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & w_1 \\ v_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$S^2(\mathbb{C}^2) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2): \ v\cdot w \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -v_1w_2 - v_2w_1 & 2v_1w_1 \\ -2v_2w_2 & v_1w_2 + v_2w_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The bracket of two odd elements is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[V_4, V_4]: \quad \left[\tfrac{f}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes v, \tfrac{g}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes w\right] = \tfrac{df\wedge dg\otimes v\wedge w}{\mathrm{vol}} \in \mathfrak{vect}(3) = V_3;\\
&[V_5, V_5]: \quad \left[\tfrac{\omega_1}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes v, \tfrac{\omega_2}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes w\right] = (D_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) - (\operatorname{div} D_{\omega_2})\cdot\omega_1)v\wedge w \in V_1;\\
&[V_4, V_5]: \quad \left[\tfrac{f}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes v, \tfrac{\omega}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes w\right] =\\
&\qquad \tfrac{f\omega}{\mathrm{vol}}\otimes v\wedge w - \tfrac{1}{2}(f d\omega - \omega\wedge df)\otimes v\cdot w + df\wedge d(\operatorname{div} D_\omega)\otimes v\wedge w.
\end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

In the last line above, the first summand lies in V_3, the second one in V_2, and the third one in V_1. **The difference as compared with** [CCK]: the coefficient of the third summand in the last line on (143) should be 1 whereas in [CCK] it is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

To verify, compute the Jacobi identity (it holds for 1 and not for $\frac{1}{2}$) for the triple

$$u_3du_2\wedge du_3 \in V_1, \quad \frac{u_1}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes e_1, \text{ and } \frac{u_2}{\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}}\otimes e_2 \in V_4, \text{ where } e_1, e_2 \text{ span } \mathbb{C}^2.$$

14. The Lie algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(3;\underline{N}|8))$ is a true deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$

In this section, we describe the analog of the complex Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ for $p=2$ and consider its desuperization. For brevity, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible.

In §13, we have shown that an arbitrary vector field $X^F \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$, is of the form (132) and is determined by 5 functions $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, f_1, f_2, f_3)$ in 5 indeterminates $\chi_1, \chi_2, u_1, u_2, u_3$. Now, speaking about $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(3|8))$, we assume that all these indeterminates are even.

For consistency we replace χ_i with u_{3+i}, and α_i with f_{3+i}. Accordingly we denote X^F by X^f, where $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$. The equation (130) takes the form

$$\sum_{1\le i\le 5} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} = 0. \tag{144}$$

The equation (144) is the only condition imposed on the functions f_i, and hence there are no restrictions on the values of coordinates of the shearing vector corresponding to the indeterminates u_i, including u_4 and u_5.

Consider the mapping

$$\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{vect}(5), \quad X^f \longmapsto D^f := \sum f_i\partial_{u_i}. \tag{145}$$

Clearly, this is a linear injective mapping. Formula (144) implies that $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{svect}(5)$. The mapping φ is not, however, an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$. Indeed, eqs. (135)

rewritten in new notation imply the following equality (since $p=2$, we skip the signs):

$$\varphi([X^f,\ X^g]) = [D^f,\ D^g] + \sum_{(i,j,k)\in S_3} \left(\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial u_i}\frac{\partial g_5}{\partial u_j} + \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial u_i}\frac{\partial g_4}{\partial u_j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}. \tag{146}$$

Recall a realization of $\mathfrak{kle}$ convenient in what follows: for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{kle}(5|10)$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar 0} = \mathfrak{svect}(5|0) \simeq d\Omega^3$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar 1} = \Pi(d\Omega^1)$ with the natural $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar 0}$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar 1}$, while the bracket of any two odd elements is their product naturally identified with a divergence-free vector field.

For any $D = \sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq 5} f_i\partial_{u_i} \in \mathfrak{svect}(5)$, we define

$$Z_i(D) := du_i \wedge df_i \in d\Omega^1(5)$$

and construct the embedding (as a vector space)

$$\psi : \mathfrak{svect}(5|0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{F}(\mathfrak{kle}),\ D \longmapsto D + Z_4(D) + Z_5(D). \tag{147}$$

Let us compute the bracket of two fields of the form (147):

$$[D^f + Z_4(D^f) + Z_5(D^f),\ D^g + Z_4(D^g) + Z_5(D^g)].$$

In order not to write too lengthy expressions, let us compute, separately, the brackets of individual summands. First, let $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $k = 4, 5$:

$$[f_i\partial_{u_i},\ g_k\partial_{u_k} + Z_k(g_k\partial_{u_k})] = [f_i\partial_{u_i},\ g_k\partial_{u_k} + du_k \wedge g_k] =$$
$$\left(f_i\frac{\partial g_k}{\partial u_i}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k} + du_k \wedge d\left(f_i\frac{\partial g_k}{\partial u_i}\right) + g_k\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} = [f_i\partial_i,\ g_k\partial_k] + Z_k([f_i\partial_i,\ g_k\partial_k]). \tag{148}$$

Here we applied the Leibniz formula for the action of a vector field on a 2-form, and the expressions for the Lie derivative along the vector field X:

$$L_{f_i\partial_i}(du_k) = 0 \text{ and } L_X \circ d = d \circ L_X.$$

Now, let $k = 4$ or 5:

$$[f_k\partial_{u_k} + Z_k(f_k\partial_{u_k}),\ g_k\partial_{u_k} + Z_k(g_k\partial_{u_k})] = [f_k\partial_{u_k} + du_k \wedge df_k,\ g_k\partial_{u_k} + du_k \wedge dg_k] =$$
$$[f_k\partial_{u_k},\ g_k\partial_{u_k}] + L_{f_k\partial_{u_k}}(du_k \wedge dg_k) + L_{g_k\partial_{u_k}}(du_k \wedge df_k) + [du_k \wedge df_k,\ du_k \wedge dg_k] =$$
$$\left(f_k\frac{\partial g_k}{\partial u_k} + g_k\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial u_k}\right)\partial_{u_k} + df_k \wedge dg_k + du_k \wedge d\left(f_k\frac{\partial g_k}{\partial u_k}\right) + dg_k \wedge df_k + du_k \wedge d\left(g_k\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial u_k}\right) =$$
$$[f_k\partial_{u_k},\ g_k\partial_{u_k}] + Z_k([f_k\partial_{u_k},\ g_k\partial_{u_k}]). \tag{149}$$

Finally, let $i = 4$ and $k = 5$:

$$[f_4\partial_{u_4} + Z_4(f_4\partial_{u_4}),\ g_5\partial_{u_5} + Z_5(g_5\partial_{u_5})] = [f_4\partial_{u_4} + du_4 \wedge df_4,\ g_5\partial_{u_5} + du_5 \wedge dg_5] =$$
$$\left(f_4\frac{\partial g_5}{\partial u_4}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_5} + du_5 \wedge d\left(f_4\frac{\partial g_5}{\partial u_4}\right) + g_5\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial u_5}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_4} + du_4 \wedge d\left(g_5\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial u_5}\right) +$$
$$\frac{du_4 \wedge df_4 \wedge du_5 \wedge dg_5}{\mathrm{vol}} =$$
$$[f_4\partial_4,\ g_5\partial_5] + Z_4([f_4\partial_4,\ g_5\partial_5]) + Z_5([f_4\partial_4,\ g_5\partial_5]) +$$
$$\sum_{(i,j,k)\in S_3} \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial u_i}\frac{\partial g_5}{\partial u_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}. \tag{150}$$

The expressions (148), (149), and (150) show that the through mapping $\psi \circ \varphi$ determines an embedding $\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{kle}$, and hence the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to thus constructed Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{kle}$.

14.1. **Remark.** Note that, thanks to formulas (148) and (149), the image of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$ under the embedding

$$\mathfrak{svect}(5) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{kle}, \quad D \longmapsto D + Z_k(D) \text{ for any } k$$

is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$. The image of the embedding with three additional terms

$$\mathfrak{svect}(5) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{kle}, \quad D \longmapsto D + Z_3(D) + Z_4(D) + Z_5(D) \tag{151}$$

is not a proper subalgebra of $\mathfrak{kle}$: it generates the whole $\mathfrak{kle}$. Indeed: take the bracket of the images of two fields of the form $f\partial_4,\ g\partial_5 \in \mathfrak{svect}(5)$; we see, thanks to eq. (150), that the image of $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$ under the mapping (151) must contain 2-forms of the shape $du_3 \wedge dh$ for certain h, and hence this image is not a subalgebra. Since the $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$-module $d\Omega^1(5)$ is irreducible, the image of (151) generates the whole $\mathfrak{kle}$.

14.1.1. **The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{mb}$ is a true deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$.** Indeed, for the shearing vectors of the form $\underline{N}_\infty$, all W-gradings of $\mathfrak{mb}$ are the same as over $\mathbb{C}$. None of them has maximal subalgebra of codimension 5, whereas $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$ has such a subalgebra.

14.1.2. **Partial prolongs.** The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(3|8))$ thus constructed is the **complete** prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16; let us investigate if there is a **partial** prolongs inside $\mathfrak{g}$. We consider $\mathfrak{g}$ as a deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$ with the grading

$$\deg x_a = 2, \ \ \deg x_i = 3, \text{ where } a = 1,2,3,\ i = 4,5$$

and the new bracket (146) designated $[[\cdot,\cdot]]$:

$$[[D^f, D^g]] = [D^f, D^g] + c(D^f, D^g), \tag{152}$$

where $D^F = \sum f_i\partial_i \in \mathfrak{svect}(5)$, $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the usual bracket of vector fields, and the cocycle

$$c(D^f, D^g) = \sum_{(i,j,k)\in S_3} \left(\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial u_i}\frac{\partial g_5}{\partial u_j} + \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial u_i}\frac{\partial g_4}{\partial u_j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$$

All calculations in this realization are rather simple. We have (observe that thanks to formulas (145) and (152) brackets between the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ are nontrivial, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ generates the negative part)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	its basis
$\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$	$\partial_4,\ \partial_5$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\partial_1,\ \partial_2,\ \partial_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$x_a\partial_i$, where $a = 1,2,3,\ i = 4,5$

We also have

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathbb{K}(x_1\partial_1 + x_5\partial_5), \text{ where}$$

$$\mathfrak{sl}(3) = \left\{ \sum_{a,b=1,2,3} \alpha_{ab}x_a\partial_b \mid \sum_{1\le a\le 3} \alpha_{aa} = 0 \right\}, \qquad \mathfrak{sl}(2) = \mathrm{Span}(x_4\partial_5, x_5\partial_4, x_4\partial_4 + x_5\partial_5).$$

The component $\mathfrak{g}_1 = V_1 \oplus V_2$ is the direct sum of the following $\mathfrak{g}_0$-invariant subspaces:

$$V_1 = \mathrm{Span}(x_i\partial_a \mid i = 4,5,\ a = 1,2,3), \quad V_2 = \mathrm{Span}(x_ax_b\partial_i \mid a,b = 1,2,3,\ i = 4,5).$$

The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module V_1 is irreducible.

The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module V_2 contains an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodule $V_2^0 = \mathrm{Span}(x_ax_b\partial_i \mid a \ne b) \subset V_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts in the quotient space as follows: $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ acts in V_2/V_2^0 by zero and $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ acts as $\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ with multiplicity 3, so $\dim V_2/V_2^0 = 8$.

Using (152) it is easy to see that

$$[[V_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}]] = \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad [[V_2, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}]] \subset \mathfrak{sl}(3).$$

This means that only partial prolongs with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ containing V_1 may be simple.

For $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = V_1 \oplus V_2^0$, the partial prolong with the unconstrained shearing vector which is of the form $\underline{N}^u = (1, 1, 1, \infty, \infty)$ is a deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5; \underline{N}^u)$.

For $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = V_1 \oplus V_2^0 \oplus \operatorname{Span}(x_1^{(2)}\partial_i \mid i = 4, 5)$, the partial prolong is a deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5; \underline{N}^u)$ with $\underline{N}^u = (\infty, 1, 1, \infty, \infty)$.

For $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = V_1 \oplus V_2^0 \oplus \operatorname{Span}(x_a^{(2)}\partial_i \mid a = 1, 2, \quad i = 4, 5)$, the partial prolong is a deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5; \underline{N}^u)$ with $\underline{N}^u = (\infty, \infty, 1, \infty, \infty)$.

The subspace V_1 is commutative and the partial prolong $\mathfrak{h}$ with V_1 as the first component is trivial, i.e., $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus (\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = V_1)$. Since $[[V_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-2}]] = 0$, it follows that $\mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{h}$. The simple 24-dimensional quotient obtained is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(5)$ with the degrees of Chevalley generators being $(0, \pm 1, 0, 0)$.

15. $\mathfrak{mb}(9; \widetilde{M})$ and analogs of semidensities for $p = 2$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{mb}(9; \widetilde{M})$ is the desuperization of $\mathfrak{mb}(4; \underline{M}|5)$, the $p = 2$ analog of $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. It can be obtained from the Lie algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(3; \underline{N}|8))$, a deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5; \widetilde{\underline{N}})$, by regrading of the latter:

$$\deg x_5 = 2, \quad \deg x_1 = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5)$ was initially constructed as follows. Consider the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of depth 1, the degrees of all odd indeterminates ξ begin equal to 0. We have (assuming $p(\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}) = \bar{1}$)

$$\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{m}_{-1}, \mathfrak{m}_0)_*, \text{ where } \mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{vect}(\xi) \rtimes \Lambda(\xi)\tau \text{ and } \mathfrak{m}_{-1} = \Lambda(\xi) \otimes \mathrm{vol}^{1/2} \overset{\text{as spaces}}{\simeq} \Pi(\Lambda(\xi)).$$

Denote $\mathfrak{n} := \Lambda(\xi)\tau$.

Considering $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$ as $\mathfrak{vect}(\xi)$-module we preserve the multiplication of the Grassmann algebra $\Lambda(\xi)$, whereas the ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_0$ does not preserve this multiplication. However, there is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{vect}(\xi)$-modules $\sigma : \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \Pi(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})$ and the action of $\mathfrak{n}$ on $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$ is performed with the help of this isomorphism:

$$[f, g] = \sigma(f) \cdot g \text{ for any } f \in \mathfrak{n}, \ g \in \mathfrak{m}_{-1}.$$

Speaking informally, although $\mathfrak{n}$ does not preserve the multiplication in $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$ considered as the Grassmann algebra, $\mathfrak{n}$ "remembers" this multiplication. And since $\mathfrak{m}$ is the Cartan prolong, it also somehow "remembers" this structure.

The bilinear form ω with which we construct the central extension $\mathfrak{m}_- = \mathfrak{m}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{-1}$ is the Berezin integral (the coefficient of the highest term) of the product of the two functions:

$$\omega(g_1, g_2) = \int g_1 g_2 \text{ for any } g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_{-1},$$

i.e., it also "remembers" the multiplication in $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$!

The "right" question therefore is not "which elements of $\mathfrak{m}_0$ preserve ω?" but rather

(153) "which elements of $\mathfrak{m}_0$ preserve ω **conformally**, up to multiplication by a number?"

Precisely these elements are derivations of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{m}_-$, and since $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal algebra that "remembers" the multiplication, it follows that the whole $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{m}_-)$ lies inside $\mathfrak{m}_0$.

Let us give an interpretation of the analog of the space of semidensities for $p = 2$.

For $p \neq 2$, we know the answer to the question (153): these are elements of the form

$$D + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} D, \text{ i.e., elements of } (\mathfrak{b}_{1/2})_0 \text{ preserving the form } \omega,$$

Together with elements of the form $c \cdot 1 \in \mathfrak{n}$ that multiply ω by $2c \in \mathbb{K}$.

For $p = 2$, the elements of the form $c \cdot 1 \in \mathfrak{n}$, where $c \in \mathbb{K}$, multiply ω by $2c = 0 \in \mathbb{K}$. Moreover, any function $f \in \mathfrak{n}$ preserves ω as well:

$$f\omega(g_1, g_2) = \int ((fg_1)g_2 + g_1(fg_2)) = 0 \text{ for any } f \in \mathfrak{n},\ g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_{-1}.$$

Thus, the form ω is preserved by $\mathfrak{svect}(\xi) \rtimes \Lambda(\xi)$ which is isomorphic to the subalgebra of linear (degree 0) vector fields in $\mathfrak{b}_\infty$. This should have been expected: since $2 = 0$, then $\frac{1}{2} = \infty$.

The elements conformally preserving ω are precisely $\xi_i\partial_i \longleftrightarrow q_i\xi_i$, so we have to add their sum to the 0th part and calculate the Cartan prolong.

Now we are able to obtain the basis of the nonpositive components of $\mathfrak{mb}(9; \underline{M})$. A realization of the weight basis of the negative components and generators of the 0th component by vector fields is as follows, see Subsec. 3.7 ($X_i^\pm$ are the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{sl}(3) = \mathfrak{svect}(0|3)_0$):

(154)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the generators
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	∂_1
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq$ $\mathcal{O}(3; \underline{M}_s)$	$\xi_1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_2,\ \xi_2 \longleftrightarrow \partial_3,\ \xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_4,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_9 + x_8\partial_1,$ $1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_8,\ \xi_1\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow \partial_5 + x_4\partial_1,\ \xi_1\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_6 + x_3\partial_1,\ \xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_7 + x_2\partial_1$
$\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathbb{K}D \rtimes$ $(\mathfrak{svect}(3) \rtimes \mathcal{O}(3); \underline{M}_s)$	$\partial_{\xi_3} \longleftrightarrow x_6x_7\partial_1 + x_4\partial_8 + x_6\partial_2 + x_7\partial_3 + x_9\partial_5,$ $X_1^- \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_2 + x_7\partial_6,\ X_2^+ \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_4 + x_5\partial_6,\ X_1^+ \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_3 + x_6\partial_7,\ X_2^- \longleftrightarrow x_4\partial_3 + x_6\partial_5,$ $\xi_1\xi_2\partial_3 \longleftrightarrow x_4^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_4\partial_5,\ \xi_1\xi_3\partial_2 \longleftrightarrow x_3^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_3\partial_6,\ \xi_2\xi_3\partial_1 \longleftrightarrow x_2^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_2\partial_7,$ $\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_8^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_8\partial_9,$ $D = x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2\ + x_5\partial_5 + x_6\partial_6 + x_9\partial_9$

For $\underline{M}$ unconstrained, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = 64$. The lowest weight vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_1$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 = {} & x_2x_5x_6\partial_1 + x_2^{(2)}\partial_8 + x_2x_5\partial_3 + x_2x_6\partial_4 + x_2x_9\partial_7 + x_5x_6\partial_7,\\
v_2 = {} & x_2x_3x_4\partial_1 + x_2x_3\partial_5 + x_2x_4\partial_6 + x_3x_4\partial_7 + x_2x_7\partial_9 + x_2x_8\partial_2 + x_4x_5\partial_9 + x_4x_8\partial_4\\
& + x_5x_8\partial_5 + x_7x_8\partial_7 + x_1x_8\partial_1 + x_1\partial_9 + x_2x_7\partial_9 + x_2x_8\partial_2 + x_5x_8\partial_5 + x_6x_8\partial_6\\
& + x_8x_9\partial_9 + x_1x_8\partial_1 + x_1\partial_9 + x_2x_7\partial_9 + x_2x_8\partial_2 + x_3x_6\partial_9 + x_3x_8\partial_3 + x_5x_8\partial_5 + x_8^{(2)}\partial_8,\\
v_3 = {} & x_2x_5x_7\partial_1 + x_3x_5x_6\partial_1 + x_2x_3\partial_8 + x_2x_5\partial_2 + x_2x_7\partial_4 + x_2x_9\partial_6 + x_3x_5\partial_3 + x_3x_6\partial_4\\
& + x_3x_9\partial_7 + x_5x_6\partial_6 + x_5x_7\partial_7,\\
v_4 = {} & x_1\partial_8 + x_5x_6\partial_2 + x_5x_7\partial_3 + x_5x_9\partial_5 + x_6x_7\partial_4 + x_6x_9\partial_6 + x_7x_9\partial_7.
\end{aligned}$$

Critical coordinates: $\underline{M}_5 = \underline{M}_6 = \underline{M}_7 = \underline{M}_9 = 1$. This Lie algebra is a regrading of $\mathfrak{mb}_3(11; \underline{N})$.

15.1. **No simple partial prolongs with the whole $\mathfrak{g}_0$.** (Proof: by means of *SuperLie*.) There are remarkable elements in $\mathfrak{g}_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= x_2^{(2)}\partial_7 + x_2^{(3)}\partial_1, \quad v_2 = x_3^{(2)}\partial_6 + x_3^{(3)}\partial_1,\\
v_3 &= x_4^{(2)}\partial_5 + x_4^{(3)}\partial_1, \quad v_4 = x_8^{(2)}\partial_9 + x_8^{(3)}\partial_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Each of the first three vectors generates a submodule of dim = 32; any two of them generate a submodule of dim = 40; all three together generate a submodule of dim = 48. The last one generates a submodule of dim = 8. All 4 together generate a submodule of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ of dim = 56. The quotient $\mathfrak{g}_1/\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module. We have $\dim([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1]) = 25$ while $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0 = 26$; absent is the vector of weight 0:

$$x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 + x_5\partial_5 + x_6\partial_6 + x_9\partial_9.$$

Note that $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ \mathfrak{g}_1] = \mathfrak{g}_0$.

For the 24-dimensional intersection $\mathfrak{g}_{1i}$ of the 32-dimensional submodules, we have $\dim([\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ \mathfrak{g}_{1i}]) = 21$; $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is irreducible over $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ \mathfrak{g}_{1i}]$, and hence over $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1]$.

The elements absent in $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\ \mathfrak{g}_{1i}]$ as compared with $\mathfrak{g}_0$:

$$x_8^2\partial_1 + x_8\partial_9, \quad x_2^2\partial_1 + x_2\partial_7,$$
$$x_3^2\partial_1 + x_3\partial_6, \quad x_4^2\partial_1 + x_4\partial_5.$$

16. A description of $\mathfrak{mb}_2(11; \widetilde{\underline{N}}) := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(5; \underline{N}|6))$

In this section, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible. This Lie superalgebra is the complete prolong of its negative part, see Subsec. 3.16.

Let us consider $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(5; \underline{N}|6))$ as a deform of $\mathfrak{svect}(5)$ with the grading

$$\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = 1, \quad \deg x_3 = \deg x_4 = \deg x_5 = 2.$$

Let us describe the complete prolong of this negative part of this Lie superalgebra, see Subsec. 3.16. We deduce the form of the vector fields forming a basis of the negative part of $\mathfrak{mb}(5; \underline{N}|6)$ from nonzero commutation relations between ∂_k and $x_i\partial_a$, where $k = 1, \ldots, 5$, $a = 3, 4, 5$, and $i = 1, 2$, cf. (146), (152), considered as elements of $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(5; \underline{N}|6))$:

$$[[\partial_i, x_i\partial_a]] = \partial_a, \quad [[x_1\partial_4, x_2\partial_5]] = [[x_1\partial_5, x_2\partial_4]] = \partial_3. \tag{155}$$

For a basis we take realization in vector fields in 5 indeterminates z_k, where $k = 1, \ldots, 5$, and 6 indeterminates z_{ia}, where $a = 3, 4, 5$ and $i = 1, 2$, of which z_1, z_2, z_3, z_{13}, z_{23} are even while z_4, z_5, z_{14}, z_{15}, z_{24}, z_{25} are odd:

(156)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the generators (even|odd)
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\partial_3 \mid \partial_4,\ \partial_5$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\partial_1,\ \partial_2,\ \partial_{13} + z_1\partial_3,\ \partial_{23} + z_2\partial_3 \mid$
	$\partial_{14} + z_1\partial_4 + z_{25}\partial_3,\ \partial_{24} + z_2\partial_4,$
	$\partial_{15} + z_1\partial_5 + z_{24}\partial_3,\ \partial_{25} + z_2\partial_5.$

Because the bracket (155) is a deformation that does not preserve the grading given by the torus $\mathfrak{gl}(5)$, we consider the part of the weights that is salvaged, namely, we just exclude the 3rd coordinate of the weight, whereas the weight of x_3 is defined to be equal to $(-1, -1, 1, 1)$.

The dimension of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the same for all p; it is their expressions that differ. The raising operators in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ are those of weight $(1, -1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, -1)$, and those with a positive sum of coordinates of the weight, $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_0^+) = 13$; we skip their explicit description (it is commented in TEXfile).

The lowering operators in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ are those of weight $(-1, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, -1, 1)$, and those with a negative sum of coordinates of the weight, $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_0^-) = 4$:

(157)

$$\boxed{\{\text{-1,-1,0,1}\}} \to \{z_{13}\partial_{14} + z_{23}\partial_{24} + z_{15}\partial_2 + z_{25}\partial_1 + z_3\partial_4 + z_{15}z_{23}\partial_3 + z_{15}z_{24}\partial_4 + z_{15}z_{25}\partial_5\}$$
$$\boxed{\{\text{-1,-1,1,0}\}} \to \{z_{13}\partial_{15} + z_{23}\partial_{25} + z_{14}\partial_2 + z_{24}\partial_1 + z_3\partial_5 + z_{14}z_{23}\partial_3 + z_{14}z_{24}\partial_4 + z_{14}z_{25}\partial_5\}$$
$$\boxed{\{\text{-1,1,0,0}\}} \to \{z_2\partial_1 + z_{13}\partial_{23} + z_{14}\partial_{24} + z_{15}\partial_{25} + z_{14}z_{15}\partial_3\}$$
$$\boxed{\{\text{0,0,1,-1}\}} \to \{z_{14}\partial_{15} + z_{24}\partial_{25} + z_4\partial_5\}$$

Noncritical coordinates: N_1, N_2, N_3.

For the unconstrained shearing vector, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 20|20$ with the lowest weight vector

$$v_1 = x_3\partial_1 + x_6x_7\partial_7 + x_6x_8\partial_8 + x_6x_{10}\partial_{10} + x_7x_8\partial_9 + x_7x_{10}\partial_{11} + x_8x_{10}\partial_2 + x_8x_9x_{10}\partial_4 + x_8x_{10}x_{11}\partial_5$$

generating the whole $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$. All other highest and lowest weight vectors together generate a submodule V of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ of superdimension $16|16$ such that $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V]$ is a 20-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1/V$ is irreducible.

16.1. **Desuperization.** The 0th component is the same as in (157) with parities forgotten.

Noncritical coordinates: $N_1, \dots, N_5$.

17. On analogs of $\mathfrak{kas}$ for $p = 2$

In this section, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible.

17.1. **How to distinguish the analog of $\mathfrak{kas}$ for $p = 2$.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}(1|6)$ be described in terms of generating functions of ξ, η, t, where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ with the bracket

$$\{f, g\}_{k.b.} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(1 + E')(g) + (1 + E')(f)\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{k+i}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+i}}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right), \tag{158}$$

where $x = (\xi, \eta)$ and $E' = \sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i}$ in the standard grading $\deg t = 2$, $\deg \xi_i = \deg \eta_i = 1$.

Consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{o}^{(1)}_\Pi(6) = \Lambda^2(\xi, \eta) = \mathfrak{h}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{o}^{(1)}_\Pi(6))$, where

$$\mathfrak{h}_{-2} = \Lambda^2(\xi),\ \mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathrm{Span}(\xi_i\eta_j \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(3),\ \mathfrak{h}_2 = \Lambda^2(\eta). \tag{159}$$

Set $\Phi := \sum \xi_i\eta_i$. For $p \neq 2$, we have $\mathrm{ad}_\Phi|_{\mathfrak{h}_i} = i\,\mathrm{id}$, hence the grading in (159). For $p = 2$, the elements Φ and t interchange their roles: Φ commutes with $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{co}^{(1)}_\Pi(6)$, while t is a grading operator on $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Let us describe the space $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Lambda^3(\xi, \eta) \oplus t\,\mathrm{Span}(\xi, \eta)$ as $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module. Its lowest weight vectors are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \eta_1\eta_2\xi_3, & \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3, & t\eta_1 & \text{for } p = 0 \\ \eta_1\eta_2\xi_3, & \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3, & \eta_1\eta_2\xi_2 + \eta_1\eta_3\xi_3 = \eta_1\Phi & \text{for } p = 2. \end{array}$$

Clearly, $\Lambda^3(\xi, \eta)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodule. Let us describe it.

Let $X_0 := \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ commutes with X_0, and $\mathfrak{h}_0$ acts on X_0 by scalar operators, so $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)X_0 = U(\mathfrak{h}_{-2})X_0$. Denote $V_1 := \mathrm{Span}(\eta_1\Phi, \eta_2\Phi, \eta_3\Phi)$.

We have:

$$\{\xi_1\xi_2, X_0\} = \xi_2\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_1\eta_1\eta_3 = \eta_3(\xi_1\eta_1 + \xi_2\eta_2) = \eta_3\Phi.$$

Similar computations show that $[\mathfrak{h}_{-2}, X_0] = V_1$.

Let us now describe $[\mathfrak{h}_{-2}, V_1]$. Clearly, see (158),

$$\{\xi_i\xi_j, \eta_\alpha\Phi\} = \{\xi_i\xi_j, \eta_\alpha\} \cdot \Phi + \eta_\alpha\{\xi_i\xi_j, \Phi\}.$$

We have $\{\xi_i\xi_j, \Phi\} = \begin{cases} 2\xi_i\xi_j & \text{for } p \neq 2, \\ 0 & \text{for } p = 2, \end{cases}$ and respectively we have

$$\{\xi_1\xi_2, \eta_1\Phi\} = \begin{cases} \xi_2(-\xi_1\eta_1 + \xi_3\eta_3), \\ \xi_2\Phi, \end{cases} \quad \{\xi_1\xi_3, \eta_1\Phi\} = \begin{cases} \xi_3(-\xi_1\eta_1 + \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \xi_3\Phi, \end{cases} \quad \{\xi_2\xi_3, \eta_1\Phi\} = \begin{cases} 2\eta_1\xi_2\xi_3, \\ 0. \end{cases}$$

We set $V_2 := [\mathfrak{h}_{-2}, V_1] = \mathrm{Span}(\xi_1\Phi,\ \xi_2\Phi,\ \xi_3\Phi)$ and see that $[\mathfrak{h}_{-2}, V_2] = 0$.

Therefore, $\mathbb{K}X_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodule.

We have $\{\eta_i\eta_j,\ \eta_\alpha\Phi\} = 0$, i.e., $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ is a submodule to which $\mathbb{K}X_0$ us glued "from above".

Absolutely analogously, if $Y_0 := \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$, then Y_0 generates the submodule $\mathbb{K}Y_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$, and $\mathbb{K}Y_0$ is now a submodule glued to V "from below".

Now, let $W_1 := \operatorname{Span}(\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1,\ \xi_1\xi_3\eta_2,\ \xi_1\xi_2\eta_3)$ and $W_2 := \operatorname{Span}(\xi_1\eta_2\eta_3,\ \xi_2\eta_1\eta_3,\ \xi_3\eta_1\eta_2)$. Then

$$\xi_i\xi_j : W_1 \longrightarrow 0, \quad \xi_i\eta_j : W_1 \longrightarrow \begin{cases} V_2 & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ W_1 & \text{for } i = j, \end{cases} \quad \eta_i\eta_j : W_1 \longrightarrow V_1,$$
$$\xi_i\xi_j : W_2 \longrightarrow V_2, \quad \xi_i\eta_j : W_2 \longrightarrow \begin{cases} V_1 & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ W_2 & \text{for } i = j, \end{cases}, \quad \eta_i\eta_j : W_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

We see that $U := V \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2$ is a submodule and $\mathfrak{h}$ annihilates the quotient U/V, and hence it is possible to glue any element of $W_1 \oplus W_2$ to V.

Finally, in the 6-dimensional quotient of $\Lambda^3(\xi, \eta)$ the tautological representation of $\mathfrak{o}^{(1)}_\Pi(6)$ is realized; it can not be, however, singled out as a SUBmodule, and, moreover, it is glued to the whole submodule U, the elements X_0 and Y_0 including.

Now, look at the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ whose expressions contain t.

For $p \neq 2$, the space $\operatorname{Span}(t\xi_1, t\xi_2, t\xi_3, t\eta_1, t\eta_2, t\eta_3)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodule.

For $p = 2$, this is not so. More precisely, $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(3)$ acts the same as for $p = 0$ (as on the direct sum of the tautological $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$-module and it dual). Whereas

$$\{\eta_i\eta_j,\ t\eta_k\} = \begin{cases} \eta_i\eta_j\eta_k = X_0 & \text{if the indices } i, j, k \text{ are distinct} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further,

$$\{\xi_1\xi_2,\ t\eta_1\} = \xi_2(t + \xi_1\eta_1),\ \{\xi_1\xi_3,\ t\eta_1\} = \xi_3(t + \xi_1\eta_1),\ \{\xi_2\xi_3,\ t\eta_1\} = \xi_2\xi_3\eta_1,$$

and $\{\xi_i\xi_j,\ \xi_2(t + \xi_1\eta_1)\} = 0$ for all i, j.

Thus, the space $Q_1 = \operatorname{Span}(t\eta_1,\ t\eta_2,\ t\eta_3)$ generates under the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ the space

$$Q_2 = \operatorname{Span}(\xi_1(t + \xi_2\eta_2),\ \xi_2(t + \xi_3\eta_3),\ \xi_3(t + \xi_1\eta_1)),$$

as well as V_2, W_1, and $\mathbb{K}X_0$.

We can try to twist the elements $t\eta_i$ to enable the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_2$ annihilate them. Such twisted elements span the space $P_1 := \operatorname{Span}(\eta_i(t + \xi_j\eta_j) \mid i \neq j)$. Under the action of $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ we obtain from P_1 the spaces $P_2 := \operatorname{Span}(t\xi_1, t\xi_2, t\xi_3)$, W_2, and $\mathbb{K}Y_0$.

The verdict: for $p = 2$, for the analog of $\mathfrak{kas}$, we should take as $\mathfrak{kas}_1$ any one of the two subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}_1$: either $\mathbb{K}X_0 \oplus V \oplus W_1 \oplus Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ or $\mathbb{K}Y_0 \oplus V \oplus W_2 \oplus P_1 \oplus P_2$. In what follows, we select the second option:

(160)
$\mathfrak{kas}(1; \underline{N}_s|6)$ **is generated by** $\mathfrak{kas}_-$ **and** $\mathbb{K}Y_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus W_2 \oplus P_1 \oplus P_2$**, where**
$Y_0 = \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$**,** $V_1 = \operatorname{Span}(\eta_1\Phi, \eta_2\Phi, \eta_3\Phi)$**,** $V_2 = \operatorname{Span}(\xi_1\Phi,\ \xi_2\Phi,\ \xi_3\Phi)$**,**
$W_2 := \operatorname{Span}(\xi_1\eta_2\eta_3,\ \xi_2\eta_1\eta_3,\ \xi_3\eta_1\eta_2)$,
$P_1 = \operatorname{Span}(\eta_i(t + \xi_j\eta_j) \mid i \neq j)$**,** $P_2 := \operatorname{Span}(t\xi_1, t\xi_2, t\xi_3)$**.**

Critical coordinates of the shearing vector for the desuperized $\mathfrak{kas}(1; \underline{N}|6)$ are those that do not correspond to t (as if the corresponding indeterminates remain to be odd).

17.2. **The simple ideal of codimension 1 in** $\mathfrak{kas}(1; \underline{N}|6)$**.** The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, see (159) in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, where $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{kas}(1; \underline{N}|6)$, is not simple: it contains the ideal $\mathfrak{o}^{(2)}_\Pi(6)$ of codimension 1, consisting of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & A^t \end{pmatrix}$ with zero-diagonal symmetric matrices B and C and $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(6)$ whereas $\mathfrak{h}$ consists of the same type matrices with $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(6)$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{kas}(1; \underline{N}|6)$ contains a simple ideal of codimension 1, the outer derivation being the outer derivation of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. This derivation is present in the versions of $\mathfrak{kas}$ considered in the next three sections.

18. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{kas}}(7;\underline{M}) := \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{kas}(4;\underline{\widetilde{N}}|3))$, WHERE $\mathfrak{kas}(4|3) = \mathfrak{kas}(1|6;3\eta)$

For bases in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ we take the following elements:

(161)
$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}: \begin{array}{l} \partial_0 \longleftrightarrow 1;\ \partial_{12} \longleftrightarrow \eta_1\eta_2;\ \partial_{13} \longleftrightarrow \eta_1\eta_3;\ \partial_{23} \longleftrightarrow \eta_2\eta_3 \\ \partial_1 \longleftrightarrow \eta_1;\ \partial_2 \longleftrightarrow \eta_2;\ \partial_3 \longleftrightarrow \eta_3. \end{array}$$

(162)

element of $\mathfrak{g}_0$	its action on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \mathrm{Vol}_0$	the corresponding vector field $\in \mathfrak{vect}(4;\underline{N}\|3)$
ξ_1	∂_{η_1}	$z_1\partial_0 + z_{12}\partial_2 + z_{13}\partial_3$
ξ_2	∂_{η_2}	$z_2\partial_0 + z_{12}\partial_1 + z_{23}\partial_3$
ξ_3	$\boxed{\partial_{\eta_3}}$	$z_3\partial_0 + z_{13}\partial_1 + z_{23}\partial_2$
$t \longleftrightarrow 1,\ \xi_i\eta_i \longleftrightarrow \eta_i\partial_{\eta_i} \Longrightarrow t + \xi_i\eta_i \longleftrightarrow \eta_i\partial_{\eta_i} + \mathrm{div}(\eta_i\partial_{\eta_i})$		
t	1	$\sum z_k\partial_k$
$\xi_1\eta_1$	$\eta_1\partial_{\eta_1}$	$z_1\partial_1 + z_{12}\partial_{12} + z_{13}\partial_{13}$
$\xi_2\eta_2$	$\eta_2\partial_{\eta_2}$	$z_2\partial_2 + z_{12}\partial_{12} + z_{23}\partial_{23}$
$\xi_3\eta_3$	$\eta_3\partial_{\eta_3}$	$z_3\partial_3 + z_{13}\partial_{13} + z_{23}\partial_{23}$
$\xi_1\eta_2$	$\boxed{\eta_2\partial_{\eta_1}}$	$z_1\partial_2 + z_{13}\partial_{23}$
$\xi_1\eta_3$	$\eta_3\partial_{\eta_1}$	$z_1\partial_3 + z_{12}\partial_{23}$
$\xi_2\eta_1$	$\eta_1\partial_{\eta_2}$	$z_2\partial_1 + z_{23}\partial_{13}$
$\xi_2\eta_3$	$\boxed{\eta_3\partial_{\eta_2}}$	$z_2\partial_3 + z_{12}\partial_{13}$
$\xi_3\eta_1$	$\eta_1\partial_{\eta_3}$	$z_3\partial_1 + z_{23}\partial_{12}$
$\xi_3\eta_2$	$\eta_2\partial_{\eta_3}$	$z_3\partial_2 + z_{13}\partial_{12}$
$\xi_i\eta_j\eta_k \longleftrightarrow \eta_j\eta_k\partial_{\eta_i} \in \mathfrak{svect}(\eta),\quad i \neq j \neq k$		
$\xi_1\eta_2\eta_3$	$\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_1}$	$z_1\partial_{23}$
$\xi_2\eta_1\eta_3$	$\eta_1\eta_3\partial_{\eta_2}$	$z_2\partial_{13}$
$\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2$	$\eta_1\eta_2\partial_{\eta_3}$	$z_3\partial_{12}$
$\eta_i\Phi \longleftrightarrow \eta_i(\eta_j\partial_{\eta_j} + \eta_k\partial_{\eta_k}) \in \mathfrak{svect}(\eta),\quad i \neq j \neq k$		
$\eta_1\Phi$	$\eta_1(\eta_2\partial_{\eta_2} + \eta_3\partial_{\eta_3})$	$z_2\partial_{12} + z_3\partial_{13}$
$\eta_2\Phi$	$\eta_2(\eta_1\partial_{\eta_1} + \eta_3\partial_{\eta_3})$	$z_1\partial_{12} + z_3\partial_{23}$
$\eta_3\Phi$	$\eta_3(\eta_2\partial_{\eta_2} + \eta_1\partial_{\eta_1})$	$z_1\partial_{13} + z_2\partial_{23}$
$\eta_i(t + \xi_j\eta_j) \longleftrightarrow \eta_i\eta_j\partial_{\eta_j} + \eta_i = \eta_i\eta_j\partial_{\eta_j} + \mathrm{div}(\eta_i\eta_j\partial_{\eta_j})$		
$\eta_1(t + \xi_2\eta_2)$	$\eta_1\eta_2\partial_{\eta_2} + \eta_1$	$z_0\partial_1 + z_3\partial_{13}$
$\eta_2(t + \xi_3\eta_3)$	$\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_3} + \eta_2$	$z_0\partial_2 + z_1\partial_{12}$
$\eta_3(t + \xi_1\eta_1)$	$\eta_1\eta_3\partial_{\eta_1} + \eta_3$	$z_0\partial_3 + z_2\partial_{23}$
$\eta_i\eta_i(t + \xi_k\eta_k) \longleftrightarrow \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_k} + \eta_i\eta_j = \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_k} + \mathrm{div}(\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_k})$		
$\eta_1\eta_2(t + \xi_3\eta_3)$	$\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_3} + \eta_1\eta_2$	$z_0\partial_{12}$
$\eta_1\eta_3(t + \xi_2\eta_2)$	$\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_2} + \eta_1\eta_3$	$z_0\partial_{13}$
$\eta_2\eta_3(t + \xi_1\eta_1)$	$\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_1} + \eta_2\eta_3$	$z_0\partial_{23}$

Let $\mathfrak{k}(1;\underline{N}|6)$ preserve the distribution given by the form $dt + \sum \xi_i d\eta_i$ with the contact bracket (62) and the grading of the generating functions given by, see Table (181):

$$\deg t = \deg \xi_i = 1,\quad \deg \eta_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1,2,3; \text{ hence } \deg_{Lie}(f) = \deg(f) - 1.$$

For $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{kas}(4;\underline{\widetilde{N}}|3)$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \mathrm{Vol}_0 = \mathrm{Span}(f(\eta) \mid \int f = 0)$, i.e, all polynomials of η without the product of the three of them. In (161), (162) we express these operators in terms of the 7 indeterminates z we introduce to express the Lie superalgebra and its desuperization in terms of vector fields as the prolong; $\partial_i := \partial_{z_i}$, $\partial_{ij} := \partial_{z_{ij}}$. We have (recall the definition of Vol_0, see (16))

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0|3)),$$

with $\mathfrak{vect}(0|3)$ acting on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as on the space of volume forms $D \longmapsto D + \mathrm{div}(D)$, and the element t generating the center acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ as the grading operator.

To simplify notation, we redenote the indeterminates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(163)\qquad & z_0 \longleftrightarrow 1 \longleftrightarrow x_1;\ z_{12} \longleftrightarrow \eta_1\eta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_2;\ z_{13} \longleftrightarrow \eta_1\eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3;\ z_{23} \longleftrightarrow \eta_2\eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_4;\\ & z_1 \longleftrightarrow \eta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_5;\ z_2 \longleftrightarrow \eta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_6;\ z_3 \longleftrightarrow \eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_7.\end{aligned}$$

18.1. **Partial prolongs.** The unconstrained shearing vector only depends on N_1, we have $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 16|18$.

Let V_i be the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-submodule in $\mathfrak{g}_1$ generated by v_i. For the unconstrained shearing vector, we have $\operatorname{sdim} V_1 = 8|6$, $\operatorname{sdim} V_2 = 12|9$ with $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_i] = \mathfrak{g}_0$, and $V_1 \subset V_2$.

The prolong in the direction of V_1, see (95), is trivial, namely $\mathfrak{g}_2^{(1)} = 0$.

The prolong in the direction of V_2, see (95), gives $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2^{(2)} = 4|4$, and $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_2^{(2)} = 0|1$. $\operatorname{sdim}([V_2, V_2]) = 3|4$, while $[V_2, \mathfrak{g}_2^{(2)}] = 0$.

There are also 3 highest weight vectors that generate nested modules $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset W_3$; we have $W_1 = V_1$, $\operatorname{sdim}(W_2) = 8|7$ and $\operatorname{sdim}(W_3) = 12|12$.

The prolong in the direction of W_2 is trivial, same as the prolong in the direction of V_1.

The prolong in the direction of $12|10$-dimensional module $V_2 + W_2$ is equal to the prolong the direction of V_2.

The prolong in the direction of W_3: $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_i^{(W_3)} = 12|12$ for $i > 1$; and $\underline{N}$ depends on one parameter: N_1.

The prolong in the direction of $16|15$-dimensional module $V_2 + W_3$ is the same as for the whole $\mathfrak{g}_1$, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_i^{(V_2+W_3)} = 16|16$ for $i > 1$; and $\underline{N}$ depends on one parameter: N_1.

The lowest weight vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_1$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}v_1 = {} & x_1x_2\partial_6 + x_1x_3\partial_7 + x_1x_5\partial_1 + x_2x_5\partial_2 + x_3x_5\partial_3 + x_4x_5\partial_4 + x_2x_5\partial_2 + \\ & x_2x_7\partial_4 + x_3x_5\partial_3 + x_3x_6\partial_4 + x_5x_6\partial_6 + x_5x_7\partial_7,\\ v_2 = {} & x_2x_3\partial_3 + x_2x_4\partial_4 + x_2x_5\partial_5 + x_2x_6\partial_6 + x_3x_6\partial_7 + x_4x_5\partial_7 + x_5x_6\partial_1.\end{aligned}$$

The highest weight vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_1$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}w_1 &= x_1^{(2)}\partial_5 + x_1x_6\partial_2 + x_1^{(2)}\partial_5 + x_1x_7\partial_3,\\ w_2 &= x_1x_7\partial_2, \qquad\qquad w_3 = x_1^{(2)}\partial_2.\end{aligned}$$

18.2. **Desuperization.** For the unconstrained shearing vector, we have $\dim\mathfrak{g}_1 = 55$ with three lowest weight vectors. The first two are as above, and the third one is

$$v_3 = x_2x_3\partial_4 + x_2x_5\partial_6 + x_3x_5\partial_7 + x_5^{(2)}\partial_1.$$

The unconstrained shearing vector is of the form $\underline{M} = (m, 1, 1, 1, n, s, t)$.

18.2.1. **Partial prolongs.** For the unconstrained shearing vector, we have $\dim V_1 = 14$, $\dim V_2 = 21$, $\dim V_3 = 31$ and $\dim(V_3 + W_3) = 40$ with $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_i] = \mathfrak{g}_0$ for each i, and $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3$, $W_2 \subset V_3$.

The unconstrained shearing vector for the prolong in the direction of V_3 depends on 1 parameter N_5, and $\dim\mathfrak{g}_i^{(V_3)} = 32$ for $i > 1$.

The unconstrained shearing vector for the prolong in the direction of $V_3 + W_3$ depends on 2 parameters N_1, N_5, and $\dim\mathfrak{g}_2^{(V_3+W_3)} = 56$, $\dim\mathfrak{g}_3^{(V_3+W_3)} = 72$, $\dim\mathfrak{g}_4^{(V_3+W_3)} = 88$.

19. $\mathfrak{kas}(8; \underline{M}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{kas}(4; \underline{N}|4))$

Let $\mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|6)$ preserve the distribution given by the form $dt + \sum \xi_i d\eta_i$ with the contact bracket (62) and the grading of the generating functions given by, see Table (181):

$$\deg t = \deg\eta_i = 1, \quad \deg\xi_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3; \text{ hence } \deg_{Lie}(f) = \deg(f) - 1.$$

For the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{kas}(4; \underline{\widetilde{N}}|4)$ of $\mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|6; 3\xi)$, see (160), we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \begin{cases} \mathfrak{sl}(1|3) \rtimes \mathcal{O}(0|3), \text{ where } \mathfrak{sl}(1|3) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(0|3), & \text{for } p \neq 2 \\ \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(0|3)) \rtimes \mathcal{O}(0|3), \text{ see (165)}, & \text{for } p = 2 \end{cases}$$

with the natural action of $\mathfrak{sl}(1|3)$ if $p \neq 2$, or $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(0|3))$ if $p = 2$, on $\mathcal{O}(0|3)$.

Indeed, the element $(t + \Phi)f(\xi) \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as the operator of multiplication by $f(\xi)$. Additionally $\mathfrak{g}_0$ contains the following operators:

$$\eta_i \longleftrightarrow \partial_{\xi_i}, \quad \xi_i\eta_j \longleftrightarrow \xi_i\partial_{\xi_j}, \quad \xi_i\Phi \longleftrightarrow \xi_i(\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j} + \xi_k\partial_{\xi_k}). \tag{164}$$

For $p \neq 2$, the last 3 elements in (164) do not belong to $\mathfrak{svect}(0|3)$ and the elements (164) generate $\mathfrak{sl}(1|3)$.

For $p = 2$, the last 3 elements in (164) do belong to $\mathfrak{svect}(0|3)$, whereas the elements $\xi_i\eta_i$ do not, it is the sum of any two of them that belongs to $\mathfrak{svect}(0|3)$. So the elements (164) generate a subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(0|3))$ in $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(0|3))$.

In (165) we introduce 8 indeterminates x needed to express $\mathfrak{kas}(4; \underline{N}|4)$ and its desuperization in terms of vector fields as the prolong; $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$. For a basis of the nonpositive part (the $X_i^{\pm}$ are the Chevalley generators of what is $\mathfrak{sl}(1|3)$ for $p \neq 2$ and turns into $\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(0|3)$ for $p = 2$) we take:

(165)

$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	even: $1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_1,\ \xi_1\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow \partial_2,\ \xi_1\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_3,\ \xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_4$ odd: $\xi_1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_5,\ \xi_2 \longleftrightarrow \partial_6,\ \xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_7,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \partial_8$
$\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}(0\|3)$ $\ltimes \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(0\|3))$	$t + \Phi \longleftrightarrow \sum x_i\partial_i,\ (t + \Phi)\xi_1 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_5 + x_4\partial_8 + x_6\partial_2 + x_7\partial_3,$ $(t + \Phi)\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_6 + x_3\partial_8 + x_5\partial_2 + x_7\partial_4,$ $(t + \Phi)\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_7 + x_2\partial_8 + x_5\partial_3 + x_6\partial_4,$ $(t + \Phi)\xi_1\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_2 + x_7\partial_8,\ (t + \Phi)\xi_1\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_3 + x_6\partial_8,$ $(t + \Phi)\xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_4 + x_5\partial_8, \quad t\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_8$
	$\eta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_6 + x_3\partial_7 + x_5\partial_1 + x_8\partial_4,\ \eta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_5 + x_4\partial_7 + x_6\partial_1 + x_8\partial_3,$ $X_1^- = \eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_5 + x_4\partial_6 + x_7\partial_1 + x_8\partial_2,$ $X_1^+ = \xi_1\Phi \longleftrightarrow x_6\partial_2 + x_7\partial_3,\ \xi_2\Phi \longleftrightarrow x_5\partial_2 + x_7\partial_4,\ \xi_3\Phi \longleftrightarrow x_5\partial_3 + x_6\partial_4,$ $X_2^- = \eta_1\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_4 + x_5\partial_6,\ \eta_1\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_4 + x_5\partial_7,\ X_2^+ = \eta_2\xi_1 \longleftrightarrow x_4\partial_3 + x_6\partial_5$ $X_3^- = \eta_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_3 + x_6\partial_7,\ \eta_3\xi_1 \longleftrightarrow x_4\partial_2 + x_7\partial_5,\ X_3^+ = \eta_3\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_2 + x_7\partial_6$ $\eta_1\xi_1 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_5\partial_5 + x_8\partial_8,\ \eta_2\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_2 + x_4\partial_4 + x_6\partial_6 + x_8\partial_8$ $\eta_3\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4 + x_7\partial_7 + x_8\partial_8$

For the unconstrained shearing vector, $\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_1 = 16|16$. The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$ splits into the 2 irreducible submodules: $\mathfrak{g}_1 = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where $\operatorname{sdim}(V_1) = 12|12$, $\operatorname{sdim}(V_2) = 4|4$ There are the 2 highest weight vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_1$:

$$h_1 = x_1x_6\partial_2 + x_1x_7\partial_3 + x_6x_7\partial_8, \quad h_2 = x_1^{(2)}\partial_8;$$

and the 2 lowest weight vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= x_2x_3\partial_3 + x_2x_4\partial_4 + x_2x_5\partial_5 + x_2x_6\partial_6 + x_3x_6\partial_7 + x_4x_5\partial_7 + x_5x_6\partial_1 + x_5x_8\partial_3 + x_6x_8\partial_4, \\ v_2 &= x_1^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_1x_2\partial_2 + x_1x_7\partial_7 + x_1x_8\partial_8 + x_2x_7\partial_8 + x_1^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_1x_3\partial_3 + x_1x_6\partial_6 + x_1x_8\partial_8 + \\ &\quad x_3x_6\partial_8 + x_1^{(2)}\partial_1 + x_1x_4\partial_4 + x_1x_5\partial_5 + x_1x_8\partial_8 + x_4x_5\partial_8 + x_5x_6\partial_2 + x_5x_7\partial_3 + x_6x_7\partial_4. \end{aligned}$$

19.1. **Partial prolongs.** We have $\operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1]) = 12|10$, as should be having in mind the outer derivation of $\mathfrak{kas}$; for its representative we can take $x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4$.

In the direction of V_1 we have $\operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_1]) = 12|9$ (apart from the outer derivative absent is $x_1\partial_8$) and the $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_1]$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is irreducible, $\operatorname{sdim}(\mathfrak{g}_2^{(V_1)}) = 4|7$, $\mathfrak{g}_3^{(V_1)} = 0$. More precisely, $\operatorname{sdim}([V_1, V_1]) = 3|3$ and the $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_1]$-modules $[V_1$ and $[V_1, V_1]$ are irreducible. Thus, the superdimension of this simple prolong is $31|28$.

In the direction of V_2 we have $\operatorname{sdim}([\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_2]) = 4|4$, the $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_1]$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is not irreducible, so no new simple partial prolongs in this direction.

Noncritical coordinate is only N_1.

19.2. **Desuperization.** The same as above, with dimensions $a+b$ instead of superdimensions $a|b$.

20. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{kas}(5|5) = \mathfrak{kas}(1|6; 1\xi)$

Let $\mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|6)$ preserving the distribution given by the form $dt + \sum \xi_i d\eta_i$ with the contact bracket given by (62) and the grading of the generating functions given by, see Table (181):

(166)
$$\deg t = \deg \eta_1 = 2,\ \deg \xi_1 = 0,\ \deg \eta_i = \deg \xi_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 2, 3; \text{ hence } \deg_{Lie}(f) = \deg(f) - 2.$$

The component $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{kas}(5; \widetilde{\underline{N}}|5) \subset \mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|6; 1\xi)$, see (160), is rather complicated. To describe it, we compare it with the complete prolong of the negative part, see Subsec. 3.16. The 0th component of this prolong is equal to the 0th component of $\mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N}|6; 1\xi)$. Its 3 elements that do not belong to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ are easy to find from the description of $\mathfrak{kas}$ given in §17.

The component $\mathfrak{g}_0$ contains two copies of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$; to distinguish them, we endow one of them with a tilde: $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}(2) = \mathfrak{sl}(W)$ generated by $\widetilde{X}^+$ and $\widetilde{X}^-$, the other copy being $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ generated by X^+ and X^-. These two copies of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ are "glued"; their glued sum has a common center spanned by E, i.e., their direct sum is factorized by a 1-dimensional subalgebra $\mathbb{K}Z$ in their 2-dimensional center, for an element Z. In (168), we express the nonpositive part of $\mathfrak{g}$ by means of vector fields in 10 indeterminates x setting $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$. The reader wishing to verify our computations will, of course, use the contact bracket and generating functions to compute inside $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The realization by vector fields is only needed for computations of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ for $i > 0$ with computer's aid to speedify the process.

We identify $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ with $V(\Lambda) \otimes W$, where $\Lambda := \Lambda[\xi_1]$ and $V(\Lambda) := V \otimes \Lambda$; let $V = \operatorname{Span}(v_1, v_2)$, $W = \operatorname{Span}(w_1, w_2)$. For a basis of the nonpositive part of $\mathfrak{g}$ we take the elements listed in (168),. where

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathfrak{d}((\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}(W) \oplus (\mathfrak{gl}(V; \Lambda) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|1))/\mathbb{K}Z), \text{ where } \mathfrak{d} = \mathbb{K}D, \text{ see (168)} & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}(W) \oplus (\mathfrak{gl}(V; \Lambda) \ltimes \mathfrak{vect}(0|1)) & \text{if } p \neq 2. \end{cases} \tag{167}$$

Observe that $D, \xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} \notin [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1]$, only their sum $D + \xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} \longleftrightarrow \xi_1\eta_1 + \xi_2\eta_2$ belongs to the commutant.

The only noncritical coordinate of the shearing vector $\underline{N}$ is N_2.

For the unconstrained shearing vector, we have $\operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_1 = 8|8$. The only lowest weight vector (w.r.t. the boxed operators) of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ that generates $\mathfrak{g}_1$ as a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module is

$$u_1 = x_1\partial_9 + x_3x_4\partial_4 + x_3x_6\partial_6 + x_3x_7\partial_7 + x_3x_9\partial_9 + x_4x_6\partial_5 + x_4x_7\partial_8 + \\ x_4x_{10}\partial_9 + x_6x_7\partial_{10} + x_6x_8\partial_9 + x_1x_3\partial_1 + x_1x_7\partial_2 + x_6x_7x_8\partial_2.$$

The other lowest weight vector and the only highest weight vector (together and separately) generate a submodule V which, together with $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, generate an 8-dimensional part of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The quotient $\mathfrak{g}_1/V$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module.

(168)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the basis elements
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \simeq \Lambda$	$1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_2 \mid \xi_1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq$ $\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(W)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V;\Lambda)}$	$\xi_1\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow \xi_1 v_1 \otimes w_1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_3,\ \xi_1\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow \xi_1 v_1 \otimes w_2 \longleftrightarrow \partial_4,$ $\xi_1\eta_2 \longleftrightarrow \xi_1 v_2 \otimes w_2 \longleftrightarrow \partial_5,\ \xi_1\eta_3 \longleftrightarrow \xi_1 v_2 \otimes w_1 \longleftrightarrow \partial_6 \mid$ $\xi_2 \longleftrightarrow v_1 \otimes w_1 \longleftrightarrow x_5\partial_1 + \partial_7,\ \xi_3 \longleftrightarrow v_1 \otimes w_2 \longleftrightarrow x_6\partial_1 + \partial_8,$ $\eta_2 \longleftrightarrow v_2 \otimes w_2 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_1 + x_7\partial_2 + \partial_9,\ \eta_3 \longleftrightarrow v_2 \otimes w_1 \longleftrightarrow x_4\partial_1 + x_8\partial_2 + \partial_{10}$
$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\xi_1 E \otimes \mathbb{1} \longleftrightarrow \xi_1\Phi \longleftrightarrow x_7\partial_3 + x_9\partial_5 + x_8\partial_4 + x_{10}\partial_6 + x_7x_9\partial_1 + x_8x_{10}\partial_1$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1} \longleftrightarrow t\xi_1 \longleftrightarrow x_7\partial_3 + x_8\partial_4 + x_2\partial_1$ $\xi_1 X^- \otimes \mathbb{1} \longleftrightarrow \xi_1\eta_2\eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_7\partial_6 + x_8\partial_5 + x_7x_8\partial_1$ $\xi_1 X^+ \otimes \mathbb{1} \longleftrightarrow \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_9\partial_4 + x_{10}\partial_3 + x_9x_{10}\partial_1$ $X^+ \longleftrightarrow \xi_2\xi_3 \longleftrightarrow x_5\partial_4 + x_6\partial_3 + x_9\partial_8 + x_{10}\partial_7 + x_9x_{10}\partial_2$ $\widetilde{X}^+ \longleftrightarrow \xi_3\eta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_4 + x_6\partial_5 + x_7\partial_8 + x_{10}\partial_9$ $\boxed{X^-} \longleftrightarrow \eta_2\eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_6 + x_4\partial_5 + x_7\partial_{10} + x_8\partial_9 + x_7x_8\partial_2$ $\boxed{\widetilde{X}^-} \longleftrightarrow \xi_2\eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_4\partial_3 + x_5\partial_6 + x_8\partial_7 + x_9\partial_{10}$ $D := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \xi_2\eta_2 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_3 + x_5\partial_5 + x_7\partial_7 + x_9\partial_9$ $E \longleftrightarrow \xi_2\eta_2 + \xi_3\eta_3 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4 + x_5\partial_5 + x_6\partial_6 + x_7\partial_7 + x_8\partial_8 + x_9\partial_9 + x_{10}\partial_{10}$ $\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} \longleftrightarrow \xi_1\eta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_4\partial_4 + x_6\partial_6 + x_8\partial_8 + x_{10}\partial_{10}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1} \longleftrightarrow t + \xi_1\eta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4 + x_9\partial_9 + x_{10}\partial_{10}$ $\boxed{\partial_{\xi_1}} \longleftrightarrow \eta_1 \longleftrightarrow x_3x_5\partial_1 + x_4x_6\partial_1 + x_5x_7\partial_2 + x_6x_8\partial_2 +$ $x_1\partial_2 + x_3\partial_7 + x_4\partial_8 + x_5\partial_9 + x_6\partial_{10}$

20.1. **Desuperization of $\mathfrak{kas}(5; \widetilde{\underline{N}}|5)$.** The only noncritical coordinates are N_1 and N_2.

21. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{vas}$ for $p = 2$ and $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{vas})$

In this section, we do not indicate the shearing vectors whenever possible.

For $p \neq 2$, we can describe $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vas}(4|4)$ as follows: $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{vect}(4; \underline{N}_s|0)$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \Omega^1(4; \underline{N}_s|0) \otimes_{\Omega^0(4;\underline{N}_s|0)} \mathrm{Vol}^{-1/2}(4; \underline{N}_s|0)$ with the natural $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and the bracket of odd elements being given by

$$\left[\frac{\omega_1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}}},\ \frac{\omega_2}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}}}\right] = \frac{d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2}{\mathrm{vol}}, \tag{169}$$

where we identify

$$\frac{dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k}{\mathrm{vol}} = \mathrm{sign}(ijkl)\partial_{x_l} \text{ for any permutation } (ijkl) \text{ of } (1234).$$

For $p = 2$, by analogy with $\mathfrak{kle}$, see §9, we set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vas}(4|4)$, where: $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{svect}(4; \underline{N}_s|0) \rtimes \mathcal{O}(4; \underline{N}_s|0)$, where $\mathfrak{svect}(4; \underline{N}_s|0) \simeq d\Omega^3(4; \underline{N}_s|0)$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \Omega^1(4; \underline{N}_s|0)$ with the natural $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and the bracket of odd elements being given by

$$[\omega_1,\ \omega_2] = \frac{d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)}{\mathrm{vol}} + \frac{d\omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2}{\mathrm{vol}},$$

where the first summand is a vector field, the second one is a function.

Let $\mathfrak{svect}(4;\underline{N}_s|0) = \mathfrak{svect}(y)$, where $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of depth 1 of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ setting

$$\deg y_i = 1 \text{ and } \deg(dy_i) = -1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \operatorname{Span}\{\partial_{y_i},\ dy_i \mid i = 1, \dots, 4\}$, and hence we have an embedding $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{vas} \subset \mathfrak{vect}(4|4)$.

Let us describe the nonpositive terms of this embedding. Assuming that $\mathfrak{vect}(4|4) = \mathfrak{vect}(x|\xi)$, we identify the basis elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ with vector fields of the form

$$\partial_{y_i} \longleftrightarrow \partial_{x_i}, \quad dy_i \longleftrightarrow \partial_{\xi_i}.$$

The even part of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is spanned by vector fields $D = \sum a_{ij} y_i \partial_{y_j}$ such that $\operatorname{div} D = 0$, the vector field $E' = \sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i}$ corresponding to $1 \in \mathcal{O}(4)$, and the odd part is spanned by the 1-forms $y_i dy_j$. The expression of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in terms of vector fields is as follows:

(170)

The element $\mathfrak{g}_0$	its nonzero action	the corresponding vector field
$y_i\partial_{y_j}$ for $i \neq j$	$\partial_{y_i} \mapsto \partial_{y_j},\ dy_j \mapsto dy_i$	$x_i\partial_{x_j} + \xi_j\partial_{\xi_i}$ for $i \neq j$
$y_i\partial_{y_i} + y_j\partial_{y_j}$	$\partial_{y_i} \mapsto \partial_{y_i},\ \partial_{y_j} \mapsto \partial_{y_j},$ $dy_i \mapsto dy_i,\ dy_j \mapsto dy_j$	$x_i\partial_{x_i} + x_j\partial_{x_j} + \xi_i\partial_{\xi_i} + \xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}$
$y_i dy_i$	$\partial_{y_i} \mapsto dy_i$	$x_i\partial_{\xi_i}$
$y_j dy_i$ for $i \neq j$	$\partial_{y_j} \mapsto dy_i,\ dy_k \mapsto \partial_{y_l},\ dy_k \mapsto \partial_{y_l},$ where $(i,j,k,l) \in S_4$	$x_j\partial_{\xi_i} + \xi_k\partial_{x_l} + \xi_l\partial_{x_k},$

The matrix form of the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ in the basis ∂_x, ∂_ξ is as follows, see (171). The analog of $\mathfrak{as}$, see Subsec. 3.12, in its spinor representation is, for $p = 2$ and in this situation, *the deformed tautological representation* $\widehat{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathfrak{spe}(4)}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{spe}}(4) = \mathfrak{spe}(4) \ltimes \mathbb{K}E'$, see (45):

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{spe}}(4) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b + \tilde{c} & a^t + \lambda \cdot 1_4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{array}{c} \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{K},\ b^t = b,\ c^t = c \in ZD(4), \text{ see (43)}, \\ a \in \mathfrak{sl}(4),\ \tilde{c}_{ij} = E_{kl} \text{ for } k < l, \text{ where } (i,j,k,l) \in S_4. \end{array} \right\} \tag{171}$$

In particular, if $ij = 12$, then $k = 3, j = 4$.

No simple partial prolongs and no critical coordinates. (Proof: by means of *Super-Lie*.)

21.1. **Desuperization.** Everything is as above, except all the odd indeterminates are supposed to be even and the corresponding coordinates of the shearing vector are critical.

22. Cartan prolongs of the Shen Lie algebras

22.1. **Brown's version of the Melikyan algebra in characteristic 2.** Brown [Bro] described analogs of the Melikyan algebras in characteristic 2 as follows. As spaces, and $\mathbb{Z}/3$-graded Lie algebras, let

$$L(\underline{N}) := \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{2}} \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(2;\underline{N}) \oplus \operatorname{Vol}(2;\underline{N}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N})\ . \tag{172}$$

The $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-action on the $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}$ is natural (adjoint, on volume forms, and functions, respectively); $\mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N}) = \mathbb{K}[u_1, u_2; \underline{N}]$ is the space of functions; $\operatorname{Vol}(2;\underline{N})$ is the space of volume forms with volume element $\operatorname{vol} := \operatorname{vol}(u)$, where $u = (u_1, u_2)$. Let the multiplication in $L(\underline{N})$ be given, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N})$, by the following formulas:

$$[f\operatorname{vol}, g\operatorname{vol}] = 0;\quad [f\operatorname{vol}, g] = fH_g;\quad [f, g] := H_f(g)\operatorname{vol}, \text{ where } H_f = \tfrac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}\partial_{u_2} + \tfrac{\partial f}{\partial u_2}\partial_{u_1}. \tag{173}$$

Define a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $L(\underline{N})$ by setting

$$\deg u^{(\underline{r})}\partial_{u_i} = 3|\underline{r}| - 3, \quad \deg u^{(\underline{r})}\operatorname{vol} = 3|\underline{r}| - 2, \quad \deg u^{(\underline{r})} = 3|\underline{r}| - 4. \tag{174}$$

Now, set $\mathfrak{me}(5;\underline{N}) := L(\underline{N})/L(\underline{N})_{-4}$, where $L(\underline{N})_{-4}$ is the center (the space of constants). The algebra $\mathfrak{me}(5;\underline{N})$ is not simple, because $\operatorname{Vol}(2;\underline{N})$ has a submodule of codimension 1; but $\mathfrak{me}^{(1)}(5;\underline{N})$ is simple; in [Ei], Eick denoted what we call $\mathfrak{me}^{(1)}(5;\underline{N}_s)$ by $\operatorname{Bro}_2(1,1)$. This algebra was discovered by Shen Guangyu, see [Shen], and should be denoted somehow to commemorate his wonderful discovery, we suggest to designate this Shen's analog of $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ by $\mathfrak{gs}(2)$.

There are two $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings of $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ with **one** "selected"pair of Chevalley generators: one of depth 2 and one of depth 3. As is easy to see, for the grading of depth 3, the nonpositive parts of $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ over fields $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic $p \neq 3$ and those of $\mathfrak{me}(5;\underline{N})$ are isomorphic: let $U[k]$ be the $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-module which is U as $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$-module, and let the central element $z \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ represented by the unit matrix act on $U[k]$ as $k\,\mathrm{id}$, where k should be understood modulo p. Then the grading of depth 3 is of the form

(175)

$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$
$\mathfrak{gl}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$	$V = V[-1]$	$E^2(V)[-2]$	$V[-3]$

for $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} \neq 3$.

Remarkably, this description holds for any $p \neq 3$, see [Shch]. For $p = 3$, the positive parts of the prolongation have the same dimensions as those of $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ for $p \neq 2, 5$, but $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] = \mathbb{K}1_2$, the center of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$. By the way, the realization of the nonpositive components of $\mathfrak{g}(2)$, see eq. (176), that works for $p \neq 3$, should be modified for $p = 3$, but we skip this since neither the complete prolong nor any partial one is simple.

Here is the incarnation of nonpositive components of $\mathfrak{me}(5;\underline{N})$, which are the same as those of $\mathfrak{gs}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(2)$, by means of vector fields borrowed from [Shch]. Here $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$ to distinguish from ∂_{u_i}; we use both representations in terms of x and u, whichever is more convenient:

(176)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$	the basis elements
$\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$	$\partial_{u_1} \longleftrightarrow \partial_1,\ \partial_{u_2} \longleftrightarrow \partial_2$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\operatorname{vol} \longleftrightarrow \partial_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$X_2^- := u_1 \longleftrightarrow (x_3 + x_4x_5)\partial_2 + \partial_4, \quad u_2 \longleftrightarrow x_3\partial_1 + x_4\partial_3 + \partial_5$
$\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(2)$	$u_1\partial_{u_1} \longleftrightarrow x_1\partial_1 + x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4,$ $X_1^+ := u_1\partial_{u_2} \longleftrightarrow x_5^{(3)}\partial_1 + (x_1 + x_4x_5^{(2)})\partial_2 + x_5^{(2)}\partial_3 + x_5\partial_4$ $X_1^- := u_2\partial_{u_1} \longleftrightarrow (x_2 + x_4^{(2)}x_5)\partial_1 + x_4^{(3)}\partial_2 + x_4^{(2)}\partial_3 + x_4\partial_5$ $u_2\partial_{u_2} \longleftrightarrow x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_5\partial_5$

The highest weight vector in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is $X_2^- := u_1$. Consider the positive part of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gs}(2)$. The lowest weight vector in $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is given by the vector field

$$X_2^+ := x_4^{(3)}x_5\partial_2 + (x_2 + x_4^{(2)}x_5)\partial_3 + x_4x_5\partial_5 \ \ (= u_2\operatorname{vol}).$$

So far, the generators and the dimensions of the components look as their namesakes of $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ for $p > 3$; however, the relations are different: To facilitate comparison with presentations in terms of Chevalley generators, set $H_i := [X_i^+, X_i^-]$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 + x_4\partial_4 + x_5\partial_5 \ \ (= u_1\partial_{u_1} + u_2\partial_{u_2}) \\ H_2 &= x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_5\partial_5 \ \ (= u_2\partial_{u_2}). \end{aligned} \tag{177}$$

Clearly, H_1 is the central element of $\mathfrak{g}_0$; for its grading element we take $u_1\partial_{u_1}$, see [BGL1].

22.1.1. Lemma. *The multiplication tables in* $\mathfrak{gs}(2)$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ *are as follows (for* $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ *we get* $[H_i, X_j^\pm] = \pm A_{ij} X_j^\pm$ *(and not* $[H_i, X_j^\pm] = \pm A_{ji} X_j^\pm$*); let* $X_3^\pm := [X_1^\pm, X_2^\pm]$*):*

(178)

in $\mathfrak{gs}(2)$	*in* $\mathfrak{g}(2)$	*in* $\mathfrak{gs}(2)$	*in* $\mathfrak{g}(2)$	*in* $\mathfrak{gs}(2)$	*in* $\mathfrak{g}(2)$
$[H_1, X_1^+] = 0$	$2X_1^+$	$[H_2, X_1^+] = X_1^+$	$-3X_1^+$	$[H_1, H_2] = 0$	0
$[H_1, X_2^+] = X_2^+$	$-X_2^+$	$[H_2, X_2^+] = 0$	$2X_2^+$	$[X_1^-, X_2^-] = x_3\partial_1 + x_4\partial_3 + \partial_5$	X_3^-
$[H_1, X_1^-] = 0$	$-2X_1^-$	$[H_2, X_1^-] = X_1^-$	$3X_1^-$	$X_1^+, X_2^+] = u_1 \operatorname{vol}$	X_3^+
$[H_1, X_2^-] = X_2^-$	X_2^-	$[H_2, X_2^-] = 0$	$-2X_2^-$	$[X_1^\pm, X_2^\mp] = 0$	0

Critical coordinates: $\underline{N}_3 = 1$.

The $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is generated by the lowest weight vector X_2^+, we have $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = 2$. Since $X_1^\pm$ and X_2^+ contain x_4 and x_5 in degrees 2 and 3, see eq. (176), the corresponding coordinates of the shearing vector in generic case are ≥ 2; for the shearing vector with the smallest coordinates still ensuring simplicity, i.e., for $\underline{N} = (1, 1, 1, 2, 2)$, the prolong $\mathfrak{g}$ is of dimension 17; it has ideals with dimensions 14, 15, 16. The ideal of dimension 14 is simple, see [Shen, Bro, Ei].

23. Tables

23.1. **Lie algebras over $\mathbb{K}$ analogous to serial vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ and names of both.**

N	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$	$\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g})$
1	$\mathfrak{vect}(n\|m)$ for $mn \neq 0$, $n > 1$ or $m = 0$, $n > 2$	–	$\mathrm{id} \simeq V$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n\|m) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n+m)$	$\mathfrak{vect}(n+m;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
2	$\mathfrak{svect}(n\|m)$ for $m, n \neq 1$	–	$\mathrm{id} \simeq V$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n\|m) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(V)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n+m)$	$\mathfrak{svect}(n+m;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
3	$\mathfrak{h}_B(2n\|m)$, where $mn \neq 0$, $n > 1$,	–	id	$\mathfrak{osp}_B(m\|2n)$	$\mathfrak{o}_{F(B)}(m+2n)$	$\mathfrak{h}_{F(B)}(n+m;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
4	$\mathfrak{k}(2n+1\|m)$ for $mn \neq 0$	$\mathbb{F}$	$\mathrm{id} \simeq V$	$\mathfrak{cosp}_B(m\|2n) \simeq \mathfrak{cosp}(V)$	$\mathfrak{co}_{F(B)}(m+2n)$	$\mathfrak{k}(2n+1+m;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$ for m even only
5	$\mathfrak{m}(n) := \mathfrak{m}(n\|n+1)$ for $n > 1$	$\Pi(\mathbb{F})$	$\mathrm{id} \simeq V$	$\mathfrak{cpe}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{cpe}(V)$	$\mathfrak{cpe}(n)$	$\mathfrak{k}(2n+1;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
6	$\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n;n)$ for $n > 1$	–	$\Pi(\mathrm{Vol}^\lambda(0\|n))$	$\mathfrak{vect}(0\|n)$	$\mathfrak{vect}(n;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathfrak{po}_\lambda(2n+1;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
7	$\mathfrak{b}_{a,b}(n)$ for $n > 1$	$\Pi(\mathbb{F})$	id	$\mathfrak{spe}(n)_{a,b}$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n)_{a,b}$	$\mathfrak{po}_{a,b}(2n;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
8	$\mathfrak{le}(n) := \mathfrak{le}(n\|n)$ for $n > 1$	–	$\mathrm{id} \simeq V$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{pe}(V)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n)$	$\mathfrak{h}(2n;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
9	$\mathfrak{sle}(n) := \mathfrak{sle}(n\|n)$ for $n > 1$	–	$\mathrm{id} \simeq V$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{spe}(V)$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n)$	$\mathfrak{sh}(2n;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$
10	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1}-1\|2^{n-1})$	–	$\frac{\Pi(\mathrm{Vol}(0\|n))}{\mathbb{F}(1-\mu\xi_1\ldots\xi_n)\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi}$	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}_\mu(0\|n)$	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}_\mu(n;\underline{N}_s)$	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^n-1;\underline{\widetilde{N}})$

To save space, we skip most of the conditions for simplicity in Table. In columns $\mathfrak{g}_i$ for $i < 0$, obviously, $\mathbb{F}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{K}$. In lines $N = 6, 7$, we have $\lambda = \frac{2a}{n(a-b)}$ for $p \neq 2$ and $\lambda = \frac{a}{b}$ for $p = 2$. In line 10, the Lie superalgebra

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}_\mu(0|n) := (1+\mu\xi_1\ldots\xi_n)\mathfrak{svect}(0|n) \text{ preserves the volume element } (1-\mu\xi_1\ldots\xi_n)\,\mathrm{vol}_\xi, \text{ where } p(\mu) \equiv n \pmod 2.$$

For n even, we can (and do) set $\mu = 1$, whereas μ odd should be considered as an additional indeterminate on which the coefficients depend. The Lie superalgebras $\widetilde{\mathfrak{svect}}_\mu(0|n)$ are isomorphic for nonzero μ's; and therefore so are the algebras

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sb}}_\mu(2^{n-1}-1|2^{n-1}) := (1+\mu\xi_1\ldots\xi_n)\mathfrak{sb}(n;n).$$

Recall the definition of $\mathfrak{spe}(n)_{a,b}$ in Subsec. 3.13.2.

To be specified: Some of the Lie superalgebras in Table (23.1) are not simple, it is their quotients modulo center or ideal of codimension 1 which is simple (such are $\mathfrak{svect}(1|m)$, $\mathfrak{h}(0|m)$, $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(n)$ for certain values of λ, and $\mathfrak{sle}(n)$); some small values of superdimension should be excluded (like $(1|1)$ and $(0|m)$, where $m \leq 2$, for $\mathfrak{svect}$ series; $(0|m)$, where $m \leq 3$, for $\mathfrak{h}$ series; etc.)

23.2. **Exceptional vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$.**

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\mathfrak{g}(\operatorname{sdim}\mathfrak{g}_-)$
$\mathfrak{vle}(4\|3)$	–	$\Pi(\Lambda(3)/\mathbb{C}1)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0\|3))$	$\mathfrak{vle}(4\|3)$
$\mathfrak{vle}(4\|3;1)$	$\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2;\Lambda(2))}\otimes\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(2;\Lambda(2))\ltimes T^{1/2}(\mathfrak{vect}(0\|2))$	$\mathfrak{vle}(5\|4)$
$\mathfrak{vle}(4\|3;K)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{C}[-1]$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3)\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2)\oplus\mathbb{C}z$	$\mathfrak{vle}(3\|6)$
$\mathfrak{vas}(4\|4)$	–	spin	$\mathfrak{as}$	$\mathfrak{vas}(4\|4)$
$\mathfrak{kas}$	$\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\Pi(\mathrm{id})$	$\mathfrak{co}(6)$	$\mathfrak{kas}(1\|6)$
$\mathfrak{kas}(;1\xi)$	$\Lambda(1)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{gl}(2;\Lambda(1))}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2)\oplus[\mathfrak{gl}(2;\Lambda(1))\ltimes\mathfrak{vect}(0\|1)]$	$\mathfrak{kas}(5\|5)$
$\mathfrak{kas}(;3\xi)$	–	$\Lambda(3)$	$\Lambda(3)\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(1\|3)$	$\mathfrak{kas}(4\|4)$
$\mathfrak{kas}(;3\eta)$	–	$\mathrm{Vol}_0(0\|3)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0\|3))$	$\mathfrak{kas}(4\|3)$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4\|5)$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C}[-2])$	$\mathrm{Vol}^{1/2}(0\|3)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0\|3))$	$\mathfrak{mb}(4\|5)$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4\|5;1)$	$\Lambda(2)/\mathbb{C}1$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2;\Lambda(2))}\otimes\mathrm{vol}^{1/2}$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(2;\Lambda(2))\ltimes T^{1/2}(\mathfrak{vect}(0\|2))$	$\mathfrak{mb}(5\|6)$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4\|5;K)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\Pi(\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{C}[-1])$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3)\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2)\oplus\mathbb{C}z$	$\mathfrak{mb}(3\|8)$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6)$	$\mathbb{C}[-2]$	$\Pi(T^0_0(\vec{0}))$	$\mathfrak{svect}_{3,4}(0\|4)$	$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6)$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6;2)$	$\Pi(\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(1\|3)})$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2;\Lambda(3))}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2;\Lambda(3))\ltimes\mathfrak{sl}(1\|3)$	$\mathfrak{kle}(11\|9)$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6;K)$	id	$\Pi(\Lambda^2(\mathrm{id}^*))$	$\mathfrak{sl}(5)$	$\mathfrak{kle}(5\|10)$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6;CK)$	$\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}(3;\Lambda(1))}$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3;\Lambda(1))}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2)\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(3;\Lambda(1))\ltimes\mathfrak{vect}(0\|1)$	$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|11)$

Depth 3: None of the simple W-graded vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ is of depth >3 and only two superalgebras are of depth 3:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{-3}&=\Pi(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{C}[-3]),\\ \mathfrak{kle}(9|11)_{-3}&=\Pi(\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{C}[-3]).\end{aligned}\tag{179}$$

For the definition of the module Vol_0, see 16. Here, $T^{1/2}$ is the representation of $\mathfrak{vect}$ in the module of $\frac{1}{2}$-densities, and $\mathfrak{as}$ is the nontrivial central extension of $\mathfrak{spe}(4)$, cf. [BGLL1]. For the definition of $\mathfrak{svect}_{3,4}(0|4)$, see 3.13.2. In the 0th term $(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\otimes\Lambda(3))\ltimes\mathfrak{sl}(1|3)$ of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{kle}(11;\underline{N}|9)$, we consider $\mathfrak{sl}(1|3)$ naturally embedded into $\mathfrak{vect}(0|3)$ with its tautological action on the space $\Lambda(3)$ of "functions".

23.3. **The exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ as Cartan prolongs.** For depth 2, we sometimes write $(\mathfrak{g}_{-2}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$ for clarity.

(180)

$\mathfrak{vle}(4\|3;r) = (\Pi(\Lambda(3))/\mathbb{C}\cdot 1, \mathfrak{cvect}(0\|3))_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{vect}(4\|3;R(r))$	$r=0,1,K$
$\mathfrak{vas}(4\|4) = (\mathrm{spin}, \mathfrak{as})_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{vect}(4\|4)$	
$\mathfrak{kas}(1\|6;r)$	$\subset \mathfrak{k}(1\|6;r)$	$r=0,1\xi,3\xi$
$\mathfrak{kas}(1\|6;3\eta) = (\mathrm{Vol}_0(0\|3), \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(0\|3)))_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{svect}(4\|3)$	$r=3\eta$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4\|5;r) = (\mathfrak{ba}(4), \mathfrak{cvect}(0\|3))_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{m}(4\|5;R(r))$	$r=0,1,K$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6;r) = (\mathfrak{hei}(8\|6), \mathfrak{svect}_{3,4}(0\|4))_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{k}(9\|6;r)$	$r=0,2,$ CK
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6;K) = (\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(5)}, \Lambda^2(\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}(5)}), \mathfrak{sl}(5))_*$	$\subset \mathfrak{svect}(5\|10;R(K))r=K$	

In Table (181), indicated are indeterminates and their degrees in the regrading $R(r)$.

Remark. There are two isomorphic versions of $\mathfrak{kas}$: we denote by $\mathfrak{kas}^\xi$ the one whose space of generating functions of one contains the product $\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$; let $\mathfrak{kas}^\eta$ be the one whose space of generating functions of one contains the product $\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3$. We always consider $\mathfrak{kas}^\xi$, see (160), so we skip the superscript.

(181)

$\mathfrak{vle}(4\|3)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \vert & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & y & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{vle}(5\|4)$	$R(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \vert & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & y & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{vle}(3\|6)$	$R(K) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & \vert & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & y & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4\|5)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \vert & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1; & 2 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \vert & \xi_0 & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \tau \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{mb}(5\|6)$	$R(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & \vert & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1; & 2 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \vert & \xi_0 & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \tau \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{mb}(3\|8)$	$R(K) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \vert & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1; & 3 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \vert & \xi_0 & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \tau \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kas}(1\|6)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \vert & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kas}(5\|5)$	$R(1\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \vert & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kas}(4\|4)$	$R(3\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \vert & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kas}(4\|3)$	$R(3\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \vert & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|6)$	$R(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1; & 2 & \vert & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kle}(11\|9)$	$R(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0; & 2 & \vert & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kle}(5\|10)$	$R(K) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1; & 2 & \vert & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9\|11)$	$R(CK) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1; & 3 & \vert & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & t & \vert & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$

23.4. **Exceptional vectorial Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{K}$ and their desuperizations.**

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g}_{-2})$	$\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$	$\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$	$\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g})$
$\mathfrak{vle}(4;\underline{N}\|3)$	–	$\mathcal{O}(3;\underline{N}_s)/\mathbb{K}1$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(3;\underline{N}_s))$	$\mathfrak{vle}(7;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{vle}(3;\underline{N}\|6)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathbb{K}[*]$	$\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{K}[*]$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3)\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2)\oplus\mathbb{K}z$	$\mathfrak{vle}(9;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kas}(1;\underline{N}\|6)$	$\mathbb{K}[*]$	id	$\mathfrak{co}^{(1)}_{\Pi}(6)$	$\mathfrak{kas}(7;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kas}(5;\underline{N}\|5)$	$\mathcal{O}(1;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{gl}(2)}\otimes\mathcal{O}(1;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathfrak{d}((\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}(W)\oplus(\mathfrak{gl}(V;\mathcal{O})(1;\underline{1}))\ltimes\mathfrak{vect}(1;\underline{N}_s))/\mathbb{K}Z)$, see (167)	$\mathfrak{kas}(10;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kas}(4;\underline{N}\|4)$	–	$\mathcal{O}(3;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathcal{O}(3;\underline{N}_s)\ltimes\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{svect}^{(1)}(3;\underline{N}_s))$, see (165)	$\mathfrak{kas}(8;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kas}(4;\underline{N}\|3)$	–	$\mathrm{Vol}_0(3;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{vect}(3;\underline{N}_s))$	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{kas}}(7;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{mb}(4;\underline{N}\|5)$	$\mathbb{K}[*]$	$\mathcal{O}(3;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathfrak{svect}(3;\underline{N}_s)\rtimes\mathcal{O}(3;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathfrak{mb}(9;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{mb}(3;\underline{N}\|8)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathbb{K}[*]$	$\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{K}[*]$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3)\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2)\oplus\mathbb{K}z$	$\mathfrak{mb}_3(11;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kle}(5;\underline{N}\|10)$	id	$\Lambda^2(\mathrm{id}^*)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(5)$	$\mathfrak{kle}(15;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kle}(11;\underline{N}\|9)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(4)}$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathcal{O}(3;\underline{N}_s)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\otimes\mathcal{O}(3;\underline{N}_s))\ltimes\mathfrak{pgl}(4)$	$\mathfrak{kle}_2(20;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9;\underline{N}\|11)$	$\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathcal{O}(1;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\big(\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}\otimes\mathcal{O}(1;\underline{N}_s)\big)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2)\oplus(\mathfrak{sl}(3)\otimes\mathcal{O}(1;\underline{N}_s)\ltimes\mathfrak{vect}(1;\underline{N}_s))$	$\mathfrak{kle}_3(20;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{vle}(5;\underline{N}\|4)$	$\mathbb{K}[*]$	$\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\otimes\mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N}_s)\ltimes T^\infty(\mathfrak{vect}(2;\underline{N}_s))$	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{vle}}(9;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{mb}(5;\underline{N}\|6)$	$\mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N}_s)/\mathbb{K}1$	$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N}_s)$	$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\otimes\mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N}_s)\ltimes T^\infty(\mathfrak{svect}(2;\underline{N}_s)\rtimes\mathcal{O}(2;\underline{N}_s))$	$\mathfrak{mb}_2(11;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{kle}(9;\underline{N}\|6)$	$\mathbb{K}[*]$	T^0_0	$\mathfrak{svect}(4;\underline{N}_s)\ltimes\mathbb{K}(D+Z)$, see (111)	$\widetilde{\mathfrak{kle}}(15;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$
$\mathfrak{vas}(4\|4)$	–	$\widehat{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{spe}(8))}$	$\mathbf{F}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spe}}(8)):=\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{spe}(8))\ltimes\mathbb{K}E'$	$\mathfrak{vas}(8;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$

Recall the definition of the module Vol_0, see 16 and (63); before desuperization we replace (179) with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)_{-3}&=\Pi(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{K}[*]),\\ \mathfrak{kle}(9|11)_{-3}&=\Pi(\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}\otimes\mathbb{K}[*]).\end{aligned}\tag{182}$$

To distinguish the two desuperizations of $\mathfrak{kle}$ realized by vector fields on the spaces of the same dimension, we indicate by an index the depths of these algebras, e.g., $\mathfrak{kle}_2(20;\widetilde{\underline{N}})$; if both algebras are of the same depth, we cover one of the desuperizations with a tilde. Clearly, under the desuperization we should ignore the change of parity in the negative components of $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g})$.

24. Desuperizations which are nonsimple if $N_i < \infty$ for all i

The results of this section are not new; we present them for completeness, see also eq. (55).

24.1. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{svect}(n; \underline{N})$. Let us prove that the elements of the form

$$D_k = \left(\prod_{i\in\{1,\dots,n\},\ i\neq k} x_i^{(2^{N_i}-1)} \right) \partial_k$$

do not lie in $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$. In what follows we assume that $k = n$, for definiteness. As $\mathfrak{g}$ is a sum of its $\mathbb{Z}^n$-weighted components, it suffices to show that D_n cannot be obtained as the bracket of two elements homogenous w.r.t. the weight. As the x_n-weight (i.e., weight w.r.t. $x_n\partial_n$) of D_n is equal to -1, which is also the minimal possible x_n-weight in $\mathfrak{g}$, it follows that, in order to obtain D_n as a bracket, one of the factors (we say "factor" speaking about the Lie bracket, same as for an associative multiplication) has to have weight -1 as well. Then, if this factor is homogenous w.r.t. $\mathbb{Z}^n$-weight, it must be a monomial of the form $a = \left(\prod_{1\leq i\leq n-1} x_i^{(r_i)} \right) \partial_n$ up to a scalar multiplier, where $0 \leq r_i < 2^{N_i}$. Then, from the weight considerations, the other factor must be of the form

$$b = \sum_{1\leq i<n;\ r_i>0} c_i \left(\prod_{1\leq j<n;\ j\neq i} x_j^{(2^{N_j}-1-r_j)} \right) x_i^{(2^{N_i}-r_i)}\partial_i + c_n \left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq n-1} x_j^{(2^{N_j}-1-r_j)} \right) x_n\partial_n.$$

Clearly (both sums below run over $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $r_i > 0$, and also over $i = n$),

$$[a, b] = (\sum c_i) D_n;$$
$$\operatorname{div} b = (\sum c_i) \left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq n-1} x_j^{(2^{N_j}-1-r_j)} \right).$$

So $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $[a, b] = 0$, hence $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ contains no elements of the same weight as D_n.

24.2. **The Lie algebra of contact vector fields.** As follows from Subsec. 3.10, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}(2n + 1; \underline{N}|m)$ is divergence-free, and hence not simple, if $2n + 1 - m \equiv 0 \pmod p$. Therefore, for $p = 2$, it is $\mathfrak{k}^{(1)}(2n + 1; \underline{N})$ which is simple. In particular, $\mathfrak{vect}(1; \underline{N})$ is not simple since $\mathfrak{vect}(1; \underline{N}) \simeq \mathfrak{k}(1; \underline{N})$.

References

[ALSh] Alekseevsky D., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Examples of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields. C. r. Acad. Bulg. Sci., v. 34, no. 9 (1980) 1187–1190 (in Russian)

[BGP] Benkart G., Gregory Th., Premet A., The recognition theorem for graded Lie algebras in prime characteristic. American Mathematical Society, 2009, 145 pp.

[BGLLS] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., New simple Lie algebras in characteristic 2. IMRN (submitted); arXiv:1307.1551

[BGLL1] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Derivations and central extensions of simple modular Lie algebras and superalgebras; arXiv:1307.1858

[BGLL2] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Divided power (co)homology. Presentations of simple finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrix, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, Vol. 12 (2010), no. 1, 237–278; arXiv:0911.0243

[BGL1] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Classification of finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with indecompasable Cartan matrix. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA), v. 5 (2009), 060, 63 pages; arXiv:0710.5149

[BGL4] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Deforms of the symmetric modular Lie superalgebras; arXiv:0807.3054

[BLLS1] Bouarroudj S., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Lie algebra deformations in characteristic 2. Math. Research Letters, v. 22 (2015) no. 2, 353–402; arXiv:1301.2781

[BLLS2] Bouarroudj S., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Classifications of simple Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2; arXiv:1407.1695

[BLW] Bouarroudj S., Lebedev A., Wagemann F., Deformations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(5)$ in characteristics 3 and 2. Mathem. Notes, v. 89:6, (2011) 777–791; arXiv:0909.3572

[Bro] Brown, G., Families of simple Lie algebras of characteristic two. Comm. Algebra, v. 23 (3), (1995) 941–954

[CCK] Cantarini, N.; Cheng, S.-J.; Kac, V., Errata to: "Structure of some $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebras of vector fields" [Transform. Groups, v. 4 (1999), no. 2-3, 219–272; MR1712863 (2001b:17037)] by Cheng and Kac. Transform. Groups, v. 9 (2004), no. 4, 399–400.

[CK1] Cheng Sh.-J, Kac V., Generalized Spencer cohomology and filtered deformations of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebras. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., v. 2 (1998), no. 5, 1141–1182; arXiv:math.RT/9805039

[CK1a] Cheng, Shun-Jen; Kac, V., Addendum: "Generalized Spencer cohomology and filtered deformations of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebras" [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., v. 2 (1998), no. 5, 1141–1182; MR1688484 (2000d:17025)]. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., v. 8 (2004), no. 4, 697–709

[CK2] Cheng Sh.-J, Kac V., Structure of some $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebras of vector fields, Transformation groups, v. 4, (1999), 219–272

[Dr] Draisma J., Transitive Lie algebras of vector fields—an overview; arXiv:1107.2836

[Ei] Eick, B., Some new simple Lie algebras in characteristic 2. J. Symb. Comput., v. 45 (2010), no.9, 943–951

[GZ] Grishkov A., Zusmanovich P., Deformations of current Lie algebras. I. Small algebras in characteristic 2; arXiv:1410.3645

[GPS] Gomis J., Paris J., Samuel S., Antibracket, antifields and gauge-theory quantization, Phys. Rept., v. 259 (1995) 1–191

[GL1] Grozman P., Leites D., Defining relations for Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrix. Czech. J. Phys., v. 51, (2001), no. 1, 1–22; arXiv:hep-th/9702073

[GL4] Grozman P., Leites D., Structures of $G(2)$ type and nonintegrable distributions in characteristic p; Math. Phys. **74** (2005), no. 3, 229–262; arXiv:math.RT/0509400

[GLP] Grozman P., Leites D., Poletaeva E., Defining relations for classical Lie superalgebras without Cartan matrices, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, v. 4 (2), (2002), 259–275; arXiv:math.RT/0202152

[ILL] Iyer U.N., Lebedev A., Leites D., Prolongs of orthogonal Lie (super) algeras in characteristic 2, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., v. 17 (2010), Special issue in memory of F. Berezin, 253–309.

[ILMS] Iyer U.N., Leites D., Messaoudene, M., Shchepochkina I., Examples of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2 and Volichenko algebras. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., v. 17 (2010), Special issue in memory of F. Berezin; 311–374.

[K2] Kac V. G., Lie superalgebras. Adv. Math., v. 26, 1977, 8–96

[KWK] Kac V. G., Corrections to: "Exponentials in Lie algebras of characteristic p" [Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 35 (1971), no. 4, 762–788; MR0306282 (46 #5408)] by B. Yu. Veisfeiler and Kac. (Russian) Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 58 (1994), no. 4, 224; translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 45 (1995), no. 1, 229

[K] Kac V., *Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math., v. 139 (1998), no. 1, 1–55.

[K10] Kac V., Classification of supersymmetries. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Beijing, 2002), Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, 319–344; arXiv:math-ph/0302016

[Kapp] Kaplansky I., Graded Lie algebras, preprints, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, 1975 http://zakuski.math.utsa.edu/~kap/superalgebra.html

[KL] Kochetkov Yu., Leites D., *Simple finite dimensional Lie algebras in characteristic 2 related to superalgebras and on a notion of finite simple group*. In: L.A.Bokut', Yu.L.Ershov, and A.I.Kostrikin (eds.) *Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra. Part 1., Novosibirsk, August 1989*, Contemporary Math., v. 131, Part 1, 1992, AMS, 59–67

[KD] Kostrikin, A. I., Dzhumadildaev A. S., Modular Lie algebras: new trends. In: Yu. Bahturin (ed.), *Algebra. Proc. of the International Algebraic Conference on the Occasion of the 90th Birthday of A.G. Kurosh (May, 1998. Moscow)*, de Gruyter, Berlin (2000) 181–203

[KLL] Krutov A., Lebedev A., Leites D. On gradings modulo 2 of simple Lie algebras in characteristic 2, in preparation

[Leb] Lebedev, A., Analogs of the orthogonal, Hamiltonian, Poisson, and contact Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., v. 17, Special issue in memory of F. Berezin, 2010, 217–251

[LL] Lebedev A., Leites D. (with an appendix by Deligne P.), On realizations of the Steenrod algebras. J. Prime Research in Mathematics, v. 2 no. 1 (2006), 1–13; `MPIMiS preprint 131/2006` (`http://www.mis.mpg.de`)

[Lei] Leites D., *Towards classification of simple finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras in characteristic p*, J. Prime Res. Math., v. 3, 2007, 101–110; `arXiv:0710.5638`

[Le2] Leites D., New Lie superalgebras and mechanics. Soviet Math. Doklady, v. 18, no. 5, (1977), 1277–1280

[Lsos2] Leites D. (ed.), *Seminar on supersymmetries (v. 2: Algebra and Calculus on supermanifolds. Additional chapters)*, MCCME, Moscow, ca. 300 pp to appear (in Russian)

[LSerg] Leites D., Serganova V., Metasymmetry and Volichenko algebras, Phys. Lett. B, v. 252, (1990), no. 1, 91–96 (For a correction and additions, see [Lsos2].)

[LS0] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Quivers and Lie superalgebras. In: Eisenbud D., Martsinkovsky A., Weyman J., (eds.) *Commutative Algebra, Representation theory and Combinatorics. Conference in honor of D. Buchsbaum*, Northeastern U., Boston, October 18–20, 1997, 67

[LS] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., The classification of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields. Preprint MPIM-2003-28 `http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/preblob/2178` (For a short version, see "Toward classification of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras". In: *Seminar on Supermanifolds*, Reports of Stockholm University, 31/1988-14, 235–278, and in: Nahm W., Chau L. (eds.) *Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics* (Davis, CA, 1988), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 245, Plenum, New York, 1990, 633–651.)

[LS1] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., The classification of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields; `arXiv:???`

[LSh] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., How should the antibracket be quantized? Theoret. and Math. Phys., v. 126 (2001), no. 3, 281–306; `arXiv:math-ph/0510048`

[Sh3] Shchepochkina I., Exceptional simple infinite dimensional Lie superalgebras, C.R. Acad. Sci. bulg., v. **36** (1983) no. 3, 313–314

[Sh5] Shchepochkina I.M., Five simple exceptional Lie superalgebras of vector fields. Funct. Anal. Appl., v. 33 (1999), no. 3, 208–219

[Sh14] Shchepochkina I., The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields and their fourteen regradings. Represent. Theory, v. 3 (1999), 373–415

[Shch] Shchepochkina I., How to realize Lie algebras by vector fields. Theor. Mat. Fiz., v. 147 (2006) no. 3, 821–838; `arXiv:math.RT/0509472`

[ShP] Shchepochkina I., Post, G., Explicit bracket in an exceptional simple Lie superalgebra. Internat. J. Algebra Comput., v. 8 (1998), no. 4, 479–495; `arXiv:physics/9703022`

[Shen] Shen, G. Y., Variations of the classical Lie algebra G_2 in low characteristics. Nova J. Algebra Geom., v. 2 (1993), no. 3, 217–243.

[Sk1] Skryabin, S.M., A contragredient Lie algebra of dimension 29. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, v. **34** (1993), no. 3, 171–178

[SkT1] Skryabin S., Toral rank one simple Lie algebras of low characteristics. J. of Algebra, v.200:2 (1998), 650–700

[S] Strade, H., *Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic. I–III. Structure theory.* de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 38. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, (2004) viii+540 pp; (2009) vi+385pp; (2012) x+239pp

[WK] Weisfeiler, B. Ju.; Kac, V. G., Exponentials in Lie algebras of characteristic p. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 1971, 5:4, 777–803

[1]New York University Abu Dhabi, Division of Science and Mathematics, P.O. Box 129188, United Arab Emirates; sofiane.bouarroudj@nyu.edu, [2]Equa Simulation AB, Stockholm, Sweden; pavel.grozman@bredband.net; alexeylalexeyl@mail.ru, [3]Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Roslagsv. 101, Kräftriket hus 6, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden; mleites@math.su.se, [4]Independent University of Moscow, Bolshoj Vlasievsky per, dom 11, RU-119 002 Moscow, Russia; irina@mccme.ru